

PUBLIC SAFETY

PROGRAM TITLE:

PUBLIC SAFETY

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09

E REPORT REPORT V61 12/14/16

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-	16		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-3	0-16		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 0	6-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHAN	IGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C⊦	IANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,988.35 375,406	2,713.35 328,948	-	275.00 46,458	' 9 12	3,010.35 96,524	2,707.35 95,901	- 303 - 6	.00	10 1	3,010.35 307,864	3,010.35 308,489	+	0.00 625	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,988.35 375,406	2,713.35 328,948		275.00 46,458	9 12	3,010.35 96,524	2,707.35 95,901	- 303 - 6	.00	10 1	3,010.35 307,864	3,010.35 308,489	+	0.00 625	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2015-16				FISCAL YEAR	2016-1	17	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHAN	GE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH/	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW EI 2. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 3. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU	710-1020, HRS					4200 0 300	4149 0 399	i +	51 0 99	1 0 33	4200 0 300	4200 0 400	+	0 0 100	 0 0 33

PROGRAM TITLE: PUBLIC SAFETY

09

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in the program position count is generally due to vacancies because of budget constraints, personnel turnovers and recruitment difficulties. The variance in expenditures is the net effect of position vacancies, collective bargaining augmentation and authorized federal fund increases. Details of the position and expenditure variance are best examined at the lowest program level.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See the lowest programs for explanation of variances.

SAFETY FROM CRIMINAL ACTIONS

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0901

REPORT V61 12/14/16

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16		THREE	MONTHS EI	VDED 09-	30-16		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,758.10 268,361	2,529.10 260,664			2,773.10 62,857	2,519.10 62,689		1.00 168	9	2,773.10 216,901	2,773.10 217,071	+ 0.00 + 170	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,758.10 268,361	2,529.10 260,664			2,773.10 62,857	2,519.10 62,689	1	1.00 168	9	2,773.10 216,901	2,773.10 217,071	+ 0.00 + 170	0 0
					IFIS	CAL YEAR	2015-16				FISCAL YEAR	2016-17	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHAN	GE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW EN 2. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 3. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU	710-1020, HRS				4200 0 300	4149 0 399	 - + +	51 0 99	1 0 33	4200 0 300	4200 4200 0 400	+ 0 + 0 + 100	 0 0 33

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

CONFINEMENT AND REINTEGRATION

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090101

REPORT V61 12/14/16

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-16		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,102.10 214,090	1,938.10 211,928		8 1	2,109.10 51,050	1,922.10 51,050	- 187.00 + 0	9	2,109.10 171,312	2,109.10 171,312	+ 0.00 + 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,102.10 214,090	1,938.10 211,928		8 1	2,109.10 51,050	1,922.10 51,050	- 187.00 + 0	9 0	2,109.10 171,312	2,109.10 171,312	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2015-16			FISCAL YEAR	2016-17	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS												
NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC.					0	0	+ 0	0	0	0	+ 0	0
2. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING A		_			30		+ 22	73] 30	1	+ 20	67
3. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING V					55	,	+ 8	15	55	60	+ 5	9
4. % OF INMATES COMPLETING COUNSEL 5. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTN					1 8	15.62		95 70	J 8	8	+ 0 + 2	0 40
6. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTION 6. % INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCNDT IN H					; 5 I 45	8.5 44		70 2	l 45	45	+ 2 + 0	, 40 j
7. % OF INMATES WHO TEST POSITIVE ON					1 45 1 5	5		0	1 1 5	5	+ 0	, 0 <u>1</u>

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-402

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010102

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16		THREE	MONTHS E	NDED 09-30-16	1	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	410.00 27,337	396.00 26,979		3 1	410.00 6,773	393.00 6,773	- 17.00 + 0	4 0	410.00 21,388	410.00 21,388	+ 0.00 + 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	410.00 27,337	396.00 26,979	- 14.00 - 358	3 1	410.00 6,773	393.00 6,773	- 17.00 + 0	4 0	410.00 21,388	410.00 21,388	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2015-16		<u> </u>	FISCAL YEAR	2016-17	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN 4. % OF RECLASSIFICATION RESULTING	SEC. 710-1021, CTIONS FOR MI	HRS SC			0 0 450 20	0 0 470 25.86	+ 20	0 0 4 29	0 0 450 20	0 0 470 26	+ 0 + 0 + 20 + 6	0 0 4 30
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					 1124	1109	 - 15	1	 1124	1093	- 31	3
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATION COMP	PLETED				 1200 970 2030	1600 1650 1752	+ 680	 33 70 14	 1212 982 2030	1600 1600 1752	+ 618	32 63 14

PROGRAM TITLE: HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 02 PSD 402

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation, and the transfer of funds due to critical payroll shortfall.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 4. The variance is due to the enactment of "Non-Contact Visitation." This has halted one avenue of contraband traffic and has resulted in reduced inmate disciplinary sanctions.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of new admissions.

Item 2. The variance is due to PSD implementing a new inmate classification instrument that changed the inmate's status, resulting in transfer releases and a more accurate tabulation comparative system to capture the data.

Item 3. The variance is due to staffing vacancies. This has caused delays in the review of inmates' institutional files.

REPORT V61

12/14/16

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PSD-403

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010103 FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 **NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-17** THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-16 % % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS **RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS** 0.00 **POSITIONS** 72.00 5 67.00 12 76.00 0 76.00 4.00 76.00 9.00 76.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 5,331 5,089 242 5 1,069 1,069 0 0 4,276 4,276 0 0 **TOTAL COSTS** POSITIONS 72.00 12 0.00 0 76.00 4.00 5 76.00 67.00 9.00 76.00 76.00 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 5,331 5,089 242 5 1,069 0 4,276 0 1,069 0 4,276

	FI	SCAL YEAR	2015-16			FISCAL YEAR	. 2016-17	
	PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS								<u> </u>
 NEW PROGRAM ESTABLISHED BY 2011 LEGISLATURE 	NO DATA	NO DATA	+ 0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+ 0	0
2. NUMBER OR ESCAPES (1ST DEGREE)	0	0	+ 0	j o	j 0	0	+ 0	0
3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES (2ND DEGREE)	į o	/ 3	+ 3	0	0	0	+ 0	0
4. RECLASSIFICATION	[20	74	+ 54	270	20	50	+ 30	150
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	1		1					
1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES	j 200	187	j - 13	j 7	200	190 j	j - 10	5
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY			1	i	ı			
1. ADMISSIONS	j 50	164	+ 114	228	50	170	+ 120	240
2. NUMBER OF RELEASES	j 50	160	+ 110	220	50	170	+ 120	240
3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATION	125	290	+ 165	132	125	200	+ 75	j 60

PROGRAM TITLE: KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 03 PSD 403

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The expenditure variance is due to the program operating within the available revenues generated in the fiscal year. The budgeted amount is an expenditure ceiling.

FY 2017: The 1st quarter position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. PSD plans to fill all positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 3. There were three escape events in a formal charge of escape in the second degree.
- Item 4. The variance is due to inmates completing Substance Abuse classes and participating in the Sex Offender Treatment Program.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

- Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of new admissions.
- Item 2. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of inmates released.
- Item 3. The variance is because inmates are automatically reclassified every six months, unless they have had a misconduct.

REPORT V61

12/14/16

WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-404

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010104 FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-16 **NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-17** % % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 105.00 0 110.00 5.00 5 106.00 5.00 5 111.00 0.00 111.00 111.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 6,719 6,755 + 36 1 1,728 1,728 0 0 5,257 5,257 0 0 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 110.00 105.00 5 5 0.00 0 5.00 111.00 106.00 5.00 111.00 111.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 6,719 6,755 36 0 5,257 0 1,728 1,728 0 5,257 0

	FIS	CAL YEAR	2015-10	6			FISCAL YEAR	R 2016-1	7	
	PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CH/	ANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS				T.					1	
 NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 710-1020, HRS 	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0 [0
2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 710-1021, HRS	[0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0 [0
3. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANCTIONS	0	131	+	131	0	0	20	+	20	0
4. % OF RELCASSIFICATION RESULTING IN REDUCED CUSTODY	60	43	-	17	28	60	50	-	10	17
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	1		T					<u> </u>		
AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES	285	301	į +	16	6	285	312	j +	27	9
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY	Ī		Ī	1					Ī	
1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS	400	475	+	75	19	400	500	+	100	25
2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED	500	529	+	29	6	500	400	-	100	20
3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS COMPLETED	400	406	+	6	2	400	420	+	20	5
4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBUTED IN COMMUNIT	4000	4000	į +	0	0	4000	4000	+	0	0 j
5. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN FURLOUGH PR	1 0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
6. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN RESIDENTIAL	0	0	 +	0	0	0	0	+	0 [0

PROGRAM TITLE: WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 04 PSD 404

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3. The variance is due to a more aggressive inmate population. Their disregard for the rules and regulations has resulted in an increase in classifications of the greatest categories.

Item 4. The variance is because more inmates were already in community custody when they were transferred to Waiawa Correctional Facility from Halawa Correctional Facility and the neighbor islands.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The variance is because of an underestimation in the number of new admissions.

HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-405

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010105

REPORT V61 12/14/16

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16			THREE N	NONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-16		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>±</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u> +</u> C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS				l							ļ		1	l	
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	166.00 9,691	161.00 11,006		5.00 1,315	3 14	168.00 2,716	158.00 2,716	+	10.00 0	6 0	168.00 7,369	168.00 7,369	+	0.00	0 0
TOTAL COSTS			-		_					_					
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	166.00 9,691	161.00 11,006	5.00 1,315	3 14	168.00 2,716	158.00 2,716	+	10.00	6 0	168.00 7,369	168.00 7,369	+	0.00	0 0	
			!	<u> </u>		FIS	CAL YEAR	201	I 5-16			FISCAL YEAR	2016	L i-17	
									CHANGE	%		ESTIMATED			%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF INMATES PLACED ON PAR 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANG		50 0 0 172	55 0 12		5 0 12 351	10 0 0 204	50 0 0 172	55 0 0	 + + +	5 0 0 328	10 0 0 191				
**·	TIONS					. 1/2	523	+	351	204	1/2	500	+	320	191
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						500	570	 +	 70	14	 500	578	 +	78	16
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY								[ı		
 NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 						3000	3008		8	0	3000	3000	+	0 j	0
2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED		2500	2976		476	19	2500		+	500	20				
NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBL	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	INIT				100 17000	181 2500		81 14500	81 85	100 17000	180 3000	+ -	80 14000	80 82
5. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING I		JINII				17000 128	174		46 I	36	17000		- +	37 I	29
6. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING I		_				100	265		165	165	100		+	150	150
7. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATION COMP	LETED					200	307	+	107	54	200	300	i +	100	50

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 05 PSD 405

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation, and the transfer of funds due to critical payroll shortfall.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 1. The variance is due to an increase in the inmate population.
- Item 3. It is always Hawaii Community Correctional Center's (HCCC) goal to have no escapes. However, despite HCCC's efforts, inmates continue to struggle with compliance. There were 12 incidents of escape in the second degree, all as a result of the inmate violating their furlough contract. This meant the inmate failed to check in, failed to return to the facility or could not be contacted.
- Item 4. The variance is due to overcrowding. An increase in the inmate population has led to more incidents.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the projected number of inmates based on operating capacity.

