

# PUBLIC SAFETY

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

PUBLIC SAFETY

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09

VARIANCE RE

REPORT V61 12/14/11

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-12	-
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)								-				
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,809.45 345,058	2,292.70 224,524		18 35	2,828.85 47,396	2,237.60 42,025	- 591.25 - 5,371	21 11	2,828.85 312,166	2,614.10 201,469	- 214.75 - 110,697	8 35
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,809.45 345,058	2,292.70 224,524	- 516.75 - 120,534	18 35	2,828.85 47,396	2,237.60 42,025	- 591.25 - 5,371	21 11	2,828.85 312,166	2,614.10 201,469	- 214.75 - 110,697	8 35
			-		FIS	CAL YEAR	2010-11			FISCAL YEAR	2011-12	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	/ %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
					4200   0   240	4123 0 236	  - 77  + 0  - 4	2 0 2	4200 0 233	4123   0   240	+ 0	2 0 3

**PROGRAM TITLE: PUBLIC SAFETY** 

09

# **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

The variance in the Recreational Activities program position count is generally due to vacancies because of budget constraints, personnel turnovers and recruitment difficulties. The variance in expenditures is the net effect of position vacancies, collective bargaining augmentation and authorized federal fund increases. Details of the position and expenditure variance are best examined at the lowest program level.

### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

See the lowest level programs for explanation of variances.

**REPORT V61** 

12/14/11

SAFETY FROM CRIMINAL ACTIONS

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0901

FISCAL YEAR 2010-11 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-11 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-12** % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE % **BUDGETED** ACTUAL + CHANGE % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS** 0 **POSITIONS** 2,612.20 2,284.70 327.50 13 2,606.10 2,230.60 375.50 14 2,606.10 2,606.10 0.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 6 46,747 41,845 4,902 10 198,846 199,029 183 0 237,353 223,178 14,175 **TOTAL COSTS** 375.50 2,606.10 2,606.10 0.00 0 **POSITIONS** 2,612.20 2,284.70 327.50 13 2,606.10 2,230.60 14 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 237,353 223,178 14,175 6 46,747 41,845 4,902 10 198,846 199,029 183 0

		FIS	CAL YEAR	2010-11			FISCAL YEAR	2011-12	
		PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART	II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS								
1.	NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW ENFORCEMNT OFFICERS	4200	4123	- 77	2	4200	4123	- 77	2
2.	NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 710-1020, HRS	0	0	+ 0	0	0	0	+ 0	0
3.	NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURNED TO PRISON	240	236	- 4	2	233	240	+ 7	3

### PROGRAM TITLE: SAFETY FROM CRIMINAL ACTIONS

09 01

### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

The variance in the Public Safety Program is primarily due to employee turnover, recruitment difficulties and collective bargaining augmentation.

### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

See lowest level programs for an explanation of variances.

**VARIANCE REPORT** 

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

CONFINEMENT AND REINTEGRATION

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090101

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-1	1	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-12	2
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHAN	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1,985.10 195,923	1,736.60 189,704			1,971.10 37,365	1,697.60 34,951	- 273.50 - 2,414	14 6	1,971.10 163,504	1,971.10 162,513	+ 0.00 - 991	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1,985.10 195,923	1,736.60 189,704			1,971.10 37,365	1,697.60 34,951	- 273.50 - 2,414	14 6	1,971.10 163,504	1,971.10 162,513	+ 0.00 - 991	0
					FIS	SCAL YEAR	2010-11			FISCAL YEAR	2011-12	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 2. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING A	•				0   45	0   41	   + 0   - 4	   · 0   9	0   45	0   45	+ 0 + 0	0
3. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING V					1 55	57	- 4		1 45 1 55	•	+ 0 + 0	0 0
4. % OF INMATES COMPLETING COUNSEL					8	5	- 3		8		+ 2	25
<ol><li>% INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTN</li></ol>					5	6	+ 1		5	6 j	+ 1	20
6. % INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCNOT IN H					:	NO DATA	_	•	45	NO DATA		100
<ol><li>% OF INMATES WHO TEST POSITIVE OR</li></ol>	N ORINALYSIS I	1E31			5	4	- 1	20	5	5	+ 0	0

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

REPORT V61 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PSD-402

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010102

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	395.00 21,768	357.00 20,939		1	395.00 5,286	349.00 5,252	- 46.00 - 34	12 1	395.00 17,270	395.00 16,649	+ 0.00 - 621	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	395.00 21,768	357.00 20,939			395.00 5,286	349.00 5,252	- 46.00 - 34	12 1	395.00 17,270	395.00 16,649	+ 0.00 - 621	0
					Fis	CAL YEAR	2010-11		L	FISCAL YEAR	2011-12	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY  2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY	SEC. 710-1021,	HRS			   0   0	0 0	   + 0   + 0	   0   0	   0   0	0	+ 0   + 0	   0   0
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN</li> <li>AVERAGE REPONSE TIME FOR ALL INC</li> </ol>		SC			130	185 NO DATA		42   100	140   2	150 NO DATA	,	7 100
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					   1124	1001	  - 123	11	   1124	1124	+ 0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED					   1133   911	1002 985	•	12	1146 922	1146 922	+ 0 1 + 0 1	0

#### PROGRAM TITLE: HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 02 PSD 402

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 3. The variance is due to an increase in random security searches which directly correlates to an increase in inmate contraband being discovered; an increase in security threat group presence continues which affects high and greatest misconducts.

Item 4. No data provided for this program measure.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned number of inmates being based on the operating capacity for Halawa CF.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 1. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of inmate admissions.

STATE OF HAWAII

**VARIANCE REPORT** 

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-403

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010103

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)												
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)			·									
			•		FIS	CAL YEAR	2010-11			FISCAL YEAR	2011-12	
						ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NEW PROGRAM ESTABLISHED BY 2011	LEGISLATURE				NO DATA	0	+ 0	0	NO DATA	0	+ 0	(

PROGRAM TITLE: KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 03 PSD 403

### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

Data not provided due to closure of the facility.

### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Data not provided due to closure of the facility.

### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

Data not provided due to closure of the facility.

### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Data not provided due to closure of the facility.

PROGRAM TITLE:

WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010104

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11	·	THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-11	1	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)				·			·					
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	110.00 5,575	103.00 5,528		6 1	110.00 1,385	100.00 1,368	- 10.00 - 17	9 1	110.00 4,573	110.00 4,426	+ 0.00 - 147	0 3
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	110.00 5,575	103.00 5,528	- 7.00 - 47	6 1	110.00 1,385	100.00 1,368	- 10.00 - 17	9 1	110.00 4,573	110.00 4,426	+ 0.00 - 147	0 3
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2010-11			FISCAL YEAR	2011-12	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
<ol><li>NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li></ol>	SEC. 710-1021,				   0   0	0   4   0	+ 0   + 4   + 0	   0   0	   0   0	0   0   0	+ 0   + 0   + 0	0 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					285	268	- 17	   6	   285	268	- 17	6
<ul><li>4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIB</li><li>5. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING</li></ul>	NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANCTIONS  T III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES  T IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO HIGHER LEVEL CUSTOD NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBUTED IN COMMUNIT NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN FURLOUGH PR					263   306   12   540   40	- 26 - 1960 + 0	20 4 68 78 0	334 322 38 2500 40	270   310   38   2000   43   0	+ 3	19   4   0   20   8   0

PROGRAM TITLE: WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 04 PSD 404

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

No significant variances.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 2. There were four escape events in a formal charge of escape in the second degree.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

- Item 1. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of inmate admissions.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an increase in security measures which led to fewer misconducts.
- Item 4. The variance is due to decrease in staff which caused post reassignment on a daily bases; therefore, non essential community service post was closed.

# **VARIANCE REPORT**

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010105

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	2010-1	1		THREE N	MONTHS E	NDE	09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>±</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u> +</u> c	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	169.00 8,142	166.00 9,152		3.00 1,010	2 12	163.00 . 2,363	157.00 2,356	  -  -	6.00 7	4 0	163.00 6,113	163.00 5,922	+	0.00 191	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	169.00 8,142			3.00 1,010	2 12	163.00 2,363	157.00 2,356	-	6.00 7	4 0	163.00 6,113	163.00 5,922	+	0.00 191	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2010	)-11			FISCAL YEAR	2011	-12	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	1 ± C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	HANGE	%
2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY	SEC. 710-1021,					0 0 120	. 0 5 144	   +   +   +	0   5   24	0 0 20	   0   0   146	0 0 145	   +   +   -	0   0   1	0 0 1
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						452	517	   +	65	14	   452	520	   +	68	15
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS  2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED  3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H	IICHER I EVEL					2290 2314	2748 2694	+	458   380	20 16	   2345   2375	2500   2600   30		155   225   0	7 9
4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBU	POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)  169.00 8,142 9,152 1,010 1,010 1. MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 710-1020, HRS NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 710-1021, HRS NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANCTIONS 11: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES V: PROGRAM ACTIVITY NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS					2   18000   110	18 19356 111	+	16     1356     1	800 8 1	30   9537   116	20000   120	+	10463   4	0 110 3
<ol><li>NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING I</li></ol>	IN RESIDENTIAL					20	20	+ -	0 1	0	20	20	+	0	C

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 05 PSD 405

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

The expenditure variance is due to increased payroll cost associated with overcrowding.

