

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**

#### STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 04

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-1	7		THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDE	D 09-30-17		NINE	MONTHS END	ING 06-30-18	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)									_					
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	619.00 357,797	492.00 356,655	- -	127.00 1,142	21 0	627.00 79,627	492.00 159,651	- +	135.00 80,024	22 100	627.00 299,347	615.00 218,908	- 12.00 - 80,439	2 27
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	619.00 357,797	492.00 356,655	-	127.00 1,142	21 0	627.00 79,627	492.00 159,651	-+	135.00 80,024	22 100	627.00 299,347	615.00 218,908	- 12.00 - 80,439	2 27
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					FIS	CAL YEAR	2010	6-17			ISCAL YEAR	2017-18		
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF PROTECTED AREAS, STAT	T II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS NUMBER OF PROTECTED AREAS, STATEWIDE						NO DATA	-	13	100	13	NO DATA	- 13	100

.

#### PROGRAM TITLE: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

## PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in the Environmental Protection program position count is generally due to vacancies because of budget constraints, personnel turnovers and recruitment difficulties. The variance in expenditures is the net effect of position vacancies, collective bargaining augmentation and authorized federal fund increases. Details of the position and expenditure variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See the lowest level programs for explanation of variances.

## **VARIANCE REPORT**

#### STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE: POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0401

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-17		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30	-17	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-18	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHAN	SE %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	230.00 307,062	162.00 297,544	- 68.0 - 9,5		237.00 65,593	161.00 147,438	- 76.0 + 81,84		237.00 242,012	227.00 159,931	- 10.00 - 82,081	4 34
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	230.00 307,062	162.00 297,544	- 68.0 - 9,5		237.00 65,593	161.00 147,438	- 76.0 + 81,84		237.00 242,012	227.00 159,931	- 10.00 - 82,081	4 34
	FISCAL YEAR 2016-17   FISCAL YEAR 2017-18											
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANG	ΞΙ %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # HIGHLY TOXIC EXP TO PEST THREAT	TO LIFE OR HL	тн			10	NO DATA	- 1	 0   100	   10	NO DATA	- 10	   100

•

#### **PROGRAM TITLE: POLLUTION CONTROL**

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in the Pollution Control program position count is generally due to vacancies because of budget constraints, personnel turnovers, and recruitment difficulties. The variance in expenditures is the net effect of position vacancies, collective bargaining augmentation and authorized federal fund increases. Details of the position and expenditure variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

See Lowest Level Programs for additional information.

04 01

.

## **VARIANCE REPORT**

PROGRAM TITLE: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM-ID: HTH-840 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 040101

.

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-1	7		THREE	MONTHS EN	IDED 09	9-30-17		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	6 06-30-18	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)						-									
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	204.00 303,462	148.00 295,364		56.00 8,098	27 3	207.00 64,588	149.00 146,433		58.00 1,845	28 127	207.00 238,999	207.00 156,918	+ -	0.00 82,081	0 34
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	204.00 303,462	148.00 295,364	-	56.00 8,098	27 3	207.00 64,588	149.00 146,433		58.00 1,845	28 127	207.00 238,999	207.00 156,918	+ -	0.00 82,081	0 34
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+ CHA</u>	NGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % COVERED SOURCES IN COMPL WAII 2. % WASTEWITE EFFL/BIOSOLIDS REUSE	D FOR BENEF	PURPS				   95   16	16	   +   +	2   0	2	92 16	16	   +   +	3	3
<ol> <li>% WASTEWATER DISCHARGERS IN CO</li> <li>% OF MARINE RECREATIONAL SITES IN</li> <li>% PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYS MEET</li> </ol>	I COMPL WITH	RULES				96   99   94	97 100	-   -   +	1   2   6	1 2 6	96 99 94	99 94	+   +   +	0 0 0	0 0 0
<ol> <li>% PUBLIC DRINKING WATER STS MEETING HEALTH STNDRDS</li> <li>% INJECTION WELL FACILITIES WITH A UIC PERMIT</li> <li>% SOLID &amp; HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITIES IN COMPLIANCE</li> <li>% UNDERGRND STORAGE TANK FACILITIES IN COMPLIANCE</li> </ol>						56   66   96	65 98	+   -   +	0   1   2	0 2 2	56   66   90	93	+   -   +	0   1   3	0 2 3
<ol> <li>% OF WASTEWATER REVOLVING FUNC 10. % OF WASTEWATER SYSTEMS IN COM</li> </ol>		RULES				96   89	89 82	-   -	7	7 8	96   89	94 82	-   -	2 7	2 8
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP								I			, I		I		
1. # OF COVERED AIR POLLUTION SOURCe 2. # EXSTG TRTMT WORKS PRODCNG RE		IOSOLIDS				157   37	156 37	   <del>-</del>   +	1   0	1 0	155   37		+  +	2	1 0
3. # OF MAJOR AND MINOR WASTEWATER	R DISCHARGER	s				70		<b> </b> +	0	0	70		+	0	0
4. # OF MARINE RECREATIONAL SITES						147		+	0	0	147		+	0	0
5. # OF PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM 6. # OF UNDERGROUND INJECTION WELL						135   1359		+   +	0   10	0	135   1361		+   +	1 18	1
7. # OF SOLID AND HAZARDOUS WASTE F						446	443	-	3	1	446	443	-	3	1
8. # UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK FAC		TERED				3215		i +	6 j	0	3210		+	10	0
<ol> <li># OF WASTEWATER REVOLVING FUND</li> <li># EXISTG TRTMT WKS &amp; TRTMT INDIV V</li> </ol>		STEMS				11   38000	-	-   +	5   0	45 0	5   38000		+   +	12 0	240 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY	· •••••••										1		1		
1. # INSPECTIONS OF COVERED AIR POLI						140	130	i -	10	7		140	+	0	0
2. # OF INDIV WW SYS/BLDG PERMIT APP						4900		+	29	1	4800	4900	+	100	2
3. # OPER/MAINT/COMPLNT INSPECTNS C 4. # OF MICROBIOL/CHEM ANALYSES FOR						300   6200	300 6200	+   +	0   0	0	300   6200	300 6200	+  +	0	0
5. # OF SANITARY SURVEYS CONDUCTED						28	34	'   +	6	21	26		+	2	8
6. # OF INJECTION WELL APPLICATIONS F						85		-	1	1	85		-	1	1
7. # OF SOLID/HAZ WASTE FACIL INSPEC						100		+	4	4	100		+	0	0
8. # OF UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK		SPECTED				460		+	17	4	500		! -	20	4
<ol> <li># OF NEW CONSTRUCTION LOANS ISS 10. # OP/MAINT/CONST INSP/ENF ACT/INVS</li> </ol>						11   1400	-	-   -	5   54	45 4	5   1400	17 1300	+	12 100	240
		- FAC				1 1400	1340	l -	04	4	1 1400	1500	1 -	100	/