- Item 2. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of inmate releases.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an increased number of misconducts at the higher levels. Higher classification warrants movements for security and safety reasons.
- Item 4. The variance is a direct result of attempting to reduce Adult Corrections Officers' overtime.

- Item 5. This variance is because the Hawaii Paroling Authority is increasingly mandating work furlough as a condition for parole.
- Item 6. This variance is because more inmates became eligible for transitional housing as they progressed through the work furlough program. Case Managers also utilized community resources to prepare inmates for release.
- Item 7. This variance is a direct result of the increased number of misconducts. This also resulted in an increase in the number of transfers.

REPORT V61 12/14/16

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PSD-406
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010106

FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-16 **NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-17** BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 186.00 178.00 8.00 4 186.00 172.00 14.00 8 186.00 186.00 0.00 0 1,812 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** + 3,282 0 8,476 0 0 10,965 12,777 17 3,282 0 8,476 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 186.00 178.00 8.00 4 186.00 172.00 14.00 8 186.00 186.00 0.00 0 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 10.965 12,777 1,812 17 3.282 3.282 0 8,476 8,476 0 FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 FISCAL YEAR 2016-17 **PLANNED** ACTUAL 1 + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 55 NUMBER OF INMATES PLACED ON PAROLE 55 41 14 25 55 | + 0 0 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 710-1020, HRS 0 0 0 0 + 0 0 0 | + 0 NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 710-1021, HRS 0 + 0 0 0 | + 0 0 1 1 NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANCTIONS 90 121] + 31 | 34 90 90 |+ 0 0 PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES 400 483 | + 83 21 400 472 | + 72 | 18 PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 311 i 17 2200 | + 294 1880 2191 I + 1906 15 NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 2145 | + 255 13 1917 2100 | + 183 1890 10 NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO HIGHER LEVEL 17 32 | + 15 88 17 30 | + 13 76 NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBUTED 27585 5085 23 22500 22255 22500 | + 1 -245 1 NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN FURLOUGH PR 90 84 6 7 90 90 1 + 0 0 NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN RESIDENTIAL 15 20 + 5 33 15 15 + 0 0 NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATION COMPLETED 190 223 + 33 17 190 190 | + 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 06 PSD 406

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation, and the transfer of funds due to critical payroll shortfall.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 1. This variance is because of an increase in the number of misconducts. This meant fewer inmates qualified for parole.
- Item 4. This variance is because of an increase in population and inmate substance abuse.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation in the average number of inmates based on the capacity of the facility.

- Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of inmates admissions.
- Item 2. The variance is because of an underestimation of the number of inmate releases.
- Item 3. This variance is because of an increase in population and inmate substance abuse.
- Item 4. This variance is because of an increase in population. There was also greater than expected participation in the programs.
- Item 6. This variance is because of an increase in population. Also, more inmates qualified for community release.
- Item 7. This variance is because of an increase in population. There was also an increase in the number of misconducts.

REPORT V61

12/14/16

PROGRAM TITLE:

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-407 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010107

OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-30-16	5 .	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	498.00 33,499	478.00 32,777	- 20.00 - 722		503.00 8,174	472.00 8,174	- 31.00 + 0	6 0	503.00 26,866	503.00 26,866	+ 0.00 + 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	498.00 33,499	478.00 32,777			503.00 8,174	472.00 8,174	- 31.00 + 0	6 0	503.00 26,866	503.00 26,866	+ 0.00 + 0	0
					IFIS	CAL YEAR	2015-16			FISCAL YEAR	2016-17	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF INMATES PLACED ON PAR 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN		200 0 0 500	0 19	- 68 + 0 + 19 + 35	34 0 0 7	200 0 0	150 0 0 500	- 50 + 0 + 0 + 0	25 0 0			
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					1500	1415	 - 85	 6	 1500	1391	- 109	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H 4. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING 5. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATION COMP	IN FURLOUGH F	PR .			 8900 8200 155 400 1200	180	- 1050 - 162 + 25 + 147 - 426	 12 2 16 37 36	 8900 8200 155 400 1200	8000 8100 175 400 1200	- 900 - 100 + 20 + 0	10 10 1 0

PROGRAM TITLE: OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 07 PSD 407

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

instrument.

FY 2016: The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation and the decrease in payroll expenditures.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 1. The variance is because the Hawaii Paroling Authority wants to see a longer furlough participation period. This means fewer overall paroles.
- Item 3. There were 19 escapes in a formal charge of escape in the second degree. This variance is because of the re-evaluation and adjustments of the furlough program, plus continuance of the GPS monitoring program.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

- Item 1. The variance is due to an overestimation in the number of new admissions.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an increase in positive urinalysis testing results.
- Item 4. The variance is because of the inmate's lack of self-control and discipline and inability to adhere to the furlough program's rules and regulations. The higher number of inmate failures led to a higher turnover rate of furlough bed vacancies.
- Item 5. The variance is due to status changes. New information obtained about custodies are prioritized. There is also a backlog on the six-month review for pre-trial inmates (pre-trial felon and probation violation) because of new staff training on the use of the jail classification

REPORT V61 12/14/16

PROGRAM TITLE:

KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010108

PSD-408

7. NUMBER OF RELCASSIFICATION COMPLETED

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16			THREE	WONTHS EN	NDEC	09-30-16	i	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH/	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)											,				***
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	73.00 4,408	71.00 5,083		2.00 675	3 15	73.00 1,304	71.00 1,304	- +	2.00 0	3 0	73.00 3,246	73.00 3,246	+	0.00 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	73.00 4,408	71.00 5,083		2.00 675	3 15	73.00 1,304	71.00 1,304	- +	2.00 0	3 0	73.00 3,246	73.00 3,246	+	0.00	0
									-16			FISCAL YEAR	2016	-17	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF INMATES PLACED ON PAR 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANG		10 0 0 0 50	10 0 1 75	 + +	0 0 1 25	0 0 0 0 50	 10 0 0	30 0 0 50	+ + + +	20 0 0 0	200 0 0 0				
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						200	165	 -	35	 18	200	204	 +	4	2
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H 4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBU		900 900 10 3000	703 707 30 2794	 + -	197 193 20 206	22 21 200 7	 900 900 10 3000	900 30 3000	 + + +	0 0 20 0	0 0 200				
 NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING 		L				120 70	120 90		0 20	0 2 9	120 70		+ +	0 0	0

140

140 | +

0 |

0 |

140

140 | +

0 |

0

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 08 PSD 408

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation, and the transfer of funds due to critical payroll shortfall.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3. There was one escape event in a formal charge of escape in the second degree.

Item 4. The variance is due to an increased special needs population and subsequent overcrowding resulted in greater problems and conflicts. In an effort to maintain institutional order and security, inmates were being charged and held accountable for misconduct in the highest and greatest categories.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The variance is because of an overestimation of the inmate population based on the facility's operational capacity.

- Item 1. The variance is because of an overestimation of the number of new admissions.
- Item 2. The variance is because of an overestimation of the number of inmates released.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an increase of problem management inmates. The programs continue to work with the inmates to reduce the number of inmates being reclassified to higher-level custody.
- Item 6. This variance is because more inmates became eligible for transitional housing as they progressed through the work furlough program.

REPORT V61

12/14/16

WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-409

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010109

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16	3		THREE!	MONTHS E	NDE	09-30-16		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-17	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Ch	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>+</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	132.00 7,443	122.00 7,525		10.00 82	8 1	133.00 1,958	119.00 1,958	-+	14.00 0	11 0	133.00 5,762	133.00 5,762	+	0.00	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	132.00 7,443	122.00 7,525	,	10.00 82	8 1	133.00 1,958	119.00 1,958	-+	14.00 0	11 0	133.00 5,762	133.00 5,762	++	0.00	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2015	5-16			FISCAL YEAR	2016	-17	
DART II. MEACURES OF FEFESTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> + C</u>	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> + CH</u>	IANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF INMATES PLACED ON PAR 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANGE		100 0 0 54	88 0 0 58	 - + +	12 0 0 4	12 0 0 7	 100 0 0	88 0 0 58	 - + +	12 0 0 4	12 0 0 7				
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES				-		 300	321	 +	21	7	300	343	 +	43	14
 NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING 	/ERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES PROGRAM ACTIVITY JMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS							 + + - -	161 110 48213 12 43 25	107 58 96 33 54	 150 189 50000 36 80	300 300 2000 30 46 610	 + + - -	150 111 48000 6 34 114	100 59 96 17 43 23

PROGRAM TITLE: WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 09 PSD 409

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation, and the transfer of funds due to critical payroll shortfall.

FY 2017: The 1st quarter position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. PSD plans to fill all positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. Although the number of inmates placed on parole decreased with a variance of 12%, the number is relatively consistent with past numbers.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

- Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of new admissions.
- Item 2. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of releases.
- Item 3. The variance is because of a gross overestimation of the community service workline hours. An increase in staff sick leave, Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA) and Workers' Compensation also greatly hindered participation in community workline programs.
- Item 4. The variance is attributed to the Parole Board retaining the inmates in furlough beds, resulting in fewer overall participants in the program.
- Item 5. The variance is attributed to the Parole Board retaining the inmates in residential program beds, resulting in fewer overall participants in the program.