FY 2012

No significant variance.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. There were five escape events in a formal charge of escape in the second degree.

Item 3. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of inmates receiving sanctions for misconduct in the highest and greatest categories. The direct supervision and overview of management to complete hearings also contributed to the increase in misconducts being reported.

#### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

Item 1. The variance is due to the actual number of inmates continuing to exceed planned number of inmates based on operating capacity.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

- Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of inmate admissions.
- Item 2. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of inmates released.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number reclassified to a higher-level custody resulting in transfer.

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010106

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 0	9-30-11		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 0	6-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CF	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
DPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	185.00 9,426	148.00 9,264	- 37.00 - 162	20 2	185.00 2,278	146.00 2,253	-	39.00 25	21 1	185.00 7,261	185.00 6,996	+	0.00 265	0 4
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	185.00 9,426	148.00 9,264		20 2	185.00 2,278	146.00 2,253	- -	39.00 25	21 1	185.00 7,261	185.00 6,996	+	0.00 265	0 4
					jFIS	CAL YEAR	2010-1	1			FISCAL YEAR	2011-	12	
			·		PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH/	ANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH/	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY  2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY  3. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN	SEC. 710-1021,				   0   0	0 1 108	   +   +   +	0   1   18	0 0 20	0 0 90	0   0   0   90	+++++	0   0   0	0 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					   355	371	   +	16	5	355	371	+	 16	5
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS  2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED  3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H  4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBUTED IN	JTED	PR			   1750   1755   17   31343   99	1664 1644 12 32046 96	  -  +	86   111   5   703   3	5   6   29   2   3	1776 1782 17 31343 99	1700   1675   17   31343   99	- +	76   107   0   0	4 6 0 0

### PROGRAM TITLE: MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 06 PSD 406

### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2012:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 2. There was one escape event in a formal charge of escape in the second degree.

Item 3. The variance is due to an increase in the number of inmates who tested positive on the urinalysis test which led to the number of inmates receiving sanctions for misconduct in the highest and greatest categories.

### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 3. The variance is due to fewer inmates meeting the sequential phasing program.

Item 6. The variance in the number of inmates participating in residential in-community programs and services increased due to the Extended Furlough Programs.

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010107

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-30-1	1	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-	30-12	*,	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE		%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)											·			
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	496.00 25,976	452.00 25,728		9	488.00 6,411	435.00 6,317	- 53.00 - 94	11 1	488.00 20,516	488.00 19,802		0.00 714	. <del>-</del> .	0 3
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	496.00 25,976	452.00 25,728		9 1	488.00 6,411	435.00 6,317	- 53.00 - 94	11 1	488.00 20,516	488.00 19,802		0.00 714		0
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2010-11			FISCAL YEAR	2011-12			
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHAN	GE	1	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY  2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY  3. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANGE	SEC. 710-1021,				0   0   600	0 4 605	   + 0   + 4   + 5	   0   0   1	   0   0   600	0 0 600	+ + +	0 0	   	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					1419	1549	   + 130	   9	   1419	1500	+	81		6
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED					7929 8119	8740 8624		   10   6	   8024   8224			476   276	   	6
3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H	IIGHER LEVEL				60		+ 22	37	60	60	+	0	İ	0
4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBU					105000	109148		4	105000		+	0	1	0
<ol><li>NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING</li></ol>	N FURI OUGH F	PR			300	251	l - 49	I 16	300	300	+	0 1	1	ſ

PROGRAM TITLE: OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 07 PSD 407

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

No significant variance.

FY 2012:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 2. There were four escape events in a formal charge of escape in the second degree due to inmates not adhering to the rules and regulations pertaining to furlough program.

#### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

No significant variance.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

- Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of inmate admissions.
- Item 3. The variance is due to two additional charges being added to the system (Electronic Devices and Tobacco Products) which warranted higher-level custody transfer. An increase in the inmate population also contributed to the increase number of inmates in this category.
- Item 5. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of inmates participating on workline, job sites, and programs.

# **VARIANCE REPORT**

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE:

KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010108

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS E	NDED 09-30	-11	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-	12
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANG	E %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	68.00 3,443	58.00 3,519		0 15 6 2	68.00 815	57.00 802	- 11.0 - 1	-	68.00 2,837	68.00 2,756	+ 0.00 - 8	0 3
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	68.00 3,443	58.00 3,519		0 15 6 2	68.00 815	57.00 802	- 11.0 - 1		68.00 2,837	68.00 2,756	+ 0.00	0 3
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2010-11	•	İ .	FISCAL YEAR	2011-12	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	≣   %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY  2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY  3. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANC	SEC. 710-1021,				0   0	0 1 30	+	0   0 1   0 0   200	0 0 10	0 0 10	   +	
					, 10	, 30		5   200	. 10	10	' \	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					l   150	158	[ [ <b>+</b>	5   5	   150	155	   +	3
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY					I				ı			
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS</li> </ol>					731		+ 12		j 739	800	; + 6 <sup>-</sup>	•
2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED					734	829	,		743	1	+ 57	
3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H		15.11 <b>-</b>			20		,	4   20	25		+ (	
4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBL		JNIT			2000			•	•		+ (	
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING II</li> <li>NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING II</li> </ol>					100   50	100 40	'	0   0 0   20	100   50	100 50	+         (   +           (	-

#### PROGRAM TITLE: KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 08 PSD 408

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2012:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. There was one escape event in a formal charge of escape in the second degree.

Item 3. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of inmates receiving sanctions for misconduct in the highest and greatest categories.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

- Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of inmate admissions.
- Item 2. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of releases.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an increase in security risks and dangerous inmates who are reclassified to higher-level custody resulting in transfers.
- Item 4. The variance is due to an increase in community projects and Adult Correctional Officers volunteering their own time for the work release program.

Item 6. The variance is due to a small number of inmates eligible to participate in the in-community programs and services. The poor economic climate on Kauai was another factor in the variance decrease.

### **VARIANCE REPORT**

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010109

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			·									
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	132.00 6,428	105.00 6,054		20 6	132.00 1,477	105.00 1,424	- 27.00 - 53	20 4	132.00 4,997	132.00 4,857	+ 0.00 - 140	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	132.00 6,428	105.00 6,054	- 27.00 - 374	20 6	132.00 1,477	105.00 1,424	- 27.00 - 53	20 4	132.00 4,997	132.00 4,857	+ 0.00 - 140	0
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2010-11	'		FISCAL YEAR	2011-12	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN	SEC. 710-1021,				   0   0   40	0 2 20	  + 0   + 2   - 20	0 0 50	   0   0   45	0   0   45	+ 0   + 0   + 0	0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					   285	316		11	285	300	 + 15	5
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 3. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIE	LITED IN COMM	INIT			   178   191   20000	252		3 32 10	180 194 22000	180   250   23000	+ 0   + 56   + 1000	0 29 5
NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING     NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING     NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING	IN FURLOUGH				20000   20   60	16   74	i - 4 i	20 23	30	23000   20   60	- 10   - 10	33 0

PROGRAM TITLE: WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 09 PSD 409

### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2012:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

- Item 2. There were two escape events in a formal charge of escape in the second degree.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an overestimation in the number of inmates receiving sanctions for misconduct in the highest and greatest categories. WCCC cannot predict the overall behavior of the inmates in the field of behavior.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the planned number of inmates based on operating capacity.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

- Item 2. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of releases.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an extension of community work line hours for the program.
- Item 4. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of candidates eligible for the furlough programs.
- Item 5. The variance is due to an increase number of candidates accepted to participate in the residential in-community programs and services.