#### PROGRAM TITLE: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in the number of positions for FY 17 and for the first three months of FY 18 is primarily due to the lengthy recruitment process and difficulty in filling positions. In addition, for FY 17, to fill several new positions authorized by Act 124, SLH 2016, a reorganization for Solid and Hazardous Waste Branch had to be completed prior to establishing the positions. For the first three months of FY 18, several new positions authorized by Act 49, SLH 2017 are still being established and are funded for the latter half of the year only. For both years, the variance is also attributable to 8 positions that were or are being established or redescribed in conjunction with the Clean Air Branch and Safe Drinking Water Branch reorganizations.

Expenditure variances for FY 17 and FY 18 are primarily due to the timing of large revolving fund loan encumbrances. Some of the variance for quarters 2-4 of FY 18 is due to the 5% general fund restriction imposed by the Governor.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

9. The variance in FY 17 is due to projects/loans that did not occur as scheduled. The variance in FY 18 is due to the anticipated increase in executed loans for construction projects that are ready to proceed.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

5. The variance in FY 17 is because the number of surveys performed each year varies based on the everchanging 3-year or 5-year schedules that drinking water systems are placed on (federal law dictates this process). Depending on these schedules, the inspection staff may perform as many as 40 surveys or as few as 15 surveys in a specific year.

9. The variance in FY 17 is due to projects/loans that did not occur as scheduled. The variance in FY 18 is due to the anticipated increase in executed loans for construction projects that are ready to proceed.

04 01 01

HTH 840

## VARIANCE REPORT

## STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:PESTICIDESPROGRAM-ID:AGR-846PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:040102

<b>REPORT V61</b>	
12/9/17	

•

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-17		THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED 09-30-17		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-18	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	26.00 3,600	14.00 2,180	- 12.00 - 1,420	46 39	30.00 1,005	12.00 1,005	- 18.00 + 0	60 0	30.00 3,013	20.00 3,013	- 10.00 + 0	33 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	26.00 3,600	14.00 2,180	- 12.00 - 1,420	46 39	30.00 1,005	12.00 1,005	- 18.00 + 0	. 60 0	30.00 3,013	20.00 3,013	- 10.00 + 0	33 0
						CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. # HIGHLY TOXIC EXP TO PEST THREAT</li> <li>2. #DRINKG WATER SOURCES REQ TRTM</li> <li>3. CROP LOSS PREVENTED BY EMERGEN</li> </ul>	T TO MEET HT	STDS			10   12   2000	   2   NO DATA   2500	- 12	80 100 25	10   12   2000	5 5 500	- 5 - 7 - 1500	   50   58   75
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NO. OF APPLS CERT FOR RESTR USE O 2. NO. OF LICENSED DEALERS 3. LICENSEES OF PESTICIDE PRODUCTS 4. NO. OF AGRICULTURAL LABORERS 5. NON-CERTIFIED APPLICATORS		   1750   22   850   10500   120	480	+ 0   - 370   + 0	16 0 44 0 8	   1800   22   850   11000   140		+ 0	   17   0   41   0   4			
<ul> <li>PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY</li> <li>1. CERTIF OF RESTRICTED PESTICIDE US</li> <li>2. FIELD INSP MONITORING PEST USE (AC</li> <li>3. INVEST OF COMPLAINTS OF ALLEGED F</li> <li>4. LICENSING DEALERS OF RESTRICTED I</li> <li>5. SAMP PESTICIDE PROD &amp; ENV SURFAC</li> <li>6. MARKET INSPECTIONS</li> <li>7. PESTICIDE PRODUCT REGISTRATION (I</li> <li>8. MINOR USE REGISTRATIONS</li> <li>9. GROUND WATER REVIEWS</li> </ul>		325   400   55   22   500   75   2800   10   5	22 2203 30 3145 2	+ 24   + 0   + 1703   - 45	13 60 44 0 341 60 12 80 40	   350   500   22   500   90   2800   10   5		+ 0 + 0 + 0 - 50 - 10 + 0 - 5 + 0	   0   0   10   11   0   50   0			

.

#### PROGRAM TITLE: PESTICIDES

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Variances in expenditures mainly due to position vacancies and reduced federal and revolving fund expenditures.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. The number of high level episode incidents that will occur are unpredictable.

Item 2. No current data available to the program.

Item 3. The data is an estimated figure as "confidential business information" and is not available to the program; crop impacted: watermelon. Request for a Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), Section 18, Crisis Emergency exemption to use a certain pesticide by a grower, is infrequent.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The number of individuals certified to buy/use Restricted Use Pesticides is driven by current economic conditions.

Item 3. Variance possibly due to business closures.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The number of individuals seeking certification to buy/use Restricted Use Pesticides is driven by current economic conditions.

Item 2. The decrease in the number of inspections was due to less inspectors being hired/retained.

Item 3. The increase in the reportable number of complaints may be due to the public's awareness of pesticide use and health and environmental concerns.

Item 5. Samples run increased due to receiving new liquid

chromatography mass spectrometry (LC/MS) lab equipment that could run 10-month backlog of environmental samples.