REPORT V61

12/14/16

INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-410

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010110

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-1	6	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 3,649	45.00 3,236	- 16.00 - 413	26 11	61.00 816	46.00 816	- 15.00 + 0	25 0	61.00 2,939	61.00 2,939	+ 0.00 + 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 3,649	45.00 3,236	- 16.00 - 413	26 11	61.00 816	46.00 816	- 15.00 + 0	25 0	61.00 2,939	61.00 2,939	+ 0.00 + 0	0
						CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES APPEA 2. %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES NOT CI 3. % COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN P 4. % OF OFFENDERS THAT COMPLETE AI 5. NO. BED SPACE DAYS SAVED THRU IS 6. % RISK ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED W		90 95 35 85 300000	95 NO DATA	+ 0 - 8000	0 100 0 3	300000	90 95 NO DATA 85 300000 100	+ 0 + 0	0 0 100 0 0			
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NUMBER OF PRETRIAL OFFENDERS 2. NO. OF SENTENCED OFFENDERS WITH	% RISK ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED WITHIN THREE DAYS IT III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP							 58 84	 1000 650	1500 1100		 50 69
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY					l		 	1	1			
NUMBER OF PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION NUMBER OF BAIL REPORTS COMPLETI NUMBER OF INTAKE SCREENINGS COMPLETION NO. OF PRETRIAL CASES PLACED ON ITEMS.	ED NDUCTED)NI			11000 11000 11000 3000	11388 10697 11621 2424	- 303 + 621	•	11000	11000 11000 11000 3000	+ 0 + 0	0 0 0
5. NO. SENTENCED OFFENDERS PLACED						NO DATA	,	•	3000	NO DATA		U 100

PROGRAM TITLE: INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

09 01 01 10 PSD 410

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

The expenditure variance is due to the program operating within the available revenues generated in the fiscal year. The budgeted amount is an expenditure ceiling.

FY 2017: The 1st quarter position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. The PSD plans to fill all positions.

No significant expenditure variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3. The Community Service Restitution Program ceased after 2014 based on statutory changes.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 1. The variance is because of an increase in incidents where the police or courts did not release at arrest or arraignment and plea (A&P).
- Item 2. The variance is because more offenders qualify for community status based on current Hawaii Paroling Authority Board and minimum sentencing schedules. Also, minimum terms are expiring.

- Item 4. The number of pretrial cases placed on the Intake Service Center's (ISC) supervision is subject to the discretion of the Judiciary (Judge) as it is court ordered.
- Item 5. Not applicable because ISC does not manage sentenced individuals.

CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-420

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010111

REPORT V61 12/14/16

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16			THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-16		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)					·										
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	170.00 23,219	145.00 22,043		25.00 1,176	15 5	170.00 5,543	146.00 5,543	-+	24.00 0	14 0	170.00 18,408	170.00 18,408	+++	0.00 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	170.00 23,219	145.00 22,043		25.00 1,176	15 5	170.00 5,543	146.00 5,543	-	24.00 0	14 0	170.00 18,408	170.00 18,408	+	0.00	0 0
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	<u>%</u>	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> +</u> 다	IANGE	%
 % OF SEX OFFENDERS COMPLETING S % OF SEX OFFENDERS WHO TESTED I 	POSITIVE ON UP	RINALYS				 10 5	10 5 52	i +	0	0	 10 5	5	 + +	0	0
4. % OF INMATES COMPLETING PERSON	% OF SEX OFFENDERS WHO TESTED POSITIVE ON URINALYS PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC PROGRAMS % OF INMATES COMPLETING PERSONAL IMPROVEMENT PROGS PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING VOCATIONAL PROGRAMS							+ - +	22 3 8	73 6 15	•	50	+ + +	20 0 5	67 0 9
6. % OF SENTENCED FELONS COMPLETI7. % OF INMATES WHO PARTICIPATE IN F	NG SUBSTANCE RELIGIOUS (SPIF	ABUSE T				55 75 75	75		10 0	13	75 75	75 75	 + +	0 0	0 0
 8. % MEALS SRVD MEET REQRMTS OF AI 9. % OF SEX OFFENDERS PARTICIPATING 10. % OF SEX OFFENDERS WHO COMPLET 	IN SEX OFFEN	DER T	;			100 12 1 5	100 11 5	j -	0 1 0	0 8 0	100 12 5		+ + ! +	0 0 0	· 0 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	12311100101010	// IND TIE C				<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		l .	-	
AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION NUMBER OF NEW INMATE ADMISSIONS	6					4206 14991	4065 13583	 - -	141 1408	3 9	,	4100 14000	 - -	106 991	3 7
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF SENTENCED FELONS ENTERIN 2. NO. OF SENTENCED FELONS ADMITTE			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			 50 600	8 1300	•	42 700	84 117	 50 600	50 1200	 + +	0 600	0
NUMBER OF URINALYSIS TESTS ADMIT NO. OF INMATES PARTICPTG IN ACAD	NISTERED TO SI					12400 2220	10155 2760	i -	2245 540	18 24	12400	12000	* - +	400 480	3 22
5. NO. INMATES PARTICIPATING IN VOCA 6. NUMBER OF MEALS SERVED (PER DAY	′)					800 13500	1344 13060	j -	544 440	68 3	13500	13500	 + +	400 0	50 0
 NO. OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN LIE # INMATES WHO ATTND RELIG SVCS/C NUMBER OF VOLUNTEER HOURS PRO 	OUNS/STUDY C	LASSES				29000 13200 33000	29100 13300 34000	j +	100 100 1000	0 1 3	•	13350	+ + +	400 150 4000	1 1 12
10. NO. OF PRE-RELEASE RISK ASSESSME						55		i -	5	9	•		+	0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

09 01 01 11 PSD 420

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation and savings in contract deferrals.

FY 2017: The 1st quarter position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. PSD plans to fill all positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 3. The variance is because of an increase in the number of academic classes offered. This is a result of three new hires as well as three teachers hired by the Department of Education (DOE) through the Adult Education and Family Literacy Act (AEFLA) funds.
- Item 5. The variance is due to the Education Branch's continued focus on career and technical education (CTE) so that inmates will develop skills that will help them become more employable.
- Item 6. The variance is because of increased vacancies.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Item 1. The variance is due to the retirement of the Sex Offender Treatment Program contractor.
- Item 2. The variance is because of the implementation of "gap services" programming. A new immunoassay testing method was implemented. The increased accuracy of the new technology has led to an increased number of urinalysis. This has resulted in increased admissions to

substance abuse programming.

- Item 3. The variance is due to the implementation of new urinalysis technology. Contract delays and training to use the new technology led to a decrease in the number of tests administered.
- Item 4. The variance is because of an increase in the number of academic classes offered. This is a result of three new hires as well as three teachers hired by the DOE through the AEFLA funds.
- Item 5. The variance is due to the Corrections Program Services' implementation of new CTE classes, including: a Culinary Program at Maui Community Correctional Center (MCCC), Business Application of Computers at the Women's Community Correctional Center, Food Safety and Sanitation Certification at MCCC and Kulani Correctional Facility (KCF), and Forklift Operator Training at KCF.

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

HEALTH CARE

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010112

PSD-421

REPORT V61 12/14/16

	FISCAL YEAR 2015-16				THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-16					NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-17					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Cł	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CI	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	-														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	209.10 23,881	155.10 24,543		54.00 662	26 3	207.10 5,532	162.10 5,532	- +	45 .00 0	22 0	207.10 18,907	207.10 18,907	++	0.00 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	209.10 23,881	155.10 24,543		54.00 662	26 3	207.10 5,532	162.10 5,532	-+	45.00 0	22 0	207.10 18,907	207.10 18,907	+	0.00	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2015	-16_		FISCAL YEAR 2016-17				
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> +</u> CH	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING (2. % OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING MENTAL 3. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING (4. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING (5. % OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING OUT-OF-	HEALTH SERV DENTAL SERVI CHRONIC CARE	ICES CES SVCS				100 100 100 13	100 100 100 13 9	+ + +	 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	100 100 100 13	100 100 100 13 9	+	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION						 4206	4065	 -	· 141	3	4206	4100	-	106	3
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF MEDICAL PROVIDER ENCO 2. NUMBER OF PSYCHIATRIC ENCOUNTER 3. NUMBER OF NURSING ENCOUNTERS						9500 80000 175000	7923 52171 163399 7743	i -	1577 1577 27829 11601 1157	17 35 7 13	9500 80000 175000 8900	8450 55000 165000 7700	 -	1050 25000 10000 1200	 11 31 6

PROGRAM TITLE: HEALTH CARE

09 01 01 12 PSD 421

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation, and the transfer of funds due to critical payroll shortfall.

FY 2017: The 1st quarter position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. PSD plans to fill all positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variance.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

- Item 1. The variance of -17% is a result of physician vacancies.
- Item 2. The variance of -35% is a result of psychiatrist, psychologist, and psychiatric social worker staff vacancies.
- Item 4. The variance of -13% is a result of decreased dental services hours.
- Item 5. The variance of -27% is due to physician staffing vacancies.
- Item 8. The variance of 35% is due to an increased demand for out-of-facility specialty care.

PROGRAM TITLE:

HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010113

PSD-422

REPORT V61 12/14/16

	FISCAL YEAR 2015-16				THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-16					NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-17				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 10,286	2.00 6,749		0 34	2.00 1,828	2.00 1,828	+	0.00 0	0 0	2.00 8,324	2.00 8,324	+	0.00	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 10,286	2.00 6,749	+ 0.00 - 3,537	0 34	2.00 1,828	2.00 1,828	+	0.00 0	0	2.00 8,324	2.00 8,324	+	0.00	0 0
					l FIS	CAL YEAR	2015-1	16		I FISCAL YEAR 2016-17				
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT 3. 2. % OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT THE FEE 3. PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED IN 8. 4. AMOUNT OF REVENUES GENERATED 5. % OF INMATES ON JOINT VENTURE J	ERAL DETENTI KILLED TRADE	ON CTR			6 0 15 6000000	7 0 20 5400000 0	 - 6	1 0 5 600000 15	17 0 33 10	 6 0 15 6000000	7 0 20 5500000 0	+ + + - 50	1 0 5 00000 15	17 0 33 8 100
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN ALL 2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN THE 3. AVE NO. INMATES IN OUT-OF-STATE CO	FED DETENTI	ON CTR			 4206 250 1300	4065 217 1372	j -	141 33 72	 3 13 6	250		- - +	106 30 0	3 12 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF PRODUCTION SITES 2. NUMBER OF INMATE APPLICATIONS RE 3. NUMBER OF INMATES INTERVIEWED 4. NUMBER OF INMATES EMPLOYED 5. NUMBER OF POSITIONS IN SKILLED TR					15 700 400 500	15 900 600 300 60	i +	0 200 200 200 15	0 29 50 40	 15 700 400 500	600 300	 + + -	0 200 200 200 15	0 29 50 40 20
6. NUMBER OF INMATE WORK HOURS 7. # INMATE WORK HRS LOST DUE TO FA		/OTHER			100000	180000 NO DATA	1	80000 25000	80 100	100000	180000 NO DATA	+ {	80000 25000	80 100

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

09 01 01 13 PSD 422

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The expenditure variance is due to the program operating within the available revenues generated in the fiscal year. The budgeted amount is an expenditure ceiling.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 1. The variance is due to an increased number of inmates eligible to be employed.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an increased percent of applications received to work in skilled trade positions.
- Item 4. The variance is due an overestimated amount of revenue generated for the fiscal year.
- Item 5. The variance is due to no joint ventures planned or in process during the past fiscal year. Hawaii Correctional Industries (HCI) plans to amend the joint venture process regulations in statute to encourage Hawaii small businesses to participate.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 2. The variance is because of an overestimation of the number of inmates at the Federal Detention Center based on operational capacity.