PROGRAM TITLE:

INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010110

PSD-410

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			<del>-</del>									
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	59.00 3,066	48.00 2,744		19 11	59.00 686	47.00 651	- 12.00 - 35	20 5	59.00 2,589	59.00 2,478	+ 0.00 - 111	0 4
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	59.00 3,066	48.00 2,744	- 11.00 - 322	19 11	59.00 686	47.00 651	- 12.00 - 35	20 5	59.00 2,589	59.00 2,478	+ 0.00 - 111	0 4
						CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
<ol> <li>%PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES NOT CH</li> <li>% COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN PF</li> <li>% OF OFFENDERS THAT COMPLETE AL</li> <li>NO. BED SPACE DAYS SAVED THRU ISO</li> <li>% COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN PF</li> </ol>	ART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1.  %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES APPEARG IN COURT AS SCHED  2.  %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES NOT CHARGED WINEW OFFENSE  3.  % COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN PROG CASES COMPLETED  4.  % OF OFFENDERS THAT COMPLETE ALTERNATIVE SENTENCES  5.  NO. BED SPACE DAYS SAVED THRU ISC PRGMS/INTERVENTN				PLANNED   90   95   35   85   300000	88 98	- 5  + 2  - 61341	%   2   3   14   2   20	PLANNED   90   95   35   85   300000   15	90 95 35 85 300000 15	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	%   0   0   0   0   0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. NUMBER OF PRETRIAL OFFENDERS  2. NO. OF SENTENCED OFFENDERS WITH	COMMUNITY S	TATUS			   1160   531	742   516		36 33	1185 547	800   547	- 385 + 0	   32   0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION 2. NUMBER OF BAIL REPORTS COMPLETE 3. NUMBER OF INTAKE SCREENINGS CON 4. NO. OF PRETRIAL CASES PLACED ON IS 5. NO. SENTENCED OFFENDERS PLACED 6. NO. OFFENDERS PLACED ON COMMUN	COMMUNITY STATUS S INITIATED D D D D D S C S S S S S S S S S S S S				11000   10000   10000   15000   250   5000	8969   8553   9193   2130   102   4743	- 1447 - 807 - 12870 - 148	18 14 8 86 59 5	11000 10000 10000 15000 250 5000	11000   10000   10000   2500   150	+ 0 + 0 - 12500 - 100	   0   0   83   40

PROGRAM TITLE: INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

09 01 01 10 PSD 410

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and delays in recruitment. The expenditure variance is due to delays in contract execution.

FY 2012:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and delays in recruitment.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 3. The variance is due to a decreased number of offenders completing the Community Service Restitution Program (CSRP). To better increase the percentage, Judiciary should develop and implement an assessment tool in order to identify offenders that are appropriately suitable for CSRP.

Item 5. The variance is due to a decrease in the amount of offenders the courts released to ISC supervision. Offenders being released on bail have increased in the past fiscal year.

Item 6. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percent of community service restitution program hours completed.

#### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

Item 1. The variance is due to a decrease in the annual number of admissions for pretrial felons.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The variance in a decrease number of pretrial investigations initiated was due to the index crime rate of Hawaii decreased.

Item 2. The variance in a decrease number of bail reports that are completed resulted from the decreased number of defendants who requested a bail report.

Item 4. The variance in the decreased number of pretrial cases placed on ISC supervision is due to a change in data collection. The present counting system will count new cases placed on supervision each month, removing the possibility of multiple counting of offenders.

Item 5. The variance is due to the reduction of referrals from sentenced furlough population.

**PROGRAM TITLE:** 

CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010111

PSD-420

NUMBER OF VOLUNTEER HOURS PROVIDED TO INMATES

THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-11 FISCAL YEAR 2010-11 **NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-12 BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % BUDGETED **ACTUAL** + CHANGE BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS **RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 164.00 144.00 20.00 164.00 144.00 20.00 164.00 164.00 0.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 18,275 18,483 4,409 14,179 4,380 13,957 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 164.00 144.00 20.00 164.00 144.00 20.00 164.00 164.00 0.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 18.275 18,483 4.409 4.380 14.179 13.957 FISCAL YEAR 2010-11 FISCAL YEAR 2011-12 PLANNED ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS % INMATES COMPL COUNS/TRTMT PRGS FOR SEX OFFENDERS % OF INMATES WHO TEST POSITIVE ON URINALYSIS TESTS PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC PROGRAMS % OF INMATES COMPLETING PERSONAL IMPROVEMENT PROGS PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING VOCATIONAL PROGRAMS % INMATES COMPLETING SUBSTANCE ABUSE TRTMT PROGRMS % INMATES PARTICIPATING IN RELIG SVCS & ACTIVITIES + % MEALS SRVD MEET REQRMTS OF AMER DIETETIC ASSN + # GRIEVANCES/LAWSUITS RELATED TO ACCESS TO COURTS 10. % SENTNCD FELONS COMPLTD PRGMS/RESENTND W/IN 4 YRS 5 NO DATA NO DATA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION | + 2. NUMBER OF NEW INMATE ADMISSIONS 15547 | + PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY NO. INMATES ADMITTED TO SEX OFF COUNS/TRTMT PROGS 1. 2. NO. OF INMATES ADMITTED TO SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROGRMS 1+ NUMBER OF URINALYSIS TESTS ADMINISTERED NO. OF INMATES PARTICPTG IN LITERACY OR ACAD PROGS 4. | + 5. NO. INMATES PARTICIPATING IN VOCATIONAL/OJT PROGS 6. NUMBER OF MEALS SERVED (PER DAY) | + NO. OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN LIBRARY PROGRAMS # INMATES WHO ATTND RELIG SVCS/COUNS/STUDY CLASSES + 

+

+

#### PROGRAM TITLE: CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

09 01 01 11 PSD 420

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

#### FY 2011:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2012:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

- Item 1. The variance is due to the temporary suspension of the program caused by the closing of the Kulani Correctional Facility.
- Item 2. The variance is due to the decrease in the number of urinalysis testing being conducted because of budget constraints.
- Item 4. The variance is due to the cancellation of classes due to lack of security staff, and an increase number of inmate transfers from one institution to another institution without regards of inmate enrollment in a program.
- Item 9. The variance is due to the availability of electronic stand-alone touch screen kiosk which improved inmate's access to selected state and federal laws, case studies, and court rules in all the libraries.
- Item 10. The variance is due to no realistic resource to track all sentenced felons who completed the programs and are re-sentenced to prison within four years after release.

#### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

No significant variance.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 1. The variance is due to contracting a new provider agency that has more available resources to deliver services for sex offender counseling or treatments.

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

HEALTH CARE

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010112

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS E	NDED	09-30-11	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-12						
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHAN	GE %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	_ <u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	ANGE		%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)				1											
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	196.10 20,368	144.60 18,760		.50 26 608 8	196.10 5,112	146.60 4,271	-	49.50 841	25 16	196.10 15,664	196.10 15,988	++	0.00 324		0 2
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	196.10 20,368	144.60 18,760		.50 26 508 8	196.10 5,112	146.60 4,271	-	49.50 841	25 16	196.10 15,664	196.10 15,988	+	0.00 324		0 2
						FISCAL YEAR 2010-11					FISCAL YEAR 2011-12				
						ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%	PLANNED	<b>ESTIMATED</b>	± CHAN	NGE	i '	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING CLINICAL SERVICES  2. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING PSYCHIATRIC SVCS  3. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING DENTAL SERVICES						100 100 100 8	+	0   0   0   1	0 0 0 11	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 8	+	0   0   0   1		0 0 0 11
<ol> <li>PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING (</li> <li>% OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING OUT-OF-</li> </ol>					9   9	7	-   -	2	22	9	8	<del>-</del>	1 1		11
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION					4160	4179		19	0	4160	4180		20		0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF MEDICAL PROVIDER ENCO 2. NUMBER OF PSYCHIATRIC ENCOUNTER 3. NUMBER OF NURSING ENCOUNTERS					   11715   47486   167391	11405 59492 168473	+	310   12006   1082	3   25   1	12886 52234 168000	11562 57698 169712	+ 5	  1324    5464    1712		10 10 1
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF DENTAL ENCOUNTERS</li> <li>NUMBER OF CHRONIC CARE ENCOUNT</li> <li>NO. OFFENDERS FOR NUTRITIONAL SC</li> </ol>					10695   3152   1895	8980 3107 NO DATA	j -	1715   45   1895	16   1   100	10695 3152 1895	9000   3105   NO DATA	-	1695     47     1895		16 1 100
7. NUMBER OF OFFENDERS ADMITTED TO 8. NUMBER OF HOSPITAL ADMISSIONS					1554   176	1687 152	+   -	133   24	9 14	1554 180	1588 149	+	34   31		2 17
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING C</li> <li># OFFENDERS RECVNG TRSFR SCREEN</li> </ol>		SUMMRS			3986   14786	3270 15411		716   625	18   4	3900 14500			216   755		6 5

PROGRAM TITLE: HEALTH CARE

09 01 01 12 PSD 421

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

The position variance is due to delays in recruitment.

FY 2012:

The position variance is due to delays in recruitment.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 4. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of patients requiring chronic care services.

Item 5. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of patients requiring out-of-facility specialty care.

#### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

No significant variance.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

- Item 2. The variance is due to an increase in Psychiatry providers, such as Psychiatrists and Social Workers, thus increasing the number of psychiatric encounters.
- Item 4. The variance is due to a decrease in State dentist hours and changes in the vendor dental contract.
- Item 6. The variance is due to the elimination of the Dietitian position.
- Item 8. The variance of less hospital admissions is due to Health Care Division providing more intensive treatment of seriously ill inmates on-site in correctional infirmaries.
- Item 9. The variance is due to a decrease number of offenders requiring complex care.