Item 6. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 9, has placed less emphasis on the Hawaii Department of Agriculture (HDOA) conducting this type of Inspections.

Item 7. The number of products licensed showed an increase, either due to new products coming into the market or transfer of existing products to other companies and new registration being required.

Item 8. The HDOA predicted that there would be a decrease in the number of requests for special local need (SLN) labels. These requests are unpredictable for any given year.

Item 9. The request for full groundwater reviews are unpredictable. The HDOA requires groundwater reviews for new chemistries to determine leachability in Hawaii soils and based on labeled uses.

Item 10. Consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service was not required, as there was only one (1) SLN application for a use limited to containerized plants and no requests for an emergency exemption.

## **VARIANCE REPORT**

#### STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE: PRESERVATION AND ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM-ID:

-

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0402

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-1	17		THREE N	IONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-17		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-1	8
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANG	Ξ %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
DPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	279.00 35,074	235.00 45,887	- +	44.00 10,813	16 31	279.00 9,723	237.00 7,897	- 42.00 - 1,826	15 19	279.00 45,353	277.00 47,179	- 2.00 + 1,826	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	279.00 35,074	235.00 45,887		44.00 10,813	16 31	279.00 9,723	237.00 ´7,897	- 42.00 - 1,826	15 19	279.00 45,353	277.00 47,179	- 2.00 + 1,826	
		FIS	CAL YEAR :	2016-17		Į	FISCAL YEAR	2017-18					
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # MARINE PROTECTED AREAS STATEM	<b>/</b> IDE					13	NO DATA	  - 13	100	13	NO DATA	- 13	   100

#### PROGRAM TITLE: PRESERVATION AND ENHANCEMENT

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in the Preservation and Enhancement program position count is generally due to vacancies because of budget constraints, personnel turnovers, and recruitment difficulties. The variance in expenditures is the net effect of position vacancies, collective bargaining augmentation and authorized federal fund increases. Details of the position and expenditure variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

See the lowest level programs for explanation of variances.

04 02

## VARIANCE REPORT

 PROGRAM TITLE:
 ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND RESTORATION

 PROGRAM-ID:
 LNR-401

 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:
 040201

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-17		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-17		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-18	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	28.00 4,387	25.00 4,055	- 3.00 - 332	11 8	26.00 982	25.00 829	- 1.00 - 153	4 16	26.00 7,236	25.00 7,389	- 1.00 + 153	4 2
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	28.00 4,387	25.00 4,055	- 3.00 - 332	11 8	26.00 982	25.00 829	- 1.00 - 153	4 16	26.00 7,236	25.00 7,389	- 1.00 + 153	4 2
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2016-17			FISCAL YEAR	2017-18	
<ol> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>MARINE PROTCTD AREAS &amp; ARTFCL RI</li> <li>NET CHG IN MAR. PROT AREA BIOMASS</li> <li>NEW/AMENDED REGS THAT PROTECT</li> <li>TECH GUIDANCE PROVDD IN PERMIT/S</li> </ol>	S/BIODV (1000 L SPECIES (NO. A	BS) ADDED)			PLANNED 70720 1 1 1 100	70720 1 1	+ CHANGE + 0 + 0 + 0 + 26	%   0   0   26	PLANNED 70720 1 2 100	ESTIMATED 70720 1 0 125	+ 0   + 0   + 25	% 0 100 25
<ul> <li>PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP</li> <li>1. TOTAL RESIDENT POPULATION (THOUS</li> <li>2. TOTAL NON-RESIDENT POPULATION (T</li> <li>3. NON-GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATIONS</li> <li>4. RLATD COUNTY/STATE/FED RESOURCE</li> </ul>		1442   197   110   12	1443 197 110 12	+ 0  + 0	0   0   0	1443   198   110   12	199 110	+ 1    + 1    + 0    + 0	0 1 0 0			
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. STATUTORY & ADMIN RULE MAKING (N 2. ENVRNMT REVIEW & IMPACT EVALS, TI 3. MARINE PROTCTD AREA & ARTFCL REI 4. STREAM AND ESTUARINE SURVEYS (N 5. NATIVE SPECIES BIOLOGICAL & HABITA 6. PROTECTED SPECIES MONITORING & A		6 200 14 130 13888 10	210	+ 0  + 0  + 1	83 5 0 0 0	5   200   15   130   13887   10	14 132 13888		60 0 7 2 0 0			

#### PROGRAM TITLE: ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND RESTORATION

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 17: A Program Manager position and an Aquatic Biologist position was abolished by the FY 17 Legislature because these positions remained vacant for over three years, although Division staff were temporarily assigned to the Program Manager position for most of the period, this position was vacant. In addition, the Aquatic Biologist position was abolished as the selected internal applicant awaited the results of the Health Department's rift. Another Aquatic Biologist position (Position No. 91202C) that was approved by the FY 16 Legislature remained vacant as the new position was budgeted with half-a-year funds and the Division was preparing to establish this position with a new position description as a protected species biologist. Also, last year's vacancy savings resulted in most of the program's 332K savings in expenditures last year.

FY 18: The Division is requesting an upgrade for the vacant Aquatic Biologist position (Position No. 91202C) to replace the program manager position abolished by the 2017 Legislature. In addition, a delay in obtaining federal grant allotments and several positions remaining vacant during the 1st quarter resulted in significant (153K) savings in expenditures. This savings will be significantly reduced as most of the grants now have allotments and the vacant positions are being filled.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

.

Item 3: Although the Ka'pulehu Marine Reserve was established by rule last year, rules on opihi, bottomfish restricted areas, and stricter statewide regulations on certain reef fish are on hold due to new priorities concerning increasing the commercial marine license fee, new vessel and dealer licenses, and establishing the Mo'omomi community-based subsistence fishing area along the northwestern coastline of Molokai.

Item 4: The increase number of environmental reviews and scientific collection permits for aquatic resource species increased significantly last year, but increases and decreases of 20-30 per cent appear to be normal annual fluctuations.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variances.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: Although six statutory and administrative rules were planned, only Ka'pulehu Marine Reserve pass in FY 17. This year, only the commercial marine license increases and dealer reporting deadline rule are expected to be completed.