- Item 2. The variance is due to HCI encouraging eligible inmates to submit their applications for employment.
- Item 3. The variance is due to an increased number of employment applications received, which resulted in an increase number of inmates being interviewed.
- Item 4. The variance in the number of inmates employed is due to inmates not being retained for a long period of time.

- Item 5. The variance decreased due to a lesser amount of inmates being employed in skilled positions.
- Item 6. The variance in the increased number of inmate work hours is because a concerted effort has been made to increase the number of productive time spent working, with great success.
- Item 7. No data available.

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

NON-STATE FACILITIES

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-808

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010114 FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-16 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-17** % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS** 0.00 **POSITIONS** 9.00 8.00 1.00 11 9.00 8.00 1.00 11 9.00 9.00 0 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 296 40,094 0 47,662 47,366 1 10,327 10,327 0 0 40,094 0 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 9.00 8.00 1.00 11 9.00 8.00 1.00 11 9.00 9.00 0.00 0 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 47,662 47,366 296 10,327 10,327 0 40,094 40,094 0 0 0

	FISCAL YEAR 2015-16				FISCAL YEAR 2016-17				
	PLANNED	ACTUAL	1 ± CH/	ANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS								ľ	
1. % OF RELCASSIFICATIONS RESULTING IN REDUCED CUSTOD	30	17	j -	13	43	30	15	- 15	50
2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 710-1020, HRS	0	0	j +	0	0	0	0	į + () i o i
3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC. 710-1021, HRS	1 0	0	+	0	0	0	0	į + (10
4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANCTIONS	1500	343	j -	1157	77	1500	350	- 1150	77
5. AVG % OF MJR CNTRT PROV W/ OUT-STATE REQ CORR ACTN	5	0	j -	5	100	5	1	j - 4	· j 80 j
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	1		1					1]
1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES AT OUT-OF-STATE	1300	1372	+	72	6	1300	1300	+ (0
2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES AT THE FEDERAL DETEN	250	217	-	33	13	250	220] - 30	12
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY	1		1					[
NUMBER OF INMATE GRIEVANCES FILED	250	232	-	18	7	250	250	+ (0
2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MAJOR CONTRACT	250	268	+	18	7	250	268	+ 18	7
3. NO. OF RECLASSIFICATION COMPLETED	1250	1429	+ _	179	14	1250	1600	+ 350	28

PROGRAM TITLE: NON-STATE FACILITIES

09 01 01 14 PSD 808

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The position variance is due to employee turnover.

The expenditure variance is due to delays in filling vacancy and delays in operating expenditures.

FY 2017: The 1st quarter position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. PSD plans to fill all positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 1. The variance is because Corrections Corporation of America, Saguaro Correctional Center (CCA/Saguaro Facility) holds long-term inmates (sentences longer than five years), resulting in custody level remaining the same level.
- Item 4. The variance is because CCA/Saguaro Facility staff remains vigilant in preventing high/greatest misconducts from occurring. Most misconducts are in the lower category.
- Item 5. The variance is because PSD has contracted an on-site monitor at the Saguaro Facility to ensure the facility keeps in compliance to the provisions of the contract.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 2. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of inmates at the Federal Detention Center based on operational capacity.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 3. The variance is because the average number of inmates at the CCA/Saguaro facility has increased from FY 15 (1336 average) to FY 16 (average 1386).

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

ENFORCEMENT

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090102

REPORT V61 12/14/16

	FISCAL YEAR 2015-16				THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-16	<u> </u>	NINE				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												-"	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	390.00 23,738	368.00 22,641	- 22.00 - 1,097		396.00 6,239	366.00 6,239	- 30.00 + 0	8 0	396.00 17,787	396.00 17,787	+ 0.00 + 0	0	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	390.00 23,738	368.00 22,641	- 22.00 - 1,097		396.00 6,239	366.00 6,239	- 30.00 + 0	8 0	396.00 17,787	396.00 17,787	+ 0.00 + 0	0	
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2015-16		FISCAL YEAR 2016-17				
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF NEW ARRESTS MADE					 4200	4149	 - 51	1	 4200	4200	+ 0	0	

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID: NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

PS

PSD-502

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010202 THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-16 **NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-17** FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS** 0.00 0 **POSITIONS** 21.00 16.00 5.00 24 21.00 16.00 5.00 24 21.00 21.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 2,156 406 19 426 426 0 0 1,768 1,768 0 0 1,750 **TOTAL COSTS** 21.00 0.00 **POSITIONS** 21.00 16.00 5.00 24 21.00 16.00 5.00 24 21.00 0 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 1,750 19 0 1,768 0 2,156 406 426 426 0 1,768 0

		FISCAL YEAR 2015-16							
		PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART	II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS								
1.	% CERTIFICATES/PEMITS ISSUED WITHOUT COMPLAINT	97	97	1 + 0	0	97	97	+ 0	1 0 1
2.	% OF CASES THAT RESULTED IN SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION	90	90	+ 0	0	90	95	+ 5	1 6 1
3.	% OF CASES RELEASED PENDING FUTHER INVESTIGATION	25	24	- 1	4	25	25	+ 0	1 0 1
4.	% CASES CONFERRED/ACCEPTED BY PROSECUTING AGENCIES	97	100	+ 3	3	97	97	+ 0	0
5.	% CASES CONFERRED/DECLINED BY PROSECUTING AGENCIES	3	1	- 2	67] 3	3	+ 0	0
6.	PERCENT OF CASES REFERRED TO FEDERAL AGENCIES	NO DATA	4	+ 4	0	4	3	- 1	25
7.	% CRIMINL CASES RSLTD IN ASSET FORFT/RECVD BY DEPT] 3	1	- 2	 67	3	3	+ 0	0
8.	% CASES INVESTIGTD & RESOLVD W/OUT CRIMINAL ACTION	65	71	+ 6	J 9	65	65	+ 0	0
9.	% OF DRUG EDUCATION OR TRAINING SESSIONS CONDUCTED	95	93	- 2	2	95	95	+ 0	1 0 1
10.	% INQUIRIES MADE ON ELECTRONIC RX MONITRNG PRGM	99	100	+ 1	1	99	99	+ 0	0 1
PART	III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	1		1	1	[
1.	NUMBER OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE REGISTRANTS	5800	7099	+ 1299	22	5800	7000	+ 1200	21
2.	NUMBER OF REGULATED CHEMICAL REGISTRANTS	29	32	+ 3	10	29	29	+ 0	0
3.	NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJUANA PATIENTS	1300	0	- 1300	100	1300	0	- 1300	100
4.	NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJUANA CAREGIVERS	1500	0	- 1500	100	1500	0	- 1500	100
5.	NO. PHYSICNS PARTICPTG IN MED USE OF MARIJUANA PRG	95	0	- 95	100	95	0	- 95	100
PART	IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY	1		l]			1
1.	# CONTR SUBS/REG CHM/ORAL/MJ RGSTRNS/PRMTS PRCSSD	20500	8000	- 12500	61	20500	8000	- 12500	61
2.	TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN SUCCESSFUL PROSEC	25	35	+ 10	40	25	25	+ 0	0
3.	TOTAL NO. CASES CONFERRD/ACCEPTD BY PROSECUTG AGEN	100	96	- 4	4	[100	100	+ 0	1 0
4.	TOTAL NO. CASES REFERRD/DECLND BY PROSECUTNG AGENC	3	1	- 2	67	3	3	+ 0	[0]
5.	TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES REFERRED TO FEDERAL AGENCIES	35	21	- 14	40	35	25	- 10	29
6.	NO. CASES INVESTGTD FROM HIA/CORR FACILITIES/OTHER	900	810	j - 90	10	900	850	- 50	6
7.	NUMBER OF REGULATORY ACTIONS TAKEN	200	165	- 35	18	200	200	+ 0	0
8.	NO. OF EDUCATIONAL AND TRAINING SESSIONS CONDUCTED	J 60	56	- 4	7		55	- 5	8
9.	NO. OF FORENSIC DRUG ANALYSIS CONDUCTED BY NED LAB	900	2041	+ 1141	127	900	2000	+ 1100	122
10.	# CNTRLLD SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELECTNC RX MONITR PRG	1500000	1579588	+ 79588	5	1500000	1500000	+ 0	1 0 1

PROGRAM TITLE: NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

09 01 02 02 PSD 502

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The position variance is due to the program operating within the available revenues generated in the fiscal year.

The expenditure variance is due to the program operating within the available revenues generated in the fiscal year. The budgeted amount is an expenditure ceiling.

FY 2017: The 1st quarter position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. The PSD plans to fill all positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 5. The variance is due to the prosecuting agencies accepting all cases presented to them by the Narcotics Enforcement Division (NED), who has made an effort to provide adequate identification of suspects and perform proper search and seizure.
- Item 7. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percent of criminal cases that resulted in asset forfeiture and received by the Department.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 1. The variance is due to physician assistants and advanced practice registered nurses being employed by the health care system and in need of a controlled substance registration.
- Item 2. The variance is due to an increase in out-of-state distributors obtaining regulated chemical registrant permits.
- Item 3. The variance is because oversight of the medical marijuana (MM) program has been transferred to the Department of Health (DOH).
- Item 4. The variance is because oversight of the MM program has been transferred to the DOH.

Item 5. The variance is because oversight of the MM program has been transferred to the DOH.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Item 1. The variance is due to the Medical Marijuana Patient Registrations not being removed from the FY 16 projections. The program was moved to the DOH January 1, 2014. Projections for FY 17 represent projected Controlled Substance Program registrations only.
- Item 2. The variance is due to the county prosecutor's office resolving all investigation with criminal prosecution on NED's criminal cases, resulting in the increased number of successful prosecution.
- Item 4. The variance is due to the prosecuting agencies accepting all cases presented to them by NED, who has made an effort to provide adequate identification of suspects, and perform proper search and seizure.
- Item 5. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of cases referred to the federal agency.
- Item 6. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of cases investigated from Honolulu International Airport and from the correctional facilities.
- Item 7. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of regulatory actions as physicians and pharmacies are now able to access electronic databases to verify registrant status and confirm patient prescriptive history.
- Item 9. The variance is due to an increased demand for drug analysis by law enforcement agencies in the State of Hawaii.