PROGRAM TITLE:

HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010113

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11			THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-11					NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-12					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>+</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± 0	CHANGE		%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)																
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 7,219	2.00 5,943	+	0.00 1,276	0 18	2.00 2,045	2.00 781	+	0.00 1,264	0 62	2.00 7,943	2.00 9,139	+++	0.00 1,196		0 15
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 7,219	2.00 5,943	+ .	0.00 1,276	0 18	2.00 2,045	2.00 781	+	0.00 1,264	0 62	2.00 7,943	2.00 9,139	+	0.00 1,196		0 15
5								2010				FISCAL YEAR				
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> +</u> CI	HANGE		%
PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT 3     % OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT THE FED 3. PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED IN S 4. AMOUNT OF REVENUES GENERATED	ERAL DETENT!	ON CTR				6 10 15 5510000	9 0 15 5603759	+   -   +   +	3   10   0   93759	50 100 0 2	6 10 15 5510000	6   10   15   5510000	+   +   +   +	0 0 0 0	 	0 0 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN ALL 2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN THE 3. AVE NO. INMATES IN OUT-OF-STATE CO	FED DETENTION	ON CTR				   4070   350   2000	4179 381 1815	i +	109   31   185	3 9 9	4070 350 2000	4180   380   1815	++	110 30 185	     	3 9 9
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF PRODUCTION SITES  2. NUMBER OF INMATE APPLICATIONS RE  3. NUMBER OF INMATES INTERVIEWED  4. NUMBER OF INMATES EMPLOYED  5. NUMBER OF POSITIONS IN SKILLED TR  6. NUMBER OF INMATE WORK HOURS  7. # INMATE WORK HRS LOST DUE TO FACE	ADES	/OTHER				13   750   300   300   45   160000   22000	10 560 250 379 48 94088 47480	-   +   +	3   3   190   50   79   3   65912   25480	23 25 17 26 7 41 116	13 750 300 300 45 160000 22000	10 560 250 379 48 94088 47480	- - + +	3 190 50 79 3 65912 25480		23 25 17 26 7 41

### PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

09 01 01 13 PSD 422

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

The expenditure variance is due to the program operating within the available revenues it generated in the fiscal year. The budgeted amount is an expenditure ceiling.

FY 2012:

The expenditure variance is due to the program operating within the available revenues.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 1. The variance is due to hiring additional Department of Transportation worklines causing an affect on the percentage of inmates employed at State Facilities.

Item 2. The variance is due to the Federal Detention Center (FDC) program being terminated.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

- Item 1. The variance is due to the inability to create additional production sites and closure of the furniture plant.
- Item 2. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of inmate applications received during the fiscal year.
- Item 3. The variance for the number of inmates interviewed is due to a decrease number of applications received.
- Item 4. The variance in the number of inmates employed is due to the ability of HCI to retain inmates for a longer period.

Item 6. The variance in the number of inmate work hours decreased due to loss in contract work, facility shutdowns, and availability of civilian supervision.

Item 7. The variance is due to an increase in the number of facility lockdowns and other stoppages. The larger number of lockdowns and other stoppages translate to an increase in the number of inmate work hours lost.

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

NON-STATE FACILITIES

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010114

		FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-1	1		THREE!	MONTHS EI	NDED	09-30-11		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-12				
		BUDGETED	ACTUAL ±		HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE		%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%	
	I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS ARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)							•								
OPERA	ATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	9.00 66,237	9.00 63,590		0.00 2,647	0 4	9.00 5,098	9.00 5,096	+	0.00	0	9.00 59,562	9.00 59,543	+ 0.00 - 19	0	
	TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	9.00 66,237	9.00 63,590	+	0.00 2,647	0 4	9.00 5,098	9.00 5,096	+	0.00 2	0	9.00 59,562	9.00 59,543	+ 0.00 - 19	0	
							FISCAL YEAR 2010-11					FISCAL YEAR 2011-12				
							PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Cl	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	
1. 2.	II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY						   0   0	0	   +   +	0   0   0	0 0	0	   0   0	+ 0 + 0	0	
3. 4. 5.	NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAND PERCENT OF INMATES IN CONTRACTE PERCENT OF INMATE GRIEVANCES FIL	D BEDS					1000   33 ! 100	27	+   -	581   6	58 18	1000 33	1000	+ 0 + 0	0	
6.	AVERAGE PERCENT OF MAJOR CONTR		WIT				100	7	+	61   13	61   65	100 20	150   20	+ 50 + 0	50 0	
PARTI	II: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP									i	I	·				
1. 2.	AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES AT OU AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES AT TH		ΓEN				2000 350	.1815   381		185   31	9   9	2000 350	1815   380	- 185 + 30	9 9	
	V: PROGRAM ACTIVITY NUMBER OF INMATE GRIEVANCES FILE	:D					250	315	+	65	26	250	300	+ 50	20	
	AVERAGE NUMBER OF MAJOR CONTRA						199	199		0	0	199	200		1	

PROGRAM TITLE: NON-STATE FACILITIES

09 01 01 14 PSD 808

### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

No significant variance.

FY 2012:

No significant variance.

### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

- Item 3. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of inmates receiving sanctions for misconduct in the highest and greatest categories.
- Item 4. The variance is due to decrease in the out-of-state population which result in a reduction in the percent of inmates in contracted beds.
- Item 5. The variance is due to an underestimation of the percent of inmate grievances filed.
- Item 6. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percentage of major contract provisos with out-of-State facilities requiring corrective action.

# **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

No significant variance.

### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The variance is due to an increased number of inmate grievances filed in contracted out-of-State facilities.

STATE OF HAWAII

**VARIANCE REPORT** 

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

**ENFORCEMENT** 

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090102

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11			THREE M	MONTHS EN	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-12						
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE		%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	367.00 18,959	340.00 16,904		27.00 2,055	7 11	375.00 4,406	331.00 3,264	- 44.00 - 1,142	12 26	375.00 15,727	375.00 16,075	+	0.00 348	(
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	367.00 18,959	340.00 16,904		27.00 2,055	7 11	375.00 4,406	331.00 3,264	- 44.00 - 1,142	12 26	375.00 15,727	375.00 16,075	+	0.00 348	(
				•		FISCAL YEAR 2010-11				FISCAL YEAR 2011-12				
DART II MEAGUREO OF FEFFOTIVENEGO						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHA	NGE	- %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. NUMBER OF NEW ARRESTS MADE						l 4200	4123	- 77	2	4200	4200	+	0 I	(

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010202

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-11	1	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-12					
DADT I EVDENDITUDES O DOCUMENTO	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%		
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	19.00 1,719	15.00 1,579	- 4.00 - 140	21 8	20.00 358	16.00 295	- 4.00 - 63	20 18	20.00 1,486	20.00 1,493	+ 0.00 + 7	0		
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	19.00 1,719	15.00 1,579	- 4.00 - 140	21 8	20.00	16.00 295	- 4.00 - 63	20 18	20.00	20.00 1,493	+ 0.00	0		
					FISCAL YEAR 2010-11				<u> </u>					
DADT II MEAGUEE OF FEFFORWAY					PLANNED		<u>+</u> CHANGE	%		FISCAL YEAR ESTIMATED		%		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. % CERTIFICATES/PEMITS ISSUED WITH  2. % OF CASES THAT RESULTED IN SUCC  3. % OF CASES RELEASED PENDING FUTH  4. % CASES CONFERRED/ACCEPTED BY F  5. % CASES CONFERRED/DECLINED BY P  6. PERCENT OF CASES REFERRED TO FE  7. % CRIMINL CASES RSLTD IN ASSET FOI  8. % CASES INVESTIGTD & RESOLVD W/O  9. % OF DRUG EDUCATION OR TRAINING S  10. % INQUIRIES MADE ON ELECTRONIC R)	ESSFUL PROSE HER INVESTIGA PROSECUTING A ROSECUTING A DERAL AGENCI RET/RECVD BY UT CRIMINAL A SESSIONS CON	ECUTION ITION AGENCIES AGENCIES ES DEPT CTION IDUCTED			95   99   55   97   3   5   3   60   95	3   1   73	+ 1 - 37 + 3 - 3 - 2 - 2 + 13 - 1	1   67   3   100	95   99   50   97   3   5   60   95	99   30   98   2   5   3   70   95	+ 0 + 0 - 20 + 1 - 1 + 0 + 0 + 10 + 0 + 0	17		
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION  2. NUMBER OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE  3. NUMBER OF REGULATED CHEMICAL RE  4. NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJUA  5. NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJUA  6. NO. PHYSICNS PARTICPTG IN MED USE	GISTRANTS NA PATIENTS NA CAREGIVER	RS			1444472   6200   20   8500   1000   95	5427   20   7593	+ 0   - 773   + 0   - 907   - 143   + 1	0 12 0 11 11 14	1454219 6000 20 8800 1000	6200   25   8000   850	+ 5,	   0   3   25   9   15		
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. # CONTR SUBS/REG CHM/ORAL/MJ RGS  2. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN S  3. TOTAL NO. CASES CONFERRD/ACCEPT  4. TOTAL NO. CASES REFERRD/DECLND B  5. TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES REFERRED  6. NO. CASES INVESTGTD FROM HIA/CORI  7. NUMBER OF REGULATORY ACTIONS TA  8. NO. OF EDUCATIONAL AND TRAINING SI  9. NO. OF FORENSIC DRUG ANALYSIS CON  10. # CNTRLLD SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  11. # CNTRLLD SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  2. TOTAL NUMBER OF TRAINING SI  9. NO. OF FORENSIC DRUG ANALYSIS CON  10. # CNTRLLD SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  11. # CNTRLLD SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  12. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  13. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  14. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  15. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  16. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  16. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  16. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  17. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  18. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  18. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  18. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  18. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  18. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  18. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  18. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SECTION TO THE SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTORY  18. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED TO THE SUBS RX PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD BY PROCESSD B	SUCCESSFUL P D BY PROSECUTN Y PROSECUTN TO FEDERAL AG R FACILITIES/OT KEN ESSIONS COND NDUCTED BY NI	ROSEC ITG AGEN G AGENC GENCIES THER DUCTED ED LAB			12000   60   70   2   25   800   400   50	65   84   0   33	+ 30   + 12	3 8 20 100 32 23 65 60 8 8	14800 60 80 2 35 850 450 60 150 6500000	900   200   50   200	+ 5 - 10   + 0   - 10   + 50   - 250   - 10	19 8 13 0 29 6 56 17 33 8		

