

## **PUBLIC SAFETY**

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:PUBLIC SAFETYPROGRAM-ID:PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-1	Ð	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3,001.60 390,666	2,590.60 330,779	- 411.00 - 59,887	14 15	3,075.60 87,535	3,008.60 86,910	- 67.00 - 625	2 1	3,075.60 320,791	3,076.60 321,161	+ 1.00 + 370	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3,001.60 390,666	2,590.60 330,779	- 411.00 - 59,887	14 15	3,075.60 87,535	3,008.60 86,910	- 67.00 - 625	2 1	3,075.60 320,791	3,076.60 321,161	+ 1.00 + 370	0 0
					IFIS	CAL YEAR	2018-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-20	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW EN</li> <li>2. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC.</li> <li>3. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURN</li> </ul>	710-1020, HRS				   4200   0   370	5252 0 363	  + 1052  + 0  - 7	j 0	   4200   0   370	4200   0   365	+ 0	   0   0

## VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 2019 AND FY 2020

#### PROGRAM TITLE: PUBLIC SAFETY

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Details of the position and expenditure variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See Lowest Level Programs for additional information.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:SAFETY FROM CRIMINAL ACTIONSPROGRAM-ID:PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:0901

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-19	)	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANC	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,754.60 292,551	2,396.60 292,057			2,828.60 75,925	2,821.60 75,670	- 7.00 - 255	0 0	2,828.60 228,847	2,829.60 228,847	+ 1.00 + 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,754.60 292,551	2,396.60 292,057			2,828.60 75,925	2,821.60 75,670	- 7.00 - 255	0 0	2,828.60 228,847	2,829.60 228,847	+ 1.00 + 0	0 0
					FIS	SCAL YEAR	2018-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-20	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW EN 2. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC.	710-1020, HRS				   4200   0	5252 0	+ 1052   + 0	j 0	4200   0	4200 0	+ 0 + 0	0
3. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU	JRNED TO PRIS	SON			370	363	- 7	2	370	365	- 5	1

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Details of the position and expenditure variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See Lowest Level Programs for additional information.

09 01

# STATE OF HAWAII CONFINEMENT AND REINTEGRATION PROGRAM TITLE: CONFINEMENT AND REINTEGRATION PROGRAM-ID: 090101

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-1	9		THREE	MONTHS EN	IDEC	0 09-30-19		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06	-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CH	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,098.60 229,481	1,810.60 230,448		288.00 967	14 0	2,146.60 58,901	2,146.60 58,901	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	2,146.60 177,217	2,146.60 177,217	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,098.60 229,481	1,810.60 230,448		288.00 967	14 0	2,146.60 58,901	2,146.60 58,901	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	2,146.60 177,217	2,146.60 177,217	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2018	-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-2	0	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY SEC.	710-1020, HRS					0	0	   +	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
2. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING A						30	=•	-	10	33	30	30	+	0	0
3. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING V						60		-	10	17	60		+	0	0
4. % OF INMATES COMPLETING COUNSEL						8	3	-	5	63	8	4	-	4	50
5. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTN						5	6		1	20	5	5	+	0	0
<ol> <li>% INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCNDT IN F</li> <li>% OF INMATES WHO TEST POSITIVE OF</li> </ol>						45   5	11 0		34   5	76 100	45   5	11   3	-	34   2	76 40

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Details of the position and expenditure variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See Lowest Level Programs for additional information.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITYPROGRAM-ID:PSD-402PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010102

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19	)		THREE N	IONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-1	9	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cł	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	411.00 28,330	345.00 28,445	- +	66.00 115	16 0	411.00 6,740	411.00 6,740	+ 0.00 + 0	0	411.00 23,432	411.00 23,432	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	411.00 28,330	345.00 28,445	- +	66.00 115	16 0	411.00 6,740	411.00 6,740	+ 0.00 + 0	0	411.00 23,432	411.00 23,432	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
	-					FIS	CAL YEAR	2018-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-20	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN	SEC. 710-1021, CTIONS FOR M	HRS SC				0   450	NO DATA NO DATA 259	- 191	0   42	0   0   450	NO DATA NO DATA 307	- 143	0 0 32
4. % OF RECLASSIFICATION RESULTING	IN REDUCED CL	JSTODY				20	16	- 4	20	20	25	+ 5	25
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						   725	807	  + 82	   11	   725	636	- 89	12
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS						   400	392	  - 8		   400	350	- 50	13
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED</li> <li>NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATION COMF</li> </ol>	PLETED					500   1645	459 1025	- 41  - 620	8   38	500   1645	466 1213	- 34    - 432	7 26

#### PROGRAM TITLE: HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1 & 2: No data available for the number of escapees as defined by SEC. 710-1020, HRS and SEC. 710-1021, HRS.