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID: SHERIFF

PROGRAM-ID: PSD-503
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010203

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-	16	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)				·								
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	369.00 21,582	352.00 20,891	- 17.00 - 691	5 3	375.00 5,813	350.00 5,813	- 25.00 +	7 0	375.00 16,019	375.00 16,019	+ 0.00 + 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	369.00 21,582	352.00 20,891		5 3	375.00 5,813	350.00 5,813	- 25.00 +	1	375.00 16,019	375.00 16,019	+ 0.00 + 0	0
					FIS	CAL YEAR:	2015-16		1	FISCAL YEAR	2016-17	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%_
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF GRAND JURY AND HPA W 2. PERCENT OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS SER 3. PERCENT OF THREATS INVESTIGATED		√ED			 75 0 100			43 43 0 0 0 0	 75 0 100	75 0 100	+ 0 + 0 + 0	 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NUMBER OF STATE DEPARTMENTS 2. NUMBER OF STATE COURTHOUSES 3. # PERSONS IN CUSTODY REQ DETENTS	v/transprt/pi	ROCESS			 21 15 35000	20 15 43198	+ (•	20 15 35000	 - 1 + 0 + 0	 5 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF SERVICE TYPE CASES 2. NUMBER OF CRIMINAL CASES RECEIVE 3. NUMBER OF ARREST INCIDENTS 4. NO. THREATS AGNST GOVT OFFICLS/S 5. NUMBER OF GRAND JURY AND HPA WA 6. NO. PERSONS DETAINED IN DISTRICT 8	TATE GOVT EM RRANTS RECE	IVED			3000 5000 4200 2 1000 31000	4708 6120 4149 12 1594 41574	+ 1120 - 5 + 10 + 594 + 10574	22 	2 1000 31000	1000 31000	+ 0	 0 0 0 0
 NUMBER OF CUSTODY TRANSPORTS NUMBER OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS REC! NUMBER OF TRAFFIC CITATIONS ISSUE 					4000 0 4200	4101 0 3179	+ (j o	4000 0 4200	4000 0 4200	+ 0 + 0 + 0	0 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: SHERIFF

09 01 02 03 PSD 503

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: Expenditure variance due to collective bargaining augmentation and decreased operational requirements.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the percent of grand jury and Hawaii Paroling Authority (HPA) warrants served. In addition, unexpected operations, such as the law enforcement operation on Mauna Kea, and a very marked increase in necessary training for deputy sheriffs, temporarily shifted the duties and responsibilities of the warrant teams.
- Item 2. We cannot calculate the percent of traffic warrants served because traffic warrants are not received by the Sheriff Division. The warrants are electronically generated by the Judiciary and law enforcement agencies can search eBW (Electronic Bench Warrant System).

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 3. The variance is due to an underestimation of persons in custody requiring detention/transportation/process. This number is based upon arrests and Judicial hearings and processes.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Item 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of service type cases. These cases are based on calls from the general public.
- Item 2. The variance is due to an underestimation of criminal cases received. These cases are based on criminal complaints from the general public.
- Item 4. The variance is due to an underestimation of number of threats against Government officials and State government employees reported.

- Item 5. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of Grand Jury and HPA warrants received.
- Item 6. The variance is due to an underestimation of person(s) detained in District and Circuit courts. This number is based upon arrests by all State and county law enforcement agencies, as well as court hearings scheduled.
- Item 9. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of traffic citations issued. Traffic citations are issued based on observed traffic violations.

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090103

PAROLE SUPERVISION AND COUNSELING

FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-3	0-16	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-17	
BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHAN	GE 9	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
68.00 4,592				68.00 859	57.00 859	- 11. +	_		68.00 3,831	+ 0.00 + 0	0
68.00 4,592				68.00 859	57.00 859	- 11. +			68.00 3,831	+ 0.00 + 0	0
	-			IFIS	CAL YEAR	2015-16			FISCAL YEAR	2016-17	
				PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANC	SE 9	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
Y PAROLE REL CHARGE (YRS	EASE			300 5 6	5 5	 + -	0 0	5 6	400 5 5	+ 100 + 0 - 1	33 0 17
	68.00 4,592 68.00 4,592 RNED TO PRIS Y PAROLE REL	68.00 55.00 4,592 4,162 68.00 55.00 4,592 4,162 RNED TO PRISON Y PAROLE RELEASE SCHARGE (YRS)	68.00 55.00 - 13.0 4,592 4,162 - 43 68.00 55.00 - 13.0 4,592 4,162 - 43 RNED TO PRISON Y PAROLE RELEASE SCHARGE (YRS)	88.00 55.00 - 13.00 19 4,592 4,162 - 430 9 68.00 55.00 - 13.00 19 4,592 4,162 - 430 9 RNED TO PRISON Y PAROLE RELEASE SCHARGE (YRS)	BUDGETED ACTUAL ± CHANGE % BUDGETED 68.00 55.00 - 13.00 19 68.00 4,592 4,162 - 430 9 859 68.00 55.00 - 13.00 19 68.00 4,592 4,162 - 430 9 859 FIS PLANNED RNED TO PRISON 300 Y PAROLE RELEASE 5 SCHARGE (YRS) 6	BUDGETED ACTUAL ± CHANGE % BUDGETED ACTUAL 68.00 55.00 - 13.00 19 68.00 57.00 4,592 4,162 - 430 9 859 859 68.00 55.00 - 13.00 19 68.00 57.00 4,592 4,162 - 430 9 859 859 FISCAL YEAR PLANNED ACTUAL RNED TO PRISON 300 399 Y PAROLE RELEASE 5 5 SCHARGE (YRS) 6 5	BUDGETED ACTUAL	BUDGETED ACTUAL ± CHANGE	BUDGETED ACTUAL	BUDGETED ACTUAL	BUDGETED ACTUAL

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

REPORT V61

12/14/16

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-611 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010301

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-1	6		THREE N	MONTHS EI	NDED	09-30-16		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-	17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANG	E	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	6.00 391	6.00 428	++	0.00 37	0	6.00 110	6.00 110	++	0.00 0	0 0	6.00 281	6.00 281	+ 0.0 +		0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	6.00 391	6.00 428	+	0.00 37	0	6.00 110	6.00 110	++	0.00	0	6.00 281	6.00 281	+ 0.0	0 .	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2015	-16			FISCAL YEAR	2016-17		
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	[]	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARL 2. AV LENGTH OF TIME BEFORE NEXT PA 3. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DI 4. % INMATES GRANTED PAROLE AT EXP	ROLE RVIEW (N SCHARGE (YRS	INTHS)				5 5 6 55	5 8 5 32	 + + -	0 2 1 23	0 33 17 42	 5 6 55	5 6 6 55	++	 	0 0 0
5. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU						300	399		99	33	300	350	+ 5	j	17
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AV NO. OF SENTENCED FELONS IN STA 2. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER HAWAI						 4182 1660	4461 1479		279 181	 7 11		4300 1600		 	3 4
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF MINIMUM SENTENCES FIX 2. # PERSONS CONSIDERED FOR PAROLE		IN DATE				 2000 2550	1682 2608	•	318 58	 16 2		1800 2550		 	10 0
 NUMBER OF PAROLES GRANTED NUMBER OF PAROLES DENIED NUMBER OF PAROLES REVOKED 						800 1450 300	814 1789 336	j +	14 339 36	2 23 12		1500	+ 5) 	0 3 0
•• •• •• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	PPL FOR REDUCTN OF MIN SENTENCE CONSIDERED OF PARDON APPLICATIONS CONSIDERED						188 56 125	j -	62 44 35	25 44 22	250 100 160	100	+) 	0 0 6
9. NUMBER OF INFORMAL INTERVIEWS C						160 0	0	•	0		j ,30	0		δί	ō

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

09 01 03 01 PSD 611

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. Average Length of Time on Before Next Parole Review (Months): The average length of time before next parole review has lengthened as a result of an increase in the number of offenders that have had not yet participated in the work furlough program when appearing before the Parole Board for an initial parole consideration hearing. In addition, fewer offenders qualified for the 6-month maximum parole revocation provision of Act 139.

Item 3. Average Length of Time on Parole Before Final Discharge (Years): The average length of time on parole before final discharge has increased as a result of a great number of offenders on parole with Class B (10-year prison term) and Class A (20-year prison term), which may require longer periods of supervision to ensure the offender is completely stable, has successfully reintegrated back into the community, and no longer poses a risk to public safety. Furthermore offenders with more serious offenses usually have larger amounts of restitution, court fees, and fines to pay. Pursuant to Hawaii Paroling Authority's (HPA) Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapter 23-700-52(e), offenders with any outstanding restitution cannot be granted an early discharge.

Item 4. Percentage of Inmates Granted Parole at the Expiration of Minimum Sentence: The percentage of inmates granted parole during their initial parole consideration hearing held prior to the expiration of their minimum term has decreased significantly as a result of HPA's misunderstanding of this question in the past and because of an increase in the number of parole denials during initial parole consideration hearing. Often times, offenders have not yet completed all Reception Assessment Diagnostics (RAD) recommended programs and/or have incurred recent serious misconducts. In addition, offenders sometimes do not submit parole plans as set forth under Section 706-670(3) and (4), leading to denial of release on parole.

Item 5. Number of Parole Violators Returned to Prison: The number of parole violators returned to prison has increased as a result of HPA's error in past reports, whereby the HPA only reported the number of parole violators returned to custody whose parole was revoked, and did not include those returned to custody, but later released prior to the parole violation hearing and/or released by the Parole Board following their parole revocation hearing. As an example, while 399 parole violators were returned to prison, only 336 violators were revoked and remained in custody after their parole violation hearing was held.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 2. Number of Parolees Under Hawaii Jurisdiction: The number of parolees under Hawaii jurisdiction is lower than anticipated as a result of an increase in the number of early discharges (93 for FY 2015 and 125 for FY 2016). In addition, fewer offenders from other jurisdictions applied for Interstate to Hawaii than anticipated.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. Number of Minimum Sentences Fixed: The number of minimum sentences is lower than anticipated as a result of fewer offenders being sentenced to prison by the courts and of those offenders sentenced to prison, some did not have multiple minimum terms to be set.