PROGRAM TITLE: NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

09 01 02 02 PSD 502

### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

The variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. FY 2012:

The variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

- Item 3. The variance is due an overestimation of the percent of cases released pending further investigation.
- Item 5. The variance is due Narcotics Enforcement Division (NED)'s ability to work closely with the prosecuting agencies which resulted in no cases being rejected.
- Item 6. The variance is due to the number of cases referred to the Federal agencies. Of the 983 criminal cases investigated by NED, only 33 cases were referred to a Federal law enforcement agency for investigation.
- Item 7. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percent of criminal cases that resulted in asset forfeiture and received by the Department.
- Item 8. The variance is due to NED's ability to resolve a higher percentage of cases without having to initiate criminal action by working with the registrant or the suspect to rectify the issues.

### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 2. The variance is due to delays in processing based on NED staff shortage.
- Item 4. The variance is due to a stabilization in Hawaii's Medical Use of Marijuana patient numbers resulting in Federal action against dispensaries and fraudulent patients.

Item 5. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of patient caregivers based on a stabilization in Hawaii's Medical Use of Marijuana patient numbers.

### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

- Item 3. The variance is due to an underestimation of the projected numbers of cases referred and accepted by the prosecuting agencies. Of the 84 cases submitted to the Federal or County prosecuting agency, all 84 cases were accepted.
- Item 4. The variance is due NED's ability to work closely with the prosecuting agencies which resulted in no cases being rejected.
- Item 5. The variance is due to a decreased number of cases referred to the Federal agencies. Of the 983 criminal cases investigated by NED, only 33 cases were referred to a Federal law enforcement agency for investigation.
- Item 6. The variance is due to an increase in criminal investigations jointly being conducted by NED and the county police department relating to pharmaceutical controlled substances and medical use of marijuana.
- Item 7. The variance in the number of regulatory actions taken is due to NED's inability to issue controlled substance prescription data to practitioners through its e-Pass program.
- Item 8. The variance is due to an increase in the number of drug education or training sessions conducted by NED which conducted 80 drug and clandestine laboratory presentations that were attended by 4,869 individuals and covered by the media.

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

SHERIFF PSD-503

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010203

	T I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS					MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-11	l	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	. %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	348.00 17,240	325.00 15,325	- 23.00 - 1,915	7 11	355.00 4,048	315.00 2,969	- 40.00 - 1,079	11 27	355.00 14,241	355.00 14,582	+ 0.00 + 341	0 2
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	348.00 17,240	325.00 15,325		7 11	355.00 4,048	315.00 2,969	- 40.00 - 1,079	11 27	355.00 14,241	355.00 14,582	+ 0.00 + 341	0 2
						CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR	2011-12	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. AVERAGE RESPONSE TIME FOR ALL IN 2. PERCENT OF GRAND JURY AND HPA W 3. PERCENT OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS SEF 4. PERCENT OF THREATS INVESTIGATED	/ARRANTS SER' RVED	VED			   2   70   12   100	2 81 253 100	+ 11   + 241	0   16   2008   0	   2   70   12	70 200	+ 0 + 0 + 188 + 0	0   0   1567   0
4. PERCENT OF THREATS INVESTIGATED  PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION  2. NUMBER OF STATE DEPARTMENTS  3. NUMBER OF STATE COURTHOUSES  4. # PERSONS IN CUSTODY REQ DETENTN/TRANSPRT/PROCESS					1444472   21   15	1444472   21   15   28590	+ 0	0 0 0 0	   1454219   21   15	(	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	   0   0   0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF SERVICE TYPE CASES 2. NUMBER OF CRIMINAL CASES RECEIVED 3. NUMBER OF ARREST INCIDENTS 4. NO. THREATS AGNST GOVT OFFICLS/STATE GOVT EMPLYEES 5. NUMBER OF GRAND JURY AND HPA WARRANTS RECEIVED 6. NO. PERSONS DETAINED IN DISTRICT & CIRCUIT COURTS					   1700   2900   4200   5   1250   24000	- 1	+ 344   - 77   + 1   - 200	206 12 2 20 16 1	   1700   2900   4200   5   1250   24000	2900   4200   5   1250		   194   0   0   0
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF CUSTODY TRANSPORTS</li> <li>NUMBER OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS RECI</li> </ol>	NUMBER OF CUSTODY TRANSPORTS NUMBER OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS RECEIVED					870   0   5337	+ 120 - 13500	16 100 11	750 13500 6000	,	+ 0 - 13500	0   100   0

PROGRAM TITLE: SHERIFF

09 01 02 03 PSD 503

### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

The variance is due to delays in filling positions due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2012:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 2. The variance is due to Sheriff Special Operations Unit being more effective in serving warrants with the addition of new vehicles that were purchased by the U.S. Marshall Service for Sheriff Division's use.

Item 3. The variance is due to the Judiciary converting to an electronic system and allowing system access to both Honolulu Police Department and Sheriff Division. The system allows agencies to download the warrant to serve the defendant.

### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

No significant variances.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of service type cases. The cases include all calls for service (criminal and non-criminal) from the Sheriff Division Statewide.

Item 2. The variance is due to an increase number of calls for service received by the Sheriff Division. More State agencies are contacting the Sheriff Division for security and law enforcement situations arise.

Item 4. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of threats against government officials and state government employees reported. Sheriff Division responded and reported all six cases in a timely manner.

Item 5. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of grand jury and Hawaii Paroling Authority (HPA) warrants received.

Item 7. The variance is due to an underestimation of the planned number. The Sheriff Division does not have any control over the number of required transports. The custody transports are based on court orders for person(s) held in state correctional facilities, state hospitals, juvenile detention centers, or other court ordered facilities to be transported to court hearings.

Item 8. The variance is due to the Judiciary converting to an electronic system and allowing system access to both Honolulu Police Department and Sheriff Division. The system allows agencies to download the warrant to serve the defendant. Judiciary is the repository for all new traffic warrants.

Item 9. The variance is due to a decrease in manning and overtime funds which impacts the ability to perform out duties effectively.

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

PAROLE SUPERVISION AND COUNSELING

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090103

REPORT V61 12/14/11

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE !	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-1	i	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)							-					
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	58.00 3,546	50.00 3,276	- 8.00 - 270	1	58.00 700	48.00 691	- 10.00 - 9	17 1	58.00 3,041	58.00 2,908	+ 0.00 - 133	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	58.00 3,546	50.00 3,276			58.00 700	48.00 691	- 10.00 - 9	17 1	58.00 3,041	58.00 2,908	+ 0.00 - 133	0 4
		FIS	CAL YEAR	2010-11			FISCAL YEAR	2011-12				
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU 2. PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARL		240	236 5	- 4 + 0	   2   0	233	220   5	- 13   + 0	6 0			
3. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DIS	AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DISCHARGE (YRS)     UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROLEES							0 60	5	5   10	+ 0	0

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010301

PSD-611

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS			1		THREE N	MONTHS E	NDED	09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 0	6-30-12		
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> c	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CI	IANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)									·						
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 217	3.00 199		0.00 18	0 8	3.00 52	3.00 52	++	0.00	0	3.00 165	3.00 154	+	0.00 11	0 7
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 217	3.00 199		0.00 18	0 8	3.00 52	3.00 52	+ +	0.00	. 0	3.00 165	3.00 154	+	0.00 11	0 7
				•		FIS	CAL YEAR	2010-	·11			FISCAL YEAR	2011-	12	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> </u>	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARL  2. AV LENGTH OF TIME BEFORE NEXT PA						   5   10	5 11	,	0 1	   0   10	5 1 10	5 11	+	0   1	0 10
3. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DI 4. % INMATES GRANTED PAROLE AT EXP 5. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU	IRATN OF MIN S	ENTCE				5 50 240	5 34 236	•	0   16   4	0 32 2	5 50 233	5 35 220	+	0   15   13	0 30 6
5. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURNED TO PRISON PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AV NO. OF SENTENCED FELONS IN STATE PRISON SYSTEM 2. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISDICTION					   4182   1862	3938 1839	     -   -	244   23	6	4182 1918	4000 1900	-  -	182   18	4	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF MINIMUM SENTENCES FIX 2. # PERSONS CONSIDERED FOR PAROLE 3. NUMBER OF PAROLES GRANTED 4. NUMBER OF PAROLES DENIED		N DATE				   2751   2115   718   1233	2161 2188 687 1232	+   -	590   73   31   1	21 3 4 0	2751 2115 740 1233	2300 2210 700   1200	-	451   95   40   33	16 4 5 3
NUMBER OF PAROLES DENIED     NUMBER OF PAROLES REVOKED     NO. OF APPL FOR REDUCTN OF MIN SENTENCE CONSIDERED     NUMBER OF PARDON APPLICATIONS CONSIDERED     NUMBER OF PAROLESS REVIEWED FOR DISCHARGE					1233   240   120   68	236   131   96   91	-   +   +	4   11   28   25	2   9   41   22	233 120 68 116	210   130   80	-	23   10   12   21	10 8 18 18	
<ul><li>8. NUMBER OF PAROLEES REVIEWED FOR DISCHARGE</li><li>9. NUMBER OF INFORMAL INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED</li></ul>						116   14	91   18		25   4	22   29	116 14	95   18		21   4	

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

09 01 03 01 PSD 611

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

No significant variance.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 2. The variance is due to a limited availability of institutional based program slots which result in parole being denied. In all such cases, parole hearings are scheduled to take place no later than 11 months.