Item 3 & 4: These variances are directly related to the decline in inmate population in Halawa Correctional Facility (HCF) because of the contracted transfer to Saguaro Correctional Center in Arizona (AZSC). This reduction allowed more capacity and oversight for the facility officers in implementing order in the facility, thereby lowering the number of inmates receiving sanctions and custody statuses.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: All estimates for HCF were impacted because of the loss of a housing module and the transfer of inmates to AZSC.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: A decrease in admissions is attributed to inmates being housed in a contracted facility on the mainland. Facility maintenance has prevented the use of one housing module.

Item 2: Decline in inmate release accounts for the lower inmate population admitted in the facility.

Item 3: The HCF consists of two separate and distinct buildings, the Medium Security Facility (MSF) and the Special Needs Facility. Two approved capital improvement projects commenced at the MSF for Housing Modules 1-4 simultaneously to recognize labor savings and lessen operational disruptions; 1) DAGS Job No. 12-27-5635 Plumbing Replacement, and 2) DAGS Job No. 12-27-5644 Security Electronics Division 11/17, also known as Security Electronic Hardware Replacement

and Improvement Project (SEHRIP). The two projects required the closure of an affected housing unit as construction happens; thus, funding was appropriated to pay for temporary non-state housing of 248 inmates, which commenced on July 2016.

The SEHRIP timeline has been delayed due to the difficulties of specialty subcontractors in the job performance, and the necessary hiring of a new qualified vendor. There has been challenges in the replacement installation for the existing system's backbone as well. The General Contractor, BCP Construction of Hawaii Inc. states the revised completion date is tentatively slated for June 2019 which is eighteen (18) months beyond the target date of December 2017. Furthermore, the revised Departmental Classification System sets more stringent criteria in qualifying inmates to transfer out of the facility. The significant decrease in the inmate population and the revision of the Departmental Classification System relate to the overall reduction of misconducts, custody statuses and completed re-classifications.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITYPROGRAM-ID:PSD-403PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010103

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-	30-19		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-20	)
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	77.00 6,067	70.00 5,972	- 7.0 - 9	0 9 5 2	83.00 1,401	83.00 1,401	+ (	0.00	0 0	83.00 4,991	83.00 4,991	+ 0.00 + 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	77.00 6,067	70.00 5,972	- 7.0 - 9	0 9 5 2	83.00 1,401	83.00 1,401	+ (	0.00 0	0 0	83.00 4,991	83.00 4,991	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
	-			_	FIS	CAL YEAR	2018-19				FISCAL YEAR	2019-20	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	∣±CHAN	GE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. NUMBER OR ESCAPES (1ST DEGREE)</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES (2ND DEGREE)</li> <li>3. RECLASSIFICATION</li> </ul>					0   0   20	0 0 52	   +   +   +	0   0   32	0 0 160	0 0 20	0 0 50	-	   0   0   150
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					   150	130	  -	 20	13	150	173	  + 23	   15
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF RELEASES 3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATION					   10   25   200	8 16 220	   -   +	 2   9   20	20 36 10	10 25 200	2 26 150	  - 8  + 1  - 50	   80   4   25

#### PROGRAM TITLE: KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: Expenditure variance is attributed to the lower personnel count resulting in lower operating costs.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3: Due to the decline in the average inmate population, staff are able to reclassify and reassess greater numbers of inmates.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: The estimates were based on the assumption that the facility would be filled but it was not.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1 & 2: The estimates were based on the assumption that the facility would be filled but it was not. The number of releases declined in the first quarter of FY 20, but it is expected to ramp up in the coming quarters.

Item 3: Reclassification of an inmate is done every six months for minimum custody or when the status changes (program completion, misconduct hearing, and security/custody level status change). Community custody is done once a year unless there is a misconduct hearing or program change. Due to the decline in the average inmate population, staff are able to reclassify and reassess greater numbers of inmates.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITYPROGRAM-ID:PSD-404PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010104

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	2018-19	)		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDEC	0 09-30-19	)	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-2	0
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANG	E %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	112.00 7,198	102.00 7,610		10.00 412	9 6	113.00 2,085	113.00 2,085	+++	0.00 0	0 0	113.00 5,638	113.00 5,638	+ 0.00	-
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	112.00 7,198	102.00 7,610		10.00 412	9 6	113.00 2,085	113.00 2,085	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	113.00 5,638	113.00 5,638	+ 0.00	-
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR		
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li> </ul>	SEC. 710-1021,					   0   0	0 0	+	0 0	0	0	0 0	  + (  + (	-
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN</li> <li>% OF RELCASSIFICATION RESULTING I</li> </ol>		JSTODY				40   60	49 165		9 105	23 175	40 60	40 100	+ (  + 40	-
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						   260	227	   -	33	13	260	235	  - 25	   10
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY														
1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS						60	80	+	20	33	60		+ 14	
2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS COM						60   400	57 454	-  +	3 54	5   14	60 60		+ (  + 20	-
4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIB		UNIT				400   4000	4000		0 0	14   0	400		+ 200	
5. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING		-				240		i -	5	2	240		+ (	
6. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING	IN WORK/VOCA	TIONAL				220	234	+	14	6	220	220	+ (	j O

#### **PROGRAM TITLE: WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY**

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: Expenditure variance is attributed to increased operational costs, collective bargaining augmentations in the facility.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3: More inmates were sanctioned for narcotic, tobacco, and violent misconducts. This can be attributed to the prevalence of drugs and tobacco in the facility. Also, there were more aggressive and intimidating inmates, who could not follow Waiawa Correctional Facility's (WCF) policy of zero tolerance for aggressive-type of behaviors.