Item 4. Number of Paroles Denied: The number of denials is higher than anticipated as a result of an increase in the number of inmates who appeared before the Parole Board who had not yet completed all RAD recommended programs. Also, some inmates had committed serious misconducts and/or had not submitted an appropriate parole plan. HPA has seen an increase in the number of inmates who refuse to submit a parole plan.

Item 5. Number of Paroles Revoked: The number of paroles revoked is higher than anticipated as a result of HPA's error in past reports as explained in Part II (Item #5) above.

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

09 01 03 01 PSD 611

Item 6. Number of Applications for Reduction of Minimum Sentences Considered: The number of applications considered was lower than anticipated as a result of fewer inmates applying for this potential reduction in their sentences. As an example, for FY 2014, HPA considered 266 applications; in FY 2015, HPA considered 212 applications; and in FY 2016, HPA considered 188 applications.

Item 7. Number of Pardon Applications Considered: The number of pardon applications considered is lower than anticipated as a result of fewer offenders applying for a pardon. As an example, during FY 2014, HPA considered 86 applications; during FY 2015, HPA considered 100 applications; and during FY 2016, HPA considered 56 applications. The number of applications fluctuates annually and the HPA has no control over the number of offenders who decide to apply for a pardon.

Item 8. Number of Parolees Reviewed and Discharged: The number of parolees reviewed for discharge is lower than anticipated for the reasons provided in Part II (Item #3) above.

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010302

PSD-612

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16	-		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-16		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-1	7
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Ch	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±c	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANG	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	-													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	62.00 4,201	49.00 3,734	1 -	13.00 467	21 11	62.00 749	51.00 749	-+	11.00 0	18 0	62.00 3,550	62.00 3,550	+ 0.00	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	62.00 4,201	49.00 3,734		13.00 467	21 11	62.00 749	51.00 749	- , +	11.00 0	18 0	62.00 3,550	62.00 3,550	+ 0.00	1
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> +</u> 다	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
1. % RECOM RELATG TO PAROLE RELEAS 2. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU 3. AMOUNT OF RESTITUTION COLLECTED 4. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DIS 5. UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROL	RNED TO PRIS	ON				80 300 100000 6 1 14	75 399 40735 5 13	j - -	5 99 59265 1 1	6 33 59 17 7	80 300 100000 6 14	400 45000	- 55000 + 0	33 55 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NO. OF PAROLEES IN HAWAII FROM OT 2. NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISDI 3. NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISDI 4. AV NO. OF SENTENCED INMATES IN ST.	CTN OUT OF S	TATE FATE				 40 115 1680 4182	33 99 1479 5748	j -	7 16 201 1566	18 14 12 37	40 115 1680 4182	35 105 1500 5500	- 10 - 180	j 9 J 11
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF PREPAROLE INVESTIGATION 2. NUMBER OF ARREST WARRANTS ISSUIN 3. NUMBER OF PAROLE DISCHARGES RECOUNTY 4. NUMBER OF PARDON INVESTIGATIONS 5. NUMBER OF INTERSTATE COMPACT ACOUNTY 6. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER SPECIA 7. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER INTERS 8. NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING	ED COMMENDED CONDUCTED GREEMENTS ALIZED SUPERVIS	/ISION				2500 370 240 100 150 170 45	363 293 56 132 174	i - -	108 7 53 44 18 4	4 2 22 44 12 2 9	370 240 100 150 170	370	+ 100 + 0 + 40 - 50 - 10 + 0	0 1 17 1 50 1 7

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

09 01 03 02 PSD 612

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The position variance is due to employee turnover and difficulty in recruitment.

The expenditure variance is due to delays in filling vacancies and delays in operating expenditures.

FY 2017: The 1st quarter position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. PSD plans to fill all positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 2. Number of Parole Violators Returned to Prison: The number of parole violators returned to prison has increased as a result of Hawaii Paroling Authority's (HPA) error in past reports, whereby the HPA only reported the number of parole violators returned to custody whose parole was revoked and did not include those returned to custody, but later released prior to the parole violation hearing and/or released by the Parole Board following their parole revocation hearing. As an example, while 399 parole violators were returned to prison, only 336 violators were revoked and remained in custody after their parole violation hearing was held.
- Item 3. Amount of Restitution Collected: The amount of restitution collected significantly decreased because during the previous reporting period, several parolees made substantially large one-time payments, which in most of the cases, completely paid off the remaining balance owed.
- Item 4. Average Length of Time on Parole Before Final Discharge (Years): The average length of time on parole before final charge has increased as a result of a great number of offenders on parole with Class B (10-year prison term) and Class A (20-year prison term), which may require longer periods of supervision to ensure the offender is completely stable, has successfully reintegrated back into the community, and no longer poses, a risk to public safety. Furthermore, offenders with more

serious offenses usually have larger amounts of restitution, court fees, and fines to pay. Pursuant to HPA's Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapter 23-700-52(e), offenders with any outstanding restitution cannot be granted an early discharge.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 1. Number of Parolees in Hawaii From Other Jurisdictions: The number of parolees from other jurisdictions is less than anticipated as a result of HPA receiving fewer Interstate Compact applications from offenders from other jurisdictions. There could be several reasons for a decline, including the cost of living in Hawaii vs. other States, lack of a family support system in Hawaii, etc.
- Item 2. Number of Parolees Under Hawaii Jurisdiction Out of State: The number of parolees under Hawaii jurisdiction being supervised out of State is lower than anticipated as a result of fewer inmate's being granted parole than anticipated (see PSD 611, Part II, Measures of Effectiveness, Item #4) and mostly likely recent changes in the rules governing states that participate in the Western Interstate Compact Rules.
- Item 3. Number of Parolees Under Hawaii Jurisdiction Within the State: The number of parolees under Hawaii jurisdiction within the State is lower than anticipated as a result of several factors, including, but not limited to, an increase in the number of early discharges (93 for FY 2015 and 125 for FY 2016), fewer offenders from other jurisdictions applied for Interstate Compact to Hawaii, etc.
- Item 4. The average number of sentenced inmates was underestimated based on current facility operational capacity.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 3. Number of Parole Discharges Recommended: The number of parolees recommended for discharge was higher than anticipated because of an increase in the number of early discharges recommended by Parole Officers.

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

09 01 03 02 PSD 612

Item 4. Number of Pardon Investigations Conducted: The number of pardon investigations conducted is much lower than anticipated as a result of receiving fewer applications. Oftentimes, after a new Governor is sworn in, the HPA sees an increase in pardon applications and once the Governor has been in office for more than one year, we see a decrease in the number of applications received. As an example, during FY 2014, the HPA processed 86 pardon applications, and during FY 2015, HPA processed 100 applications. For FY 2016, the HPA processed 56 applications.

Item 5. Number of Interstate Compact Agreements: The number of interstate compact agreements is lower than anticipated for the reasons cited in Part III, Items #1 and #2 above.

Item 8. Number of Administrative Hearings Conducted: The number of administrative hearings conducted is lower than anticipated for several reasons. Fewer inmates applied for reduction of minimum, fewer offenders applied for Intra-State transfer of their case within Hawaii, etc.

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-613 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090104

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-16		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30	-17
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> 0	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHAN	SE %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	13.00 3,389	11.00 1,542		15 54	13.00 442	10.00 442	- +	3.00 0	23 0	13.00 2,966	13.00 2,966	+ 0.0 +	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	13.00 3,389	11.00 1,542		15 54	13.00 442	10.00 442	- +	3.00 0	23 0	13.00 2,966	13.00 2,966	+ 0.0	0 0
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2015-	-16			FISCAL YEAR		
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Cl	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANG	E %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AV TIME FM DATE APP RCV TO DATE 2. AV TIME FROM AWARD TO DATE PUR 3. PERCENT OF CLAIMANTS WHO RECE 4. AVERAGE COMPENSATION AWARD M	CH ORDR PREP VED COMPENSA	RD (WKS)			 20 4 75 800		 + + + +	 0 0 14 54	0 0 19 7	 20 4 75 800	20 4 75 800	 + + +	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. # PERSONS STATEWIDE WHO MAY BE	ELIG FOR COM	PENSATN			 1470000	1470000	 +	0	0	1470000	1470000	 +	0 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF CLAIMS RECEIVED 2. DOLLAR VALUE OF CLAIMS RECEIVED 3. NUMBER OF HEARINGS HELD 4. NUMBER OF COMPENSATION AWARD 5. NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE MEETING	S MADE				 750 700000 6 800	967	 - - - +	244 168799 5 167 0	33 24 83 21 0	 750 700000 6 800	550 600000 4 900 4	 - 10000 -	 00 27 00 14 2 33 00 13 00 0

PROGRAM TITLE: CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

09 01 04 PSD 613

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The position variance is due to employee turnover.

The expenditure variance is due to the program operating within the available revenues generated in the fiscal year and less federal funds.

FY 2017: The 1st quarter position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. PSD plans to fill all positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3. Percent of Claimants Who Received Compensation: There was an increase in the number of compensations made and a decrease in the number of claims denied.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Item 1. Number of Claims Received: There was a 33% decrease in the number of actual claims received versus the estimated number of claims received. According to the Honolulu Police Department, the number of violent crimes has decreased during the period in question. With the number of violent crimes decreasing, this would decrease the number of victims and, subsequently, the number of applicants to the Commission.
- Item 2. Dollar Value of Claims Received: The dollar value paid on claims received was 24% lower than planned. The dollar value of claims paid is lower due to the decrease in the amounts awarded due to limitations in compensation in some categories. The Commission's Medical Reduction Project also contributed to the lower amounts paid. Additionally, the lower number of claims received also lowered the dollar value paid out.
- Item 3. Number of Hearings Held: The number of hearings held was 83% lower than planned due to the lower number of appeals received

during the past fiscal year.

- Item 4. Number of Compensation Awards Made: The actual number of compensation awards made was 21% higher than planned due to the increased number of medical providers being paid on behalf of victims.
- Item 6. Number of Claims Denied: The number of claims denied decreased by 57% over the planned figure. The decrease is attributed to the increase in the number of eligible claims filed as the Commission works to ensure that eligible victims' applications are filed accurately and promptly so that the claim is not denied. Also, the Commission works with the county victim witness advocates to ensure that claims are not filed on behalf of non-qualifying victims.