Item 3: Surveys of marine protected areas may be less than planned this year as the establishment of the Mo'omomi community-based subsistence fishing area off the northwestern coastline of Molokai is expected to be a prolonged process.

## VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/9/17

 PROGRAM TITLE:
 NATIVE RESOURCES AND FIRE PROTECTION PROGRAM

 PROGRAM-ID:
 LNR-402

 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:
 040202

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-1	7		THREE	NONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-17		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	06-30-18	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> (	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS															
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS															
EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS															
POSITIONS	66.00	53.00	-	13.00	20	67.00	54.00	-	13.00	19	67.00	67.00	+	0.00	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	10,851	16,307	+	5,456	50	3,166	2,556	-	610	19	16,266	16,876	+	610	4
TOTAL COSTS				ĺ		1									
POSITIONS	66.00	53.00	-	13.00	20	67.00	54.00	-	13.00	19	67.00	67.00	+	0.00	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	10,851	16,307	+	5,456	50	3,166	2,556	-	610	19	16,266	16,876	+ .	610	- 4
	-					FIS	CAL YEAR	2016-	17		1	FISCAL YEAR	201	7-18	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF MILES OF FENCE CONSTR						15	16	   +	1	7	1 15	15	+	0	0
2. NUMBER OF ACRES OF FUEL HAZARD						15   50		<del>-</del>   +	4	8	15   50		+   +	0 1	0
3. % OF FIRES RESPONDED		100		+	0	0	100		+	0	Ő				
4. NO. ACRES INVASIVE SPECIES CONTR						60000	60000	İ +	0	0	60000	60000	+	οj	0
5. # T&E ANIML SPECIES W/ACTV RECOV		PGS				15		-	1	7	15		-	1	7
<ol> <li>NO. OF RARE OR T&amp;E PLANT SPECIES</li> <li>NO. NATV &amp; PRTCTD ANIML SPCIES EF</li> </ol>						30   160		+  +	0   5	0 3	30   160		+   +	0   5	0 3
8. NO. ACRES UNDER ACTIVE MANAGEM						I 57700		+   +	5	0	57700		<del>+</del>   +	5   0	0
9. NO. LANDOWNERS INVOLVED IN PART						60		+	0	0	60		+	0	Ő
10. NO. EDUC PRMS PRESENTED/DISSEMI	NATED					j 6	6	+	οj	0	j 6	6	+	ΟÍ	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP			5												
1. NATIVE RESOURCE CONSRVTN PRACT						NO DATA		į +	0	0	NO DATA		+	0	0
2. COMMUNITIES/LANDOWNRS AFFECTE		. ,				125   1245		+	0   0	0	125   1410		+	0   0	0 0
3. POPULATION AT RISK FROM INVASIVE 4. LANDOWNRS SPPTNG NATVE RESOUR						NO DATA		+  +	0	0	NO DATA		+	0 1	0
5. STUDENTS/EDUCATORS/INTERESTED						10		+	0	0	1 10		+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY	•							I			I		I		
1. CNSTR/MAINT PRED/UNGULATE PROO	F FNCNG/SITE	RESTRTN				1000	1000	+	0	0	1000	1000	+	οi	0
2. CONSTR/MAINT OF FIREBREAKS/FUEL						35	35	+	οj	0	35		+	οj	0
3. PREVNTN/DETCTN/CONTROL/ERADICT		SPECIES				37	01	+	0	0	37	•	+	0	0
<ol> <li>NATIVE AND PROTECTED SPECIES MA 5. ECOLOGICAL &amp; SPECIES-SPECIFIC RES</li> </ol>						47   14		+  +	2   1	4 7	47   14		+   +	0   0	0
	DNSULTATION ON DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS							+   +	1	0	14   13		+   -	1	0 8
	LANDOWNRS ASSIST/PRESENTATN OF NATVE RESOURCE INFO							+	0	0	50		+	0	0
8. PUBLICATN OF PLANS FOR RECOV/MG	MT NATIVE SPE	ECIES				50   50	50 50	+	0	0	50	50	+	0 j	0

-

	04 02 02
PROGRAM TITLE: NATIVE RESOURCES AND FIRE PROTECTION PROGRAM	LNR 402

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The number of positions filled was less than budgeted for in FY 17 and for the first quarter of FY 18 due to vacancies attributed to staff promotions, retirements and resignations. All vacancies are being recruited for FY 18 and Division expects 100% recruitment force by the second quarter of FY 18.

Actual amount of expenditures in FY 17 is more than the budgeted amount because Act 84, SLH 2015, funds were not included in the program's base budget.

Funds actually expended in the first quarter of FY 18 were less than budgeted due to delay in contract and purchase order encumbrances.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variances.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

No significant variances.

•

## **VARIANCE REPORT**

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:WATER RESOURCESPROGRAM-ID:LNR-404PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:040204

1

7

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-17			THREE N	IONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-17		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-18	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHA	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)						· · · · · · · · ·							
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	24.00 4,927	21.00 5,285		3.00 358	13 7	24.00 844	21.00 528	- 3.00 - 316	13 37	24.00 3,022	24.00 3,338	+ 0.00 + 316	0 10
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	24.00 4,927	21.00 5,285		3.00 358	13 7	24.00 844	21.00 528	- 3.00 - 316	13 37	24.00 3,022	24.00 3,338	+ 0.00 + 316	0 10
							CAL YEAR	2016-17			FISCAL YEAR	2017-18	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENTAGE OF PERMITS PROCESSE 2. PERCENTAGE OF COMPLAINTS SATISF						   90   80	84 78	- 6   - 2	7 3	90 80	90 80	  + 0  + 0	   0   0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP						1		1				1	1
1. GROUND WATER USAGE (MILLION GAL	LONS PER DAY	)				450	434		4	450	450	•	0
2. SURFACE WATER USAGE 3. WATER CODE-RELATED COMPLAINTS/I	DISPUTES FILE	D				350   25	394 23		13 8	350 350	450 25	•	29 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY						1		•					1
1. NUMBER OF WELLS MONITORED (DEEP	P AND SHALLO	N)				1860	1637	- 223	12	3109	1860	- 1249	40
2. NUMBER OF STREAMS GAUGED						25		+ 16	64	25		+ 16	64
3. NUMBER OF PERMITS PROCESSED						150	112	, ,	25	150	150		
4. NUMBER OF PETITIONS FOR WATER M 5. NUMBER OF ITEMS RESOLVED THROU						1	0	- 1   - 1	100 100	1	-	+ 0  + 1	0   100
5. NUMBER OF ITEMS RESOLVED THROU	GHCONTESTE	J CASES				1	0	-	100	I I	2		1 100