Item 4. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percent of inmates who are granted parole at the expiration of minimum sentence. The Parole Board thoroughly reviews the completion of recommended programs, positive institutional adjustment, participation in sequential phasing, and appropriate parole plans when parole decisions are made.

#### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

No significant variance.

### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 1. The variance in the number of minimum sentences set is a result of an overall reduction in the crime rate and a decrease in the rate of recidivism which can be attributed to the efforts of the Interagency Council of Intermediate Sanctions to reduce the adult offender recidivism rate.

Item 7. The variance is due to an increase in the number of applications for pardons filed by inmates.

Item 8. The variance in the number of parole discharges reviewed is due to the number of parolee's inability to pay off the remainder of restitution owed. Hawaii Paroling Authority (HPA) can not grant a discharge if there is any outstanding unpaid restitution balances.

Item 9. The variance is due to the Parole Board willingness to conduct more informal interviews than in the past.

REPORT V61 12/14/11

450 | +

20 j

450

91 j

οi

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

PS

PSD-612

NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS CONDUCTED

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11	•	THREE I	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-30-1	1	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-12	2
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	≡ %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	. %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 3,329	47.00 3,077	- 8.00 - 252	15 8	55.00 648	45.00 639	- 10.00 - 9	18	55.00 2,876	55.00 2,754	+ 0.00 - 122	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 3,329	47.00 3,077		15 8	55.00 648	45.00 639	- 10.00 - 9	18	55.00 2,876	55.00 2,754	+ 0.00 - 122	0
						CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. % RECOM RELATG TO PAROLE RELEAS  2. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU					   78   240	84 236		. •	   78   233		+ 0 + 7	0   3
3. AMOUNT OF RESTITUTION COLLECTED 4. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DI 5. UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROL	SCHARGE (YEA	RS)			45000   5   10	96296 5 16	+ 0	j o	45000   5   10	5	+ 0 + 0 + 0	
	EES				10	16	+ 6	60	10	10	+ 0	<u> </u>
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. NO. OF PAROLEES IN HAWAII FROM OT  2. NO. PAROLEES LINDER HAWAII III. III.					   43   145	45 131		•	   44   149	43   145	- 1 - 4	   2   3
<ol> <li>NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISDICTN OUT OF STATE</li> <li>NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISDICTN WITHIN STATE</li> <li>AV NO. OF SENTENCED INMATES IN STATE PRISON SYSTEM</li> </ol>					1862 4182	1839 3938	- 23	1	1918 4182	1862   4000	- 56	j 3
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY							<del></del>	1	1	1		
1. NUMBER OF PREPAROLE INVESTIGATION	IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY NUMBER OF PREPAROLE INVESTIGATIONS CONDUCTED NUMBER OF ARREST WARRANTS ISSUED					2188   287			2115 301	2115 310	_	0
					310 116	171					+ 0	i
					68	96	+ 28	•	68		+ 0	j c
					142	176		•			+ 0	0
NUMBER OF PARDON INVESTIGATIONS CONDUCTED					195 44	183			195 44		+ 0	
I. NOWIDER OF PAROLEES UNDER INTENS		44	46	+ 2	5	44	44	+ 0	(			

450

541 | +

### PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

09 01 03 02 PSD 612

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 2011:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2012:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 3. The variance is due to the Authority and the staff ensuring parolees have the ability to make restitution, pay court fees, and/or fines ordered by the courts.

Item 5. The variance is due to the weakness of the State's economy, which in the past, the State would employ a larger number of parolees in both, skilled and unskilled positions.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 2. The variance is due to HPA's emphasis to increase the number of requests for early discharge, which results in the decreased number of parolees located out-of-state.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 3. The variance in the number of parole discharges recommended directly correlates to a change in the Parole Board's policy. This resulted in the Parole Officers submitting more early discharge recommendations to the Parole Board.

Item 4. The variance in the number of pardon investigations conducted increased due to HPA ability to complete more investigations than originally planned.

Item 5. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of interstate compact agreements.

Item 8. The variance is due to a change in composition of the Parole Board, and a subsequent shift in philosophy which has increased the number of administrative hearings conducted.

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090104

PSD-613

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

	I							09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-1	2
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	_ <u>+</u> (	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)						-							
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	8.00 2,700	7.00 1,221	- 1.00 - 1,479	13 55	8.00 527	6.00 349	-	2.00 178	25 34	8.00 2,224	8.00 2,376	+ 0.00 + 152	0 7
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	8.00 2,700	7.00 1,221	- 1.00 - 1,479	13 55	8.00 527	6.00 349	-	2.00 178	25 34	8.00 2,224	8.00 2,376	+ 0.00 + 152	0 7
	-	-				CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR		
DART II. MEACUREO OF FEFEOTIVENEOR					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
ART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. AV TIME FM DATE APP RCV TO DATE DECISN MAILD (WKS)  2. AV TIME FROM AWARD TO DATE PURCH ORDR PREPRD (WKS)  3. PERCENT OF CLAIMANTS WHO RECEIVED COMPENSATION  4. AVERAGE COMPENSATION AWARD MADE					   20   4   75   1100	20 2 76 929	  +  -  +  -	   0    2    1    171	0 50 1 16	20 4 75 1000	16 2 75 1000	- 4   - 2   + 0   + 0	20   50   0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. # PERSONS STATEWIDE WHO MAY BE ELIG FOR COMPENSATN					   1361010	1361010	   +	0	0	1361010	1361010	+ 0	l   0
ART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY  1. NUMBER OF CLAIMS RECEIVED  2. DOLLAR VALUE OF CLAIMS RECEIVED  3. NUMBER OF HEARINGS HELD  4. NUMBER OF COMPENSATION AWARDS MADE  5. NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE MEETINGS HELD  6. NUMBER OF CLAIMS DENIED					900 900000 6 1100 6 200	783 576000 6 1158 6 199	  -  +  +  +	117   324000   0   58   0	13 36 0 5	900 900000 4 1000 4 200	900000	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 100 + 0	0   0   0   10   0

## PROGRAM TITLE: CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

09 01 04 PSD 613

## **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

### FY 2011:

The position variance is due to employee turnover. The expenditure variance is due to less than anticipated expenditure for the victim of crime act federal grant.

### FY 2012:

The position variance is due to employee turnover. The expenditure variance is due to less than anticipated expenditure for the victim of crime act federal grant.

## **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 2. The variance in the average time award to date purchase order was decreased due to due to a more efficient payment process being in place.

Item 4. The variance in the average compensation award made decreased due to the Medical Expense Reduction Project negotiating lower medical payments made on behalf of victims.

## **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

No significant variance.

### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 1. The variance in the number of claims received decreased due to the number of applications filed.

Item 2. The variance is due to a decrease in the amounts awarded based on the limitations in compensation in the Medical Expense Reduction Project.