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

GENERAL SUPPORT - CRIMINAL ACTION

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090105

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16			THREE I	MONTHS EI	NDED	09-30-16		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 0	3-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± (CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CH	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	185.00 22,552	157.00 20,391	1	28.00 2,161	15 10	187.00 4,267	164.00 4,099	-	23.00 168	12 4	187.00 21,005	187.00 21,175	+	0.00 170	0 1
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	185.00 22,552	157.00 20,391		28.00 2,161	15 10	187.00 4,267	164.00 4,099	-	23.00 168	12 4	187.00 21,005	187.00 21,175	+	0.00 170	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2015-	-16			FISCAL YEAR	2016-1	7	
·						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENTAGE OF VACANCIES FILLED 2. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRAI 3. % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TS	•	,				50 25 20	50 26 27		0 1 7	0 4 35	50 25 20	50 26 72	+ + +	0 1 52	0 4 260

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

REPORT V61

12/14/16

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-900

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010501

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-1	16		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-16	5	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 0	6-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± Cł	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	136.00 16,513	113.00 15,696	-	23.00 817	17 5	138.00 2,879	121.00 2,879	- 17.00 + 0	12 0	138.00 15,367	138.00 15,367	+	0.00	0 0_
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	136.00 16,513	113.00 15,696	, ,	23.00 817	17 5	138.00 2,879	121.00 2,879	- 17.00 + 0	12 0	138.00 15,367	138.00 15,367	+	0.00 0	0 0
							CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR			
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	ANGE	<u>%</u>
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF VACANCIES FILLED 2. PERCENT OF DELEGATED POSITION A	CTIONS PROCE	SSED				50 90	50 81		•	l 50 90	50 90	+	 0 0	0 0
3. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRA						25	26	•	•	25	26	+	1 j	4
4. % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TO SELECT SELECTION OF THE SELECT SELEC						20 85	27 81	+ 7 - 4	35 5	20 85	56 85	+ +	36 0	180 0
6. % INTERNL INVSTGTNS COMPLETED B						l 83	94	•		•	•	+	7	8
% ADA COMPLNTS INVSTGTD/CLOSED						80	20	•	•		1	+	0 j	0
8. % HARASSMNT/DISCRMNTN COMPLNT 9. % ACTIVE CIP PROJCTS ON/AHEAD OF						70 I 25	47 25	•		70 25		+ +	0 I	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	GOTIDE AO JOI	4L 30						1 '		1 20				
NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTAL EMPLOY	FES					l 2503	2424	l I - 79	I I 3	l 2503	2503 I	+	, I	0
2. NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL FACILITIE						8		+ 0	į ŏ	8		+	ōj	0
AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION				•		5706	6108	+ 402	<u> 7</u>	5706	6000	+	294	5_
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY										1			I	
NUMBER OF NEW REQUESTS TO FILL \ NUMBER OF DELICATED POSITION AS						300	250	•	•		250	-	50	17
 NUMBER OF DELEGATED POSITION AC NUMBER OF FISCAL TRANSACTIONS PI 						500 240	940 250			500 240	650 260	+	150 20	30 8
4. # TIME SHEETS PROC FOR OT & EMERI						3800	3900	•	3	3800		+	200	5
NUMBER OF TRAINING SESSIONS CON						25		+ 20	j 80	j 25		+	149	596
6. #INTERNAL INVSTGTNS RCVD BY INSP						85		+ 85		85		+	65	76
 NO. INTERNAL INVSTGTNS INITIATO BY NUMBER OF ADA COMPLAINTS FILED 	IN I ERNL AFFA	IKS				100 10	121 10	+ 21 + 0		j 100 i 10		+ +	10 0	10 j 0 j
9. NO. OF HARASSMENT/DISCRIMINATION	COMPLAINTS	FILED				45		1+ 2	,	45	45	+	0	0
10. NUMBER OF ACTIVE CIP PROJECTS AS	OF JUNE 30					10	10			j 10	10	+	0 j	0

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

09 01 05 01 PSD 900

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

The expenditure variance is due to the program operating within the available revenues generated in the fiscal year and less federal and trust funds.

FY 2017: The 1st quarter position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. PSD plans to fill all positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 2. The variance is because of the significant increase in the number of delegated actions received. While more were processed, the large number received means a lower percentage were processed.
- Item 4. After an internal audit identified training deficiencies, a concerted effort was made to improve the quality and quantity of training.
- Item 6. The variance is due to an influx of pre-employment investigations, particularly for the Sheriff Division. These investigations are more streamlined than regular investigations and take less time to do. Thus, a higher percentage can be completed in the same amount of time.
- Item 7. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percentage of ADA complaints investigated and closed after action was taken. Two factors contributed to this: two unexpected vacancies, each more than a year; and cases filed by outside agencies, which are awaiting adjudication. This is outside of the control of the Civil Right's Compliance Office.
- Item 8. The variance is due to an overestimation in the percentage of harassment/discrimination complaints closed. The staff vacancies mentioned in Item #7 also contributed to the lower percentage.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Item 1. The variance is due to the filling of uniformed positions and decreased turnover; this means fewer vacancies and fewer requests to fill.
- Item 2. The variance is due to the large number of updates to Deputy Sheriff position descriptions.
- Item 5. After an internal audit identified training deficiencies, a concerted effort was made to improve the quality and quantity of training.
- Item 6. The variance is due to a new format of short form investigations. There was also a massive influx of Sheriff Division cases.
- Item 7. The variance is due to the significant number of truth verification pre-employment investigations conducted for Deputy Sheriff applicants. The Internal Affairs Office conducted 71 Deputy Sheriff pre-employment investigations during FY 16.

REPORT V61

12/14/16

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

ATG-231

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010502

	FISC	AL YEAR 20)15-16		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-16	3	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS												
POSITIONS			1						-			
EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												ĺ
OPERATING COSTS					ł				•			
POSITIONS	49.00	44.00	- 5.00	10	49.00	43.00	- 6.00	12	49.00	49.00	+ 0.00	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	6,039	4,695	- 1,344	22	1,388	1,220	- 168	12	5,638	5,808	+ 170	3_
TOTAL COSTS												
POSITIONS	49.00	44.00	- 5.00	10	49.00	43.00	- 6.00	12	49.00	49.00	+ 0.00 + 170	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	6,039	4,695	- 1,344	22	1,388	1,220	- 168	12	5,638	5,808		3
					PLANNED	CAL YEAR :		1 %	l PLANNED	FISCAL YEAR ESTIMATED		1 %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS					LANNED	ACTUAL	CHARGE	1 /0	I	LOTIMATICAL	OFFICE	1 /0
 AV# DAYS REQUIRD TO COMPLETE EXI 	PUNGEMENT P	ROCESS			120	120		j o	120	120	+ 0	j o
AV # DAYS TO ENTER DISPOSITION DATE		NT			12	12	•	0	12		+ 0	0
3. % COMPLETE DISPOSITIONS ON CJIS-H					94		+ 1	1	94		+ 1	1
4. % OF ELIGIBLE SEX OFFENDERS THAT		-00			46	92	,	100	46		+ 46 + 8	100
 % REG SEX OFFENDERS WHO COMPLY AV# DAYS TO COMPLETE CRIM HIS REG 					75 5	1	+ 8 + 0	11 0	75 5		+ 8 + 0	11
7. % MONTHLY LATENT FINGERPRT/PALM		(02313			21		- 13	•	1 21		- 13	•
8. % HELP DESK TICKETS RESOLVED IN 4					67	68		•	•	67		•
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP								1	1			
 PERSONS WITH CRIMINAL RECORDS 					569000	579572		2	569000	590000	+ 21000	4
2. PERSONS WITH EXPUNGEABLE RECOR					352000	367103		4	352000		+ 8000	2
3. NO. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES SVD	(CNTY/STATE/	FED)			95	94		1 1	95		+ 0] 0
 CJIS-HAWAII USERS PERSONS WITH ELIGIBLE SEX OFFEND 	CD CHADOES				5127	4897		4	5127		- 227	4
 PERSONS WITH ELIGIBLE SEX OFFEND NUMBER OF NON-CRIMINAL JUSTICE A 		(ICED			6406 90	3293 159		49 77	6406 90	3300 170		48 89
7. NCIC USERS	GENCIES SERV	ICLD			l 2890		l - 209	, ,, , 7			- 190	•
8. NUMBER OF NON-COMPLIANT SEX OFF	ENDERS				490	397		19		400		
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY					1		l	<u> </u>				
1. # REG SEX OFFENDRS REQURING QTR	LY VERIFICATION	NC			2298	2376		j 3	j 2298	2450	+ 152	j 7
#PUB ACC/WEB TRANSACTNS CONDUC					390000	352195	•	10	390000		- 40000	10
3. # OF INQUIRY TRANSACTIONS CONDUC					1700000	2028225		19	1700000	2000000	+ 300000	18
4. # OF EXPUNGEMENT REQUESTS PROC)			1597	1760	•	10	•	1800		
 NUMBER OF FIRST-TIMERS ADDED TO A #NAME-BASED APPLICANT RECORD CH 		SED			8970 3600	6512 2192	,	27 39	8970 3600	6000 2000	- 2970 - 1600	33 44
7. #FINGERPRT-BASED APPLICANT RECORD CF					1 40000	40640		39 2			+ 0	1 44
8. # CRIMINAL FINGERPRINTS PROCESSE		,			1 45000	45461	•	1 1	•		+ 0	i
9. #LATENT FINGER/PALM PRINT SEARCH		J AFIS			1400	5208		272		5000	-	257
10. #CJIS-HAWAII RECS INDEXED ON INTER					234888	265578	•	j 13	234888	290000	+ 55112	,

PROGRAM TITLE: STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

09 01 05 02 ATG 231

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The position variance is due to vacant positions that the Hawaii Criminal Justice Data Center is working to fill.

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures occurred because not all vacancies were filled and significantly less than anticipated was spent out of the non-general fund accounts.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 4: The increase is due to the way the total number of eligible sex offenders are now being counted. See Item 5 in Part III.
- Item 5: The increase is due to the State's efforts to enforce the annual inperson and periodic verification provision of Chapter 846E, HRS.
- Item 7: The reports that are run out of the Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) show that there was a total of 428 latent hits in FY 16. A total of 5,208 latent searches were conducted. The number of latent hits was less than anticipated.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 5: In prior years, offenders that might qualify as an eligible covered offender were counted. After further research, more times than not, these offenders were not eligible for registration. Therefore, we are no longer including this category of offenders in this count.
- Item 6: This increase is due to the implementation of the Volunteer and Employee Criminal History Service (VECHS) program in Hawaii. This allows more non-criminal justice entities to be eligible to conduct fingerprint-based background checks on their volunteers and employees.
- Item 8: This decrease is due to the State's efforts to enforce the annual inperson and periodic verification provision of Chapter 846E, HRS.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Item 2: The less than anticipated number of public access and web-based transactions conducted on CJIS-Hawaii could be due to the implementation of the VECHS program (see Item 6 in Part III). Entities that used public access and the web-based query are now eligible to conduct fingerprint-based state and national checks.
- Item 3: More than anticipated inquiry transactions were conducted on CJIS-Hawaii.
- Item 4: There were more than anticipated expungement applications received in FY 16.
- Item 5: There were less than anticipated offenders being arrested for the first time in FY 16.
- Item 6: Fewer name-based criminal history record checks were processed during FY 16 as less than the anticipated requests were received.
- Item 9: There were more latent search requests received in FY 16 than anticipated.
- Item 10: There are more than anticipated CJIS-Hawaii records indexed on the Interstate Identification Index.