-

#### PROGRAM TITLE: WATER RESOURCES

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Permanent Position variance in FY 16-17 due to inability to find suitable applicants for vacancies.

Expenditure variance in FY 16-17 due to additional funding received from separate legislative appropriation measures apart from the Appropriations Act.

Position variance in three months ended September 30, 2017 due inability to find suitable applicants for vacancies.

Expenditure variance in Three Months Ended 9-30-17 due to payroll savings from inability to find suitable applicants for vacancies, and project and activities that were anticipated to occur in the 1st Quarter having to be pushed back.

Expenditure variance in Nine Months Ended 6-30-18 due to unexpended funds from the 1st Quarter being carried forward to the out quarters of the current FY.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant changes.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 2. Variance in FY 16-17 (Actual) due to more surface water users reporting usage. Variance in FY 17-18 (Planned and Estimated) due to more surface water users expected to report usage.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 1. Variance in FY 16-17 (Actual) due to difficulty in determining how many well owners will comply with reporting usage. Variance in FY 17-18 (Planned and Estimated) due to input entry error for planned

number of wells monitored (3109 should have read as 2000) and to difficulty in determining how many well owners will comply with reporting usage.

Item 2. Variance in FY 16-17 (Actual) and FY 17-18 (Planned and Estimated) due to installation of additional stream gauges.

Items 3 and 4. Variance in FY 16-17 (Actual) due to difficulty in determining how many permit requests will actually be filed. Variance in FY 16-17 (Actual) due to difficulty in determining when a petition will be filed.

Item 5. Variance in FY 16-17 (Actual) and FY 17-18 (Planned and Estimated) due to difficulty in determining when and how long a contested case will take to be resolved.

## VARIANCE REPORT

 PROGRAM TITLE:
 CONSERVATION & RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT

 PROGRAM-ID:
 LNR-405

 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:
 040205

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-17		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-17	,	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06	6-30-18	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHAN	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	. %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CH	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	130.00 12,301	107.00 11,073	- 23. - 1,2		131.00 2,813	109.00 2,462	- 22.00 - 351	17 12	131.00 10,423	130.00 10,774	- +	1.00 351	1 3
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	130.00 12,301	107.00 11,073	- 23. - 1,2		131.00 2,813	109.00 2,462	- 22.00 - 351	17 12	131.00 10,423	130.00 10,774	-+	1.00 351	1 3
				-	FIS	SCAL YEAR	2016-17		-	FISCAL YEAR	2017-1	8	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHA	ANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. % OF TIME SPENT ON AQUATICS RESO</li> <li>2. % TIME SPENT ON FORESTRY/WILDLIFI</li> <li>3. % OF TIME SPENT ON STATE PARKS EN</li> <li>4. % TIME SPENT ON PUBLIC LANDS/CONS</li> <li>5. % TIME SPENT ON BOATING &amp; OCEAN F</li> <li>6. % TIME SPENT ON OTHER ENFORCEME</li> </ul>	E RES ENFORC NFORCEMENT S DISTR USE E REC ENFORCE	EMENT			   36   15   15   3   25   6	13 14 3	- 3   - 2   - 1   + 0   - 6   + 12	8   13   7   0   24   200	36   15   15   3   25   6	15 15 3 25		0   0   0   0   0   0	0 0 0 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. HAWAII DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIO 2. NO. OF VISITOR ARRIVALS FOR THE YE 3. NO. OF INFORMATIONAL & EDUCATION	AR	TIONS			   1.400   8100000   50	1.4 8065993 264	- 34007	   0   0   428	   1400   8100000   50		+	 398.6   0   0	100 0 0
<ul> <li>PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF ENFORCEMENT MILES</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF ENFORCEMENT HOURS</li> <li>3. NUMBER OF ARRESTS MADE</li> <li>4. NUMBER OF CITATIONS ISSUED</li> <li>5. NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS ASSIGNE</li> <li>6. NUMBER OF INSPECTIONS PERFORME</li> <li>7. NUMBER OF HUNTER SAFETY STUDEN</li> <li>8. NO. MARIJUANA PLANTS ERADICATED</li> <li>9. NUMBER OF DOCARE VOLUNTEER HOU</li> <li>10. NUMBER OF HUNTER EDUCATION VOLI</li> </ul>	   950000   200000   50   1650   3000   12000   25000   5000   8000	91 2271 3783 22260 1866 4000	- 89698   + 41   + 621   + 783   + 10260   - 634   - 1000   - 200	1   45   82   38   26   86   25   20   25   1	   950000   200000   50   1650   3000   12000   2500   5000   8000   8000	950000 200000 50 1650 3000 12000 2500 5000 800 8000	 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				

#### **PROGRAM TITLE: CONSERVATION & RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT**

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The number of positions filled was less than budgeted due to inadequate operating funds to cover costs of hiring, training and equipping new employees. Further, the division has experienced difficulties with recruitment and retention of qualified individuals. The expenditure decrease in FY 17 and FY 18 ending September 30, 2017 is due to vacancy payroll savings.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2: A decrease in time spent on forestry & wildlife resources enforcement is a result of a decrease in patrols and calls for service in those areas.