**GENERAL SUPPORT - CRIMINAL ACTION** 

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090105

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-11		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-12				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	. %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	194.10 16,225	151.10 12,073			194.00 3,749	148.00 2,590	- 46.00 - 1,159	24 31	194.00 14,350	194.00 15,157	+ 0.00 + 807	0 6	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	194.10 16,225	151.10 12,073		22 26	194.00 3,749	148.00 2,590	- 46.00 - 1,159	24 31	194.00 14,350	194.00 15,157	+ 0.00 + 807	0	
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2010-11		1	FISCAL YEAR	2011-12		
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS  1. PERCENTAGE OF VACANCIES FILLED  2. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRAN  3. % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TS	,	,			   50   25	82   24   57	   + 32     - 1     - 3	   64   4   5	50 25 1 70	80   22   65	+ 30   - 3   - 5	60 12	

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

**GENERAL ADMINISTRATION** 

PSD-900

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010501

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-1	1		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDE	D 09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING	06-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. +	CHANGE	%		ESTIMATED			%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	137.10 9,769	113.10 8,149	-	24.00 1,620	18 17	137.00 2,050	112.00 1,698	-	25.00 352	18 17	137.00 9,058	137.00 9,058	+	0.00	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	137.10 9,769	113.10 8,149	<u>-</u>	24.00 1,620	18 17	137.00 2,050	112.00 1,698	-	25.00 352	18 17	137.00 9,058	137.00 9,058	++	0.00	0
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>  ± C</u>	CHANGE	<u>%</u>	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	ANGE	%
<ol> <li>PERCENT OF VACANCIES FILLED</li> <li>PERCENT OF DELEGATED POSITION AGE</li> </ol>						50   90	82 81	j -	32   9	64 10	50 90	1	+	30   0	60   0
AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRAI     W DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TS	•					25	24		1	4	25	22		3	12
5. % INTERNL INVSTGTNS CLOSED BY INS						60 l 50	57 96	-	3   46	5 92	70 50	,	- +	5   45	7 90
6. % INTERNL INVSTGTNS COMPLETED BY						70		-	2	3	70		+	5	7
7. % ADA COMPLNTS INVSTGTD/CLOSED						80		j -	30	38	82	70 j	-	12	15
8. % HARASSMNT/DISCRMNTN COMPLNTS						75	100		25	33	77	1	+	3	4
9. % ACTIVE CIP PROJCTS ON/AHEAD OF	SCHOL A/O JUN	NE 30				25	21	i -	4	16	25	25	+	0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP							0.477	!		40					!
NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYS     NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL FACILITIE						2489 7	2177 7		312   0	13   0	2489   7	,	+	289   0	12   0
3. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION	<b>.</b>					1444472	1444472	•	0	0	1454219		+	0 1	0 1
4. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION						6162	5994		168	3	6162		-	62	1
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY				-				I						1	
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF NEW REQUESTS TO FILL V</li> </ol>	ACANCIES					250	227	i -	23	9	250	250	+	o i	0
<ol><li>NUMBER OF DELEGATED POSITION AC</li></ol>						500	,	-	88	18	500		+	0	0
3. NUMBER OF FISCAL TRANSACTIONS PE						240		+	50	21	240		+	10	4
4. # TIME SHEETS PROC FOR OT & EMERO 5. NUMBER OF TRAINING SESSIONS CONI		ONTH				3800 350	3790   299	-   -	10   51	0   15	3800 400		-	100   100	3   25
6. # INTERNAL INVSTGTNS RCVD BY INSP		FFC				600		-   -	456 i	76	600		-	400	67 I
7. NO. INTERNAL INVSTGTNS INITIATD BY	INTERNL AFFA	IRS				107	61	-	46	43	107		-	47	44
8. NUMBER OF ADA COMPLAINTS FILED					.	5	- 1	ĺ -	2	40	7	7 . 1	-	3	43 j
9. NO. OF HARASSMENT/DISCRIMINATION		FILED				30	1	-	13	43	31		-	6	19
10. NUMBER OF ACTIVE CIP PROJECTS AS	OF JUNE 30					8	8	. +	0	0	8	8	+	0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

09 01 05 01 PSD 900

### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

#### FY 2011:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. The expenditure variance is due to less expenditure incurred by the non-general fund program under general administration. FY 2012:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. The expenditure variance is due to less expenditure incurred by the non-general fund program under general administration.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

- Item 1. The variance is due to budgetary constraints being lifted led to an increased percentage of vacant positions being filled.
- Item 2. The variance is due to budgetary constraints to fill delegated positions that led to a reduced percentage.
- Item 5. The variance of internal investigations closed is due to staff efforts to conduct the investigation and close the cases.
- Item 7. The variance is due to the number of ADA complaints received. Two of the four ADA complaints were pending investigation with an outside agency which the Civil Rights Compliant Office has no control over their timeliness.
- Item 8. The variance is due to the high number of complaints investigated and cleared by the Hawaii Civil Rights Commission and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- Item 9. The variance is due to the overestimation of the percent of CIP on or ahead of schedule.

### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

Item 1. The variance is due to overestimation of the number of departmental employees.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

- Item 2. The variance is due budgetary constraints that had an impact on the number of position actions received.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of fiscal transactions processed per day.
- Item 5. The variance is due to budgetary constraints and transition of Facility Training Officers.
- Item 6. The variance is due to overestimation of the number of internal investigations received by Inspections and Investigations Office.
- Item 7. The variance is due to staff reduction which resulted in a decreased number of internal investigations initiated by the Internal Affairs Office.
- Item 8. The variance is due to the low number of ADA complaints filed. The low number of complaints could be attributed to the efforts to educate management and employees on ADA compliance.
- Item 9. The variance is due to the low number of harassment/discrimination complaints filed. The low number of complaints could be attributed to the efforts to educate management and employees on Civil Rights compliance.

9.

PROGRAM TITLE: STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

PROGRAM-ID:

ATG-231

#LATENT FINGER/PALM PRINT SEARCHES DONE THRU AFIS

#CJIS-HAWAII RECS INDEXED ON INTERSTATE ID INDEX

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010502 FISCAL YEAR 2010-11 THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-11 **NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-12 BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE BUDGETED ESTIMATED % + CHANGE **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS** RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS **POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 57.00 38.00 19.00 57.00 36.00 21.00 57.00 57.00 0.00 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 2.532 6,456 3.924 1,699 5.292 6.099 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 57.00 38.00 19.00 57.00 36.00 21.00 57.00 57.00 0.00 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 6.456 3,924 2,532 1.699 5,292 6,099 FISCAL YEAR 2010-11 FISCAL YEAR 2011-12 **PLANNED** ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS %CIV ID APPCT SVD MONTH MAIN OFF VS. OUT/LIAIS OFF AV# DAYS REQUIRD TO COMPLETE EXPUNGEMENT PROCESS 1 + AV # DAYS TO ENTER DISPOSITION DATA PER SEGMENT 4. % COMPLETE DISPOSITIONS ON CJIS-HAWAII % OF ELIGIBLE SEX OFFENDERS THAT REGISTERED + % REG SEX OFFENDERS WHO COMPLY WIVER PROCESS AV# DAYS TO COMPLETE CRIM HIS RECORD CHK REQUESTS 1 + AV# DAYS FOR CRIM FINGERPRTS TO BE RECVD BY FBI + % MONTHLY LATENT FINGERPRT/PALMPRT HITS 31 | -| + PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PERSONS WITH CRIMINAL RECORDS PERSONS WITH EXPUNGEABLE RECORDS | + PERSONS WITH VALID STATE ID CARDS NO. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES SVD (CNTY/STATE/FED) l -CJIS-HAWAII USERS PERSONS WITH ELIGIBLE SEX OFFENDER CHARGES 6. + | + 7. NUMBER OF AGENCIES USING AFIS 7 | + 7 | + 1 | PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY # REG SEX OFFENDRS REQURING QTRLY VERIFICATION #PUB ACC/WEB TRANSACTNS CONDUCTED ON CJIS-HAWAII + # OF INQUIRY TRANSACTIONS CONDUCTED ON CJIS-HAWAII ۱+ 1+ # OF EXPUNGEMENT REQUESTS PROCESSED/DENIED | + # OF STATE ID CARDS ISSUED ANNUALLY | + #NAME-BASED APPLICANT RECORD CHECKS PROCESSED -1 -#FINGERPRT-BASED APPLICANT RECORD CHKS PROCESSED 7. 1 -8. # CRIMINAL FINGERPRINTS PROCESSED THRU AFIS 45000 | + 

8257 | -

+

1+

+

### PROGRAM TITLE: STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

09 01 05 02 ATG 231

#### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

The position variance in FY 2011 and the beginning of FY 2012 was due to the hiring freeze. However, we are now working on filling these vacancies.

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures in FY 2011 occurred because vacancies were not filled and significantly less than anticipated was spent out of the non-general fund account - S210-National Criminal History Record Improvement Program.

### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 2: The increase in the number of days to complete the expungement process in FY 2011 and FY 2012 is due to staff shortages in the past fiscal year which created a backlog and pushed our completion average to 120 days. In this fiscal year, we anticipate being able to process expungements in a more timely manner with new procedures and additional staff assistance. However, implementing these changes will take time and estimating the average number of days to complete the expungement process at 115 days is a more realistic goal.

Item 3: The decrease in the number of days to enter disposition data in FY 2011 and FY 2012 is due to the fact that the Data Integrity Section has been focusing their efforts on researching and entering dispositions for 'contempt of court' charges.

Item 6: The anticipated increase in the percentage of covered offenders who comply with the verification process in FY 2012 is because of the State's efforts in enforcing the annual in-person verification provision of Chapter 846E, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

Item 9: The actual percentage of FY 2011 is based on the numbers that we are able to extract from the system at this time, and further research is necessary.

### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

Item 7: American Samoa is now using our Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS).