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

SAFETY FROM PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0902

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-1	6		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-16		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-	·17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±0	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANG	E	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													_		
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	230.25 107,045	184.25 68,284	-	46.00 38,761	20 36	237.25 33,667	188.25 33,212	 - -	49.00 455	21 1	237.25 90,963	237.25 91,418	+ 0.0 + 45	- 1	0 1
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	230.25 107,045	184.25 68,284	-	46.00 38,761	20 36	237.25 33,667	188.25 33,212	-	49.00 455	21 1	237.25 90,963	237.25 91,418	+ 0.0 + 45		0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2015-	-16			ISCAL YEAR	2016-17		
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>±</u> CI	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGI	= 1	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. DEATHS/INJ/PROP DAM DUE TO FLOOD	S (INCIDENTS)					 4	1	 -	3	 75	4	4	+	0	0

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS PROGRAM TITLE:

PROGRAM-ID:

LNR-810

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090201

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-1	6	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-17	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	SE %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±¢	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	8.00 2,490	7.00 2,127		00 13 63 15	8.00 740	7.00 285	- 1.00 - 455	13 61	8.00 1,770	8.00 2,225	++	0.00 455	0 26
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	8.00 2,490	7.00 2,127		00 13 63 15	8.00 740	7.00 285	- 1.00 - 455	13 61	8.00 1,770	8.00 2,225	+	0.00 455	0 26
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2015-16		<u> </u>	FISCAL YEAR	2016	3-17	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CI	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAB	3ILTIES/PROP [)AM			4	1	 - 3	 75	 4	4	 +	0	0_
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP					!	4.4	 + 0	 0			ì		
 DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS) 					1.4	1.4	- 0	, ,	1.4	1.4	+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY					1.4	1.4	T	, <u> </u>	1.4 	1.4	+ 	0	0
	N PLANS REVI	=WED	 		1.4	1.4	 	0 0	1.4 4	1.4	+ +	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIO 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT	TS IMPLEMENT	ΓED			1.4 4 1		<u>. </u>	İ	1.4 4 1		<u> </u>		
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN	TS IMPLEMENT	red Nto			1.4 4 1 1	4 0 1	 + 0 - 1 + 0	 0 100 0	1.4 4 1	4 0 1	<u> </u>	0 1 0	0 100 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIO 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN 4. NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON	TS IMPLEMENT NTS ENTERED I NSRV LAWS RE	TED NTO VIEWED			1.4 4 1 1	4 0 1 2	 + 0 - 1 + 0	 0 100 0	1.4 4 1 1	4 0 1 2	 + - +	0 1 0 0	0 100 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN 4. NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON 5. NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES/	TS IMPLEMENT NTS ENTERED I NSRV LAWS RE	TED NTO VIEWED	· · ·		 4 1 1 2 4	4 0 1 2 1	+ 0 - 1 + 0 + 0 - 3	0 100 0 0	 4 1 1 2	4 0 1 2 4	 + - + +	0 1 0 0	0 100 0 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN 4. NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON 5. NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES/ 6. FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS)	TS IMPLEMENT NTS ENTERED I NSRV LAWS RE' INVESTGTNS P	TED NTO VIEWED PERFRMD			 4 1 1 2 4 100	4 0 1 2 1 1 50	+ 0 - 1 + 0 + 0 - 3 - 50	0 100 0 0 75	 4 1 1 2 4	4 0 1 2 4 100	 + - + + +	0 1 0 0 0	0 100 0 0 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN 4. NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON 5. NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES/ 6. FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS) 7. NO. OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REND	TS IMPLEMENT NTS ENTERED I NSRV LAWS RE' INVESTGTNS P ERED (MAN-HO	TED NTO VIEWED PERFRMD			 4 1 1 2 4	4 0 1 2 1	+ 0 - 1 + 0 + 0 - 3 - 50 + 0	0 100 0 0 75 50	 4 1 1 2 4 100	4 0 1 2 4 100 500	 + - + + +	0 1 0 0 0	0 100 0 0 0 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN 4. NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON 5. NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES/ 6. FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS)	TS IMPLEMENT NTS ENTERED I NSRV LAWS REV INVESTGTNS P ERED (MAN-HO PARED	TED NTO VIEWED PERFRMD DURS)			 4 1 1 2 4 100	4 0 1 2 1 1 50	+ 0 - 1 + 0 + 0 - 3 - 50	0 100 0 0 75	 4 1 1 2 4	4 0 1 2 4 100	 + - + + +	0 1 0 0 0	0 100 0 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

09 02 01 LNR 810

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 16 variance due to position vacancy due to transfer of incumbent to another program. Position description update and recruitment were underway as of September 30,2016.

Expenditure variance due to vacancy and having an expenditure ceiling greater than the amount of Dam and Reservoir Safety Special Funds available.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: Storm frequency and intensity less than anticipated.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2: Variance due to staff focus on other priority tasks.

Item 5: Variance due to storm frequency and intensity less than anticipated.

Item 6: Variance due to storm frequency and intensity less than anticipated.

Item 8: Variance due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.

Item 10: The annual number of estimated inspections is half of the current number of regulated dams, as the program attempts to inspect each regulated once every two years. The number of regulated dams statewide has been decreasing as dams are removed from service or modified to be smaller than regulated size. Areas of staff focus for dam safety related activities include public outreach sessions, informal dam inspections and site visits to monitor dam construction

AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID: DEF-110
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090202

DEF-110

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	015-16		THREE	MONTHS E	NDED 09-30-1	6	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30	-17
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHAN	GE %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	222.25 104,555	177.25 66,157	- 45.0 - 38,39		229.25 32,927	181.25 32,927	- 48.00 + 0	21 0	229.25 89,193	229.25 89,193	+ 0.0 +	0 (
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	222.25 104,555	177.25 66,157	- 45.0 - 38,39		229.25 32,927	181.25 32,927	- 48.00 + 0	21 0	229.25 89,193	229.25 89,193	+ 0.0	0 (
						CAL YEAR	2015-16			FISCAL YEAR	2016-17	
				,	PLANNED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANG	E %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % OF CIVIL DEFENSE DISASTER PLAN I 2. % OF CIVIL DEFENSE ORGANIZATN & T 3. PERCENT OF CD EMERGENCY SUPPOF 4. PERCENT OF HARNG PERSONNEL READ 5. PERCENT OF HARNG TRAINING READIN 6. PERCENT OF HARNG LOGISTICS READ 7. PERCENT OF HANG PERSONNEL READ 8. PERCENT OF HANG TRAINING READIN 9. PERCENT OF HANG TRAINING READIN 9. PERCENT OF HANG LOGISTICS READIN PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. RESIDENT POPULATION OF THE STATE 2. AV DAILY VISITOR POPULATN IN THE S	RAINING READI RT SYSTEMS RE DINESS JESS NESS JINESS JINESS JESS (THOUSANDS)	EADINESS			75	75 80 86 78 91 95 90	+ 0 + 15 + 0 - 4 - 7 + 5 + 0 + 0 + 0	25 0 4 8 6 0 0 0	75 60 80 90 85 86 95 90 90	82 86 78 91 95 90 90	+ - - + + +	0 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF FUNCTIONAL MILITARY UN 2. AMOUNT OF DIRECT FED FUND SPPT F 3. NUMBER OF ARMORIES & SUPPORT FA 4. INVENTORY COST OF NAT GUARD EQU 5. COST OF MILITARY SPPT TO CIVIL AUT 6. ASSIGNED MILITARY STRENGTH (NUMB 7. NUMBER OF CIVIL DEFENSE PLANS UP 8. NUMBER OF PERSONS COMPLETING F 9. NO. OF EMERGENCY SHELTER SPACES	OR MIL DEF (00 CILITIES MAINT IP MAINTAIND(0 HORITIES (000'S BER) DATED DRMAL CD TRA	TAINED 000'S) S)			5000000	126000 NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA 5600 0 30	- 17 - 5000000	34 100 100 100 100 100 100 3	49 190000 17 5000000 210 5600 1 31	NO DATA 5600 1 30	+ - 500000 - 2' + +	1

PROGRAM TITLE: AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

09 02 02 DEF 110

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2016: The difference in the budgeted and actual positions filled for FY 2016 was caused by the inability to get eligible applicant listings on a timely basis. Also, as the economy improves, the private sector is offering compensation that is higher than most vacant positions pay.

The expenditures variance were due to: 1) general fund restrictions imposed on programs; 2) changes in budgeting for federal funds were not properly reflected; and 3) delays in processing Federal Emergency Management Agency's reimbursements for emergency disasters.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. % of Civil Defense Organizational & Training Readiness:

The Hawaii Emergency Management Agency (HI-EMA) has been very consistent over the past few years in organizational and training readiness (actual = 75). It seems that the planned number at 60 for FY 2016 is not quite correct, as the planned number for FY 2015 was 78. When comparing the budgeted number of 78 to the actual number of 75, there is no significant variance. The planned number for FY 2017 should read 78, too, in lieu of 60. Also, there is no significant changes for FY 2017.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant changes.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2. Amount of Direct Federal Fund Support for Military Defense (000's):

For FY 2016, the discrepancy is due to the Hawaii Army National Guard not receiving the amount of funds to support exercises, conduct training, and attend schooling as expected.

Item Nos. 3, 4, and 5: No data available.

Item 7. Number of Civil Defense Plans Updated:

The expected updated Civil Defense plan(s) did not materialize by the end of FY 2016 and is expected to be completed in FY 2017.

Item 9. No. of Emergency Shelter Spaces Maintained (000's):

In 2006, evacuation shelter standards were changed to include the requirement for structural engineers to provide assessments, but the guidance was never fully implemented, as the costs of such an activity made it infeasible to do. In the fall of 2015, newly hired staff at HI-EMA initiated a shelter program review, which included an assessment of the shelter retrofit program in addition to reassessing current shelter inventory based on old and new standards. The review is on going.

Item 10. With increased staffing, the installation of warning devices for the safety and security of Hawaii communities also increased.