Item 5: A decrease in time spent on boating and ocean enforcement is a result of a decrease in patrols and calls for service in those areas.

Item 6: An increase in time spent on other enforcement is due to an increase in the number of patrols and calls for service in other areas, not specified.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: Typo in FY 18 planned amount it should be 1.400.

Item 3: An increase in the number of informational and educational presentations is due to the increase in Hunter Education classes being offered and held.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2: A decrease in the number of enforcement hours is due to the staffing shortage that the division is experiencing.

Item 3: An increase in the number of arrests is due to an increased in the number of situations statewide where arrests are required (i.e., Kalalau and Na Pali Coast enforcement.

Item 4: An increase in the number of citations is a result of decreased compliance and decreased deterrence of violations.

Items 5: An increase in the number of investigations assigned is due to an increase in number of patrols and service calls.

Item 6: An increase in the number of inspections is due to an increased in the number of situations; whereby inspections of method of take, permits or natural resources in possession have occurred or are required.

Item 7: A decrease in the number of Hunter Safety students certified is a trend that is taking place nationwide. There is speculation that this trend may be affected in the upcoming year as firearms regulations are being reviewed.

Item 8: A decrease in the number of marijuana plants eradicated is due to an increased migration toward indoor cultivation of marijuana.

Item 9: A decrease in the number of Division of Conservation and Resources Enforcement (DOCARE) volunteer hours is due to volunteers contributing less volunteer time.

## VARIANCE REPORT

.

PROGRAM TITLE: NATURAL AREA RESERVES & WATERSHED MANAGEMT PROGRAM-ID: LNR-407 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 040206 REPORT V61 12/9/17

.

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-1	7		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-1	7	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-18	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	31.00 2,608	29.00 9,167	- +	2.00 6,559	6 251	31.00 1,918	28.00 1,522	- 3.00 - 396	10 21	31.00 8,406	31.00 8,802	+ +	0.00 396	0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	31.00 2,608	29.00 9,167		2.00 6,559	6 251	31.00 1,918	28.00 1,522	- 3.00 - 396	10 21	31.00 8,406	31.00 8,802	+ +	0.00 396	0 5
							SCAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. # ACRES CONTROLLD FOR NON-NATV I 2. # ACRES PROTECTD FROM FERAL UNG 3. NO. OF ACRES INSPECTED AND MONIT 4. # ACRES PROTECT BY NAPP PROG COI 5. # ACRES ENROLLED WATERSHED PAR 6. % T&E PLNT & INVTBR SPECIES MNGD OF 7. NO. OF YCC MEMBERS AND INTERNS A 8. MAN-HRS VOLUNTEERD RESOURCE MC 9. # RESEARCH/EDUC PERMITS ISSUED B 10. # PARCELS ACQRD OR AREAS SECRED PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NATIVE NATURAL COMMUNITIES (NUME 2. WATERSHED PARTNERSHIPS (NUMBER	ULATES AS % ORED AS % OF NTRACT AS % ( INERSHIPS % ( CMPRD TO TTL S % OF PLAN GMT PROJ AS % Y NARS COMM FOR RSOURC BER)	OF PLAN F PLAN OF PLAN OF PLAN LIST 6 OF PLAN IISSION				PLANNED  PLANNED  28  25  92  41  100  91  60  57  72  4  100  100  100  100  100  100  100	14 25 91 41 100 91 72 90 78 4	+ 0  - 1  + 0  + 0  + 12  + 33  + 6  + 0	50   0   1   0   0   0   20   58   8   0 	PLANNED 28 26 92 41 100 60 60 57 95 4 180 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	91 41 100 91 60 57 78 4 180	-   +   -   +   +   +   +   +   +	IANGE       14     0     1     0     0     0     17     0     0     0	% 50 0 1 0 9 0 0 0 18 0 0
						531   110   NO DATA   NO DATA   NO DATA   NO DATA	NO DATA 531	+ 0   + 0   + 5   + 0   + 0   + 0	0   5   0   0	NO DATA   531   110   NO DATA   NO DATA   NO DATA   NO DATA	110 NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA	+   +   +   +   +	0   0   0   0   0   0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
<ol> <li>SUPPORT CONSERVTN MGNT WITHIN V</li> <li>SUPPORT NARS &amp; LEGACY LAND CONS</li> <li>ADMINISTER NATURAL AREA PARTNER</li> <li>ENDANGERED PLANT &amp; INVERTEBRATE</li> <li>MANAGE YOUTH CONS CORPS (YCC) &amp;</li> <li>PROVIDE NATURE EDUC &amp; VOLUNTR U</li> </ol>								  - 2  + 0  + 0  + 0  + 0  + 0  + 0  + 0	0   0   0   0   0	23   10   2   10   531   35   11   4	2 10 531 35	   -   +   +   +   +   +	2   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	9 0 0 0 0 0 0

#### PROGRAM TITLE: NATURAL AREA RESERVES & WATERSHED MANAGEMT

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The number of positions filled was less than the budgeted due to vacancies attributed to staff promotions, retirements and resignations: one position is being established and another position is being redescribed, and the remaining vacancies are being recruited for FY 18 and Division expects 100% recruitment force by the second quarter of FY 18.

The amount of actual expenditures is greater than budgeted for FY 17 because of additional funds provided by Act 84, SLH 2015, that were not included in the base budget.

Funds actually expended in the first quarter of FY 18 were less than budgeted due to delay in contract and purchase order encumbrances.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

1. Number of acres in natural areas and watersheds controlled for non-native plants as percentage of plan decreased compared to FY 16 because two survey and control projects that covered large acreages via helicopter were reduced in Leeward Haleakala and Manuka. The on-the-ground control work stayed at similar levels. The number of acres in FY 18 is estimated to be at similar levels of FY 17.

7. Number of Youth Conservation Corps (YCC) members and interns as percentage of plan increased due to additional members and program opportunities.

8. Person - hours volunteered to resource management projects as a percentage of Plan undergoes fluctuations based on community volunteerism and number of volunteer trips offered.