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 5: The number of State ID cards issued in FY 2011 has increased as furloughs ended for special-funded programs and the office is no longer closed on those designated Fridays. In addition, in 1999, there was a big increase in the number of ID cards issued as all previously issued cards without an expiration date expired, effective 12/31/1999; this is the second 6-year cycle for those cards to be renewed.

Item 6: The decrease in name-based applicant record checks being processed in FY 2011 and FY 2012 is due to less requests being received by the Criminal History Record Checks Unit and more use of Public Access and the website, eCrim.

Item 8: The decrease in the number of criminal fingerprints processed in FY 2011 is due to the decrease in the number of people being arrested.

Item 9: The decrease in the number of latent finger/palm print searches done through AFIS in FY 2011 is due to less requests being submitted by the county police departments.

Item 10: There is an increase in the anticipated number of Hawaii records that will be indexed on the Interstate Identification Index in FY 2012 as we are now able to index many records via an electronic comparison process vs. doing the comparisons manually.

## **VARIANCE REPORT**

REPORT V61 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

SAFETY FROM PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0902

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-12	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	197.25 107,705	8.00 1,346	- 189.25 - 106,359	96 99	222.75 649	7.00 180	- 215.75 - 469	97 72	222.75 113,320	8.00 2,440	- 214.75 - 110,880	96 98
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	197.25 107,705	8.00 1,346	- 189.25 - 106,359	96 99	222.75 649	7.00 180	- 215.75 - 469	97 72	222.75 113,320	8.00 2,440	- 214.75 - 110,880	96 98
			CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR					
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
DEATHS/INJ/PROP DAM DUE TO FLOOD	S (INCIDENTS)				1 4	2	i i - 2 i	50	1 4	4	+ 0 1	(

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

**VARIANCE REPORT** 

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

LNR-810

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090201

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	010-11	-	THREE	MONTHS E	NDED	09-30-11		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-12	<u> </u>
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED			
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			-										
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	9.00 2,275	8.00 1,346	- 1.00 - 929	11 41	9.00 649	7.00 180	-	2.00 469	22 72	9.00 1,971	8.00 2,440	- 1.00 + 469	11 24
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	9.00 2,275	8.00 1,346	- 1.00 - 929	11 41	9.00 649	7.00 180	- -	2.00 469	22 72	9.00 1,971	8.00 2,440	- 1.00 + 469	11 24
					FiS	CAL YEAR	2010-1	1			ISCAL YEAR	2011-12	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> </u>	ANGE	%		ESTIMATED		%
NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAB	ILTIES/PROP D	AM			4	2	-	2	50	4	I	+ 0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP  1. DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS)					1.4	1.4	+	0	0 1	1.4	1,4		0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY						<u>.</u>		<del></del>			11.7	·	0
<ol> <li>NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL &amp; PREVENTIO</li> <li>NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT</li> </ol>	N PLANS REVIE TS IMPLEMENT	EWED FD			4	4   0	+	0	0	4		+ 0	0
<ol><li>NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN</li></ol>	TS ENTERED IN	OTI			1	1 1	+	0	100   0	1	- 1	+ 0	0
<ol><li>NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL &amp; CON</li></ol>	SRV LAWS REV	'IEWED			2	2	+	0 1	0 1	2		+ 0 + 0	0
5. NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES/I	NVESTGTNS P	ERFRMD			4	2	_	2	50	4		+ 0	0
6. FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS)				ĺ	100	50	-	50	50 i	100		+ 0	0
7. NO. OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RENDE	NO. OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RENDERED (MAN-HOURS)						+	0	0	500		+ 0	0
	NUMBER OF REPORTS AND MAPS PREPARED						-	2	100	2		+ 0	0
10. NUMBER OF DAMS INSPECTED	NO. OF DAM SAFETY PROJECTS DEVELOPED OR IMPLEMENTD						-	1	100	1	1 j	+ 0	ő
10. HOMBER OF DAMO INGFECTED					72	72	+	0	0 1	72	72 j	+ 0 i	0

### PROGRAM TITLE: PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

09 02 01 LNR 810

### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY11: Position variance was due to unfilled/unbudgeted position. FY12: 1st quarter position variance was due to staff resignation.

Expenditure variance was due to vacancy savings and having an expenditure ceiling greater than the amount of special funds available.

### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

Item 1: Storm frequency and/or intensity less than anticipated.

### **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

No significance variance.

### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 2: FY11 variance reflects authorized flood control projects and their implementation; there has been a downturn in project funding.

Item 5: Variance due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.

Item 6: Storm frequency and/or intensity less than anticipated, resulting in decrease in flood mitigation required.

Item 8: Variance due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.

Item 9: FY11 variance reflects authorized dam safety projects and their implementation; there has been a downturn in project funding.

**REPORT V61** 12/14/11

PROGRAM TITLE:

AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID:

DEF-110

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090202 FISCAL YEAR 2010-11 THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-11 **NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-12 BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 188.25 0.00 188.25 100 213.75 0.00 213.75 100 213.75 0.00 213.75 100 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 105,430 0 105,430 100 0 0 0 111.349 111,349 100 TOTAL COSTS **POSITIONS** 188.25 0.00 188.25 100 213.75 0.00 213.75 100 213.75 0.00 213.75 100 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 105.430 0-105,430 100 Ω 0 0 111,349 0 111,349 100 FISCAL YEAR 2010-11 FISCAL YEAR 2011-12 PLANNED ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS % OF CIVIL DEFENSE DISASTER PLAN READINESS 75 NO DATA NO DATA | -75 100 75 75 100 % OF CIVIL DEFENSE ORGANIZATN & TRAINING READINESS 77 NO DATA | -77 100 77 NO DATA | -77 100 PERCENT OF CD EMERGENCY SUPPORT SYSTEMS READINESS 77 NO DATA | -77 100 77 NO DATA | -77 100 PERCENT OF HARNG PERSONNEL READINESS 4. 87 NO DATA I -87 100 87 NO DATA | -87 100 5. PERCENT OF HARNG TRAINING READINESS 90 NO DATA I -90 I 100 80 NO DATA I -80 I 100

			- 00	110 0/1/1/	1	00	100	- 00	NODAIA		00	1 10	
6.	PERCENT OF HARNG LOGISTICS READINESS	ĺ	90	NO DATA	1-	90	100	90	NO DATA	j -	90 j	10	0 1
7.	PERCENT OF HANG PERSONNEL READINESS		98	NO DATA	1 -	98	100 j	98	NO DATA	j -	98 j	j 10	0
8.	PERCENT OF HANG TRAINING READINESS	j	90	NO DATA	j -	90 j	100	90	NO DATA	i -	90 j	i 10	0
9.	PERCENT OF HANG LOGISTICS READINESS	İ	95	NO DATA	į-	95	100	95	NO DATA	į-	95	10	0
PAR	III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP				Ī					T			_
1.	RESIDENT POPULATION OF THE STATE (THOUSANDS)	. 1	1265	NO DATA	-	1265	100	1278	NO DATA	1 -	1278	100	0
2.	AV DAILY VISITOR POPULATN IN THE STATE (THOUSANDS)	1	187	NO DATA	-	187	100	189	NO DATA	j -	189	10	0
PAR	IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY	1			ī		1			ī			_
1.	NUMBER OF FUNCTIONAL MILITARY UNITS		52	NO DATA	1 -	52	100 j	52	NO DATA	j -	52	100	0
2.	AMOUNT OF DIRECT FED FUND SPPT FOR MIL DEF (000'S)		191000	NO DATA	-	191000	100	191000	NO DATA	j -	191000	100	0
3.	NUMBER OF ARMORIES & SUPPORT FACILITIES MAINTAINED		35	NO DATA	Ì-	35	100	. 28	NO DATA	1-	28	100	0
4.	INVENTORY COST OF NAT GUARD EQUIP MAINTAIND(000'S)	1	4440000	NO DATA	Ì -	4440000	100	4520000	NO DATA	j -	4520000	100	٥
5.	COST OF MILITARY SPPT TO CIVIL AUTHORITIES (000'S)	Ì	300	NO DATA	1 -	300	100 j	280	NO DATA	j -	280	100	0
6.	ASSIGNED MILITARY STRENGTH (NUMBER)	Ì	5450	NO DATA	1 -	5450	100 j	5450	NO DATA	i -	5450	100	ð
7.	NUMBER OF CIVIL DEFENSE PLANS UPDATED	ĺ	0	NO DATA	i -	0 j	0 j	0	NO DATA	j -	0 j	, (	0
8.	NUMBER OF PERSONS COMPLETING FORMAL CD TRAINING	į	30	NO DATA	j -	30 j	100	30	NO DATA	j -	30 j	100	0
9.	NO. OF EMERGENCY SHELTER SPACES MAINTAINED (000'S)	İ	348	NO DATA	i-	348	100	350	NO DATA	i -	350	100	0
10	NUMBER OF WARNING DEVICES INSTALLED	į	15	NO DATA	i -	15	100	20	NO DATA	i-	20 İ	100	

PROGRAM TITLE: AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

09 02 02 DEF 110

## **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

No data received from departmet.

## **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

No data received from departmet.

# **PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS**

No data received from departmet.

## **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

No data received from departmet.