9. Number of Research/Educational Permits issued by NARS was overestimated for FY 18. The new estimates for the year mirrors the actual number of permits issued in FY 17.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variances.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances.

۸.

## VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/9/17

-

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL SUPPORT FOR NAT PHYS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0403

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-17		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-	30-17	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-18						
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	6E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	Ξ %		
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	110.00 15,661	95.00 13,224	- 15. - 2,4		111.00 4,311	94.00 4,316	- 17 +	.00 5	15 0	111.00 11,982	111.00 11,798	+ 0.00 - 184			
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	110.00 15,661	95.00 13,224	- 15. - 2,4		111.00 4,311	94.00 4,316	- 17 +	7.00 5	15 0	111.00 11,982	111.00 11,798	+ 0.00 - 184			
						FISCAL YEAR 2016-17					FISCAL YEAR 2017-18				
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	E the terms of term	GE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % ENV ASSMTS & EIS REVIEWED & PUBL IN OEQC BULLTN 2. % OF CONSULTATIONS ON ENVIROMENTAL ISSUES REQUESTD							   +   +	 0   100	0	   100   NO DATA		+ 0 + 100			

#### PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL SUPPORT FOR NAT PHYS ENVIRONMENT

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in the General Support for Natural Physical Environment program position count is generally due to vacancies because of budget constraints, personnel turnovers, and recruitment difficulties. The variance in expenditures is the net effect of position vacancies, collective bargaining augmentation and authorized federal fund increases. Details of the position and expenditure variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances.

ъ.

## **VARIANCE REPORT**

 PROGRAM TITLE:
 OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL

 PROGRAM-ID:
 HTH-850

 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:
 040301

	FISCAL YEAR 2016-17					THREE N	NONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-17		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-18					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± '	CHANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)									-	-						
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	5.00 383	5.00 350	+ -	0.00 33	0 9	5.00 98	5.00 95	+ -	0.00 3	0 3	5.00 295	5.00 298	+++	0.00 3	0 1	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	5.00 383	5.00 350	+ -	0.00	0 9	5.00 98	5.00 95	+	0.00 3	0 3	5.00 295	5.00 298	+++	0.00 3	0 1	
							FISCAL YEAR 2016-17					FISCAL YEAR 2017-18				
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % EA/EIS PUBLISHD FOR PUBLIC NOTIF ON TIME/SCHEDUL 2. % CONSULTS ON ENV ISSUES RESP TO GOV/LEG ON TIME								   +   +	   0   0	0	   100   100	100 100	   +   +	0   0	0	
3. % INCR IN READERSHIP/CIRCULATION						100 100 10		+	6	60	1 10	15	+	5	50	
4. % SUBMTD STUDIES RCV CRITICAL RV	W/COMMENT B	Y STAFF				50	50	+	0	0	60	50	-	10	17	
5. % STATE AG PREP/PROC HRS 343 DOC	S W/OEQC TRA	IN STF				60	NO DATA	-	60	100	70	NO DATA	-	70	100	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. HAWAII DEFACTO POPULATION						1309000	1576702	   +	 267702	20	   1309000	1576702	   +	 267702	20	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY						1		1	1		1		1			
1. # EA/EIS REVIEWED						150 10		+	23	15	150	150	+	0	0	
2. # CONSULTATIONS ON ENV ISSUES REQUESTED BY GOV/LEG								+	0	0	10	10	+	0	0	
3. # ENV EDUCATION PROJECTS & WORKSHOPS CONDUCTED							10	+	0	0	10	10 1100	+	0	0	
4. # INDIV SUBSCRIBED TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL NOTICE     5. # EXEMPTION LISTS REVIEWED/CONCUR'D BY ENV COUNCIL							963 9	+	663   6	221 40	400   15	1100	+  -	700   7	175 47	
						15	3	1 -	51	0	1 15	0	I	, 1		

#### PROGRAM TITLE: OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

No significant variance.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3. Office of Environmental Quality Control (OEQC) promoted subscribing to the Environmental Notice through social media outreach and professional networks, resulting in a larger percent increase in subscribers.

Item 5. Data is not available because it is not measurable. The agencies that prepare and process documents  $vary_r$  as well as the staff at the agencies responsible for environmental review. Agencies do not track this information so OEQC cannot measure it.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. Variance is attributable to population growth.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 1. Agencies and applicants submitted more environmental assessments (EAs) and environmental impact statements (EISs) to the OEQC for review and publication than anticipated.

Item 4. OEQC promoted subscribing to the Environmental Notice through social media outreach and professional networks, resulting in an increase in subscribers.

Item 5. The Environmental Council reviews or concurs on agency exemption lists based on agency requests to the Council. The Council solicited agencies to update their exemption lists, however fewer responded than anticipated. 04 03 01 HTH 850

## VARIANCE REPORT

PROGRAM TITLE: LNR - NATURAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT PROGRAM-ID: LNR-906 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 040302

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	016-17	7		THREE N	IONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-1	7	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-18				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cł	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANG	. %	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
OPERATING COSTS														
POSITIONS	53.00	43.00		10.00	19	52.00	42.00	- 10.00	19	52.00	52.00	+ 0.00		
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	4,478	5,494	+	1,016	23	1,314	1,147	- 167	13	4,567	4,734	+ 167	4	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	53.00 4,478	43.00 5,494	- +	10.00 1,016	19 23	52.00 1,314	42.00 1,147	- 10.00 - 167	19 13	52.00 4,567	52.00 4,734	+ 0.00 + 167		
							CAL YEAR	2016-17		FISCAL YEAR 2017-18				
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%	
<ol> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>PERCENT OF VENDOR PAYMENTS MADE WITHIN 30 DAYS</li> <li>PERCENT OF PERSONNEL ACTIONS MADE WITHIN 30 DAYS</li> <li>PERCENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY REQUESTS COMPLTD</li> </ol>						   90   90		+ 2 + 0 + 0	0	   90   90   80	90 90 90	+ 0  + 0  + 10	i o	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP						1				1				
1. NUMBER OF DIVISIONS IN DEPARTMEN						11		+ 0		11	11	+ C	-	
2. NUMBER OF AUTHORIZED DEPARTMEN 3. NUMBER OF BOARDS AND COMMISSIO		IEL				827.5   9	827.5 9			827.5 9	857 9	+ 29.5 + 0	4   0	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY						I		1	1	1		1	1	
1. NO. BOARD OF LAND & NAT RES MEETINGS/PUB HEARINGS							22	+ 0	I 0	22	22	i+ C	i o	
2. NUMBER OF PERSONNEL ACTIONS PROCESSED							4646		16	4000	500	- 3500	•	
3. NUMBER OF PURCHASE ORDERS PROCESSED							3171		9	3500		- 100		
4. NUMBER OF PETTY CASH CHECKS PROCESSED							504		12	450		+ 70		
5. NUMBER OF INFORMATION TECHNOLO	GY REQUESTS	RECEIVED	)			1400	2663	+ 1263	90	1400	2500	+ 1100	79	

.

#### PROGRAM TITLE: LNR - NATURAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 17: The number of positions filled was less than budgeted due to delays in filling the vacancies. Expenditure variance is due to funds received from Act 84, SLH 2015, apart from the Budget (Appropriations) Act.

FY 18: Position variance in the first quarter is due to the delay in filling vacancies. Expenditure variance is due to personnel vacancies.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3. FY 18 variance is due to new reporting method to measure the effectiveness which is reporting multi-year projects. Other information technology requests are captured under program activities, Item 5.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variances.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 2: In FY 17, the across-the-board increases and step movement transactions completed were less than anticipated. In FY 18, there will be an increase in filling vacancies, position conversions, and position establishments.

Item 4: In FY 17, there was an increase in same day travel meal allowance, which led to an increase of use of petty cash checks. This trend is expected to continue into FY 18.

Item 5: The increase is attributed to several factors including the tracking of trouble call reporting, increased hardware, software, and service requests.

04 03 02 LNR 906

**VARIANCE REPORT** 

PROGRAM TITLE: ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM-ID: HTH-849 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 040303

	FISC	016-17		THREE N	IONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-17	7	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-18					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	52.00 10,800	47.00 7,380	- 5.00 - 3,420	10 32	54.00 2,899	47.00 3,074	- 7.00 + 175	13 6	54.00 7,120	54.00 6,766	+ 0.00 - 354	0 5	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	52.00 10,800	47.00 7,380		10 32	54.00 2,899	47.00 3,074	- 7.00 + 175	13 6	54.00 7,120	54.00 6,766	+ 0.00 - 354	0 5	
							2016-17		FISCAL YEAR 2017-18				
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. % OIL/CHEM/HAZ SPILL RPTS RESOLV W/ EMERG RESPONSE</li> <li>2. % STATE SITE LIST SITES CLEARD, CLEAND, W/ CONTROLS</li> <li>3. % FACIL W/ CHEM INVENTORIES SHARED W/ LOCAL LEPCS</li> <li>4. % HUMAN TEST RESULTS SCRN OR IN SURV/RESULTS RCVD</li> </ul>						100	  - 7  + 19  + 0  + 3	380 0	65   5   100   78	5 100	+ 0     + 0     + 0     + 0	0 0 0 0	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. # OF OIL/CHEM/HAZARDOUS MATERIA 2. # SITES ON STATE SITE LIST W/ SUSP/	CONF CONTAM	NTN			   468   938		  + 96  + 4	   21   0	   468   938	468 938	+ 0     + 0	0 0	
<ol> <li># FACILITIES REPORTG CHEM INVENT</li> <li>4. # HUMAN BIOMONITORING RESULTS F</li> </ol>	995   21400		+ 34   + 6760	3   32	995   21400	1029 21400	+ 34   + 0	3 0					
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY													
1. # OIL/CHEM/HAZARD MATERIAL SPILL 2. # STATE SITE LIST SITES CLEAR/CLEA	1. # OIL/CHEM/HAZARD MATERIAL SPILL REPORTS RESOLVED							8	302		+ 0	0	
<ol> <li># STATE SITE LIST SITES CLEAR/CLEA</li> <li># FACIL WHERE CHEM INVEN SHARED</li> <li># HUMAN BIOMONITORG RSLTS SCRE</li> </ol>	49   995   16714	1029	+ 174   + 34   + 6188	355   3   37	49   995   16714		+ 0   + 34   + 0	0 3 0					

#### PROGRAM TITLE: ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in the number of positions filled for FY 17 and for the first three months of FY 18 is primarily due to the lengthy recruitment process and difficulty in filling positions. For both years, the variance is also attributable to the lengthy reorganization process that must be completed first to variance/establish/redescribe three of the positions.

For expenditures, the variance in FY 17 is primarily due to vacancy savings and the delayed relocation of programs from the Army and Air Force Exchange Service (AAFES) building to Waimano Ridge, so most funds budgeted for moving and facility-related costs were not used. The variance for the first three months of FY 18 is mainly due to expenditures and encumbrances for relocation and facility-related costs, since programs are moving during first and second quarter. The variance for quarters 2-4 of FY 18 is due to the 5% general fund restriction imposed by the Governor.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

1. Fewer spill reports were resolved due to staff time being diverted to relocation tasks, as the Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response (HEER) Office moved from Kakaako to Waimano Ridge.

2. Military Family Housing (MFH) sites are a large group with chlordane in the soil. Finalizing this group of 113 sites is the primary reason for the variance.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The number of spill reports varies annually from 200 to almost 600, depending on accidents that occur. There is no way to control or predict the number of spills within the range.

4. The total tests reported to the HEER Office varied from just under 14,000 to almost 25,000 over the previous 7 years. There is no way to control or predict the number of tests that will be ordered by physicians.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

2. The variance is due to a large group of listed sites (MFH) that were finalized with institutional controls.

4. The variance is due to the higher number of blood lead tests ordered by physicians, since all the people tested for blood lead are followed in surveillance.