Item 4: The number of custody decrease reclassifications was significantly higher. Treatment programs were re-organized and inmates were able to complete programs sooner which resulted in more custody decreases. Also, minimum custody inmates who completed programs from other facilities were reclassed at WCF as community custody.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: This variance is difficult to narrow down to it's causation because of the multiple variables involved. We hope the average number of inmates decrease in the other facilities to increase in ours because there are more rehabilitative opportunities to integrate back into society than at the other facilities.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: Although the average number of inmates decreased in Part III, Item 1, new admissions increased as a positive trend. More inmates are eligible for transfer from the other facilities.

Item 2: Planning numbers require adjustment to reflect decline in inmate admissions' impact on inmate release.

Item 3: More reclassifications were completed due to program completions and guilty misconducts.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTERPROGRAM-ID:PSD-405PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010105

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-1	9		THREE N	NONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-19		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING	06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS															
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	169.00 10,324	160.00 13,162		9.00 2,838	5 27	171.00 3,408	171.00 3,408	+++	0.00 0	0 0	171.00 8,353	171.00 8,353	+++	0.00 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	169.00 10,324	160.00 13,162		9.00 2,838	5 27	171.00 3,408	171.00 3,408	+++	0.00 0	0 0	171.00 8,353	171.00 8,353	+++	0.00 0	0 0
						l FIS	CAL YEAR	2018				FISCAL YEAR	2019	-20	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C⊦	HANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF INMATES PLACED ON PAR</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li> <li>3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li> <li>4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANCE</li> </ul>	SEC. 710-1020, SEC. 710-1021,					50   0   350	19 0 3 212	   -   +   +   -	 31   0   3   138	62 0 0 39	50 0 0 350	20 0 0 280	   -   +   +   -	30   0   0   70	60 0 0 20
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						530	528		 2	0	530	513	   -	 17	3
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY							020	ı I	- 1	0	000	0.0	ı I		
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS</li> <li>NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED</li> </ol>						2650   2625		   -   -	268   233	10 9	2650 2625	2573 2560	i -	77   65	3 2
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H</li> <li>NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBUTED</li> </ol>	-	UNIT				180   3000	58 518	-   -	122   2482	68 83	180 3000	75 1000		105   2000	58 67
5. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING	N FURLOUGH	-				130	322	+	192	148	130	300	+	170	131
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING</li> <li>NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATION COMP</li> </ol>		L				200   250	112 327		88   77	44 31	200 250	120 330		80   80	40 32

#### PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The expenditure variance is due to increased payroll cost and the transfer of funds to offset shortfalls.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: The Hawaii Paroling Authority determines inmate eligibility which by this practice control numbers. Inmates success in completion of Hawaii Community Correctional Center's (HCCC) Furlough Program is one of the factors that parole measures and grants approval. High number of furlough violations over the past year contributed to reduced inmates on parole.

Item 4: There was a total of 212 misconduct reports where inmates received sanctions for violations in the highest and greatest categories. Actual estimates were lower compared to planned due to hearings not conducted within the (45) day requirement. HCCC is addressing this concern.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: The decrease in admissions by 10% was because the courts either sentenced less to confinement, or more were able to post bail.

Item 3: Actual numbers would have been higher if misconduct violations were adjusted within the 45 day limit. HCCC is addressing this concern.

Item 4: Community Work line Programs have been limited to assist a few community non-profit organizations and other government requests. Significant reduction was due to closure of workline posts and the reassignment of the officer to fill needed security posts due to staff shortages. Because of the staff shortages, less community work lines were approved.

Item 5: Actual number of inmates participating in furlough program is accurate. The furlough program has been found effective in assisting inmate transition .

Item 6: Planned numbers relate to inmates that were initially eligible to participate in programs. Although more were eligible, inmate committing rule violations reduced the numbers.

Item 7: For the FY 19, HCCC planned numbers were 250. Actual was 327, which was an increase of 77. Significant increase was due to an increase in inmate misconducts which resulted in change of classification.

# STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE: MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER PROGRAM-ID: PSD-406 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010106

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19	9		THREE N	IONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-19		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 0	6-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cl	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± Cł	IANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS															
POSITIONS	187.00	150.00		37.00	20	187.00		+	0.00	0	187.00	187.00	+	0.00	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	11,870	13,302	+	1,432	12	3,489	3,489	+	0	0	9,887	9,887	+	0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	187.00 11,870	150.00 13,302		37.00 1,432	20 12	187.00 3,489		++++	0.00 0	0 0	187.00 9,887	187.00 9,887	+++	0.00 0	0 0
						I FIS	CAL YEAR	2018			1	FISCAL YEAR	2019-	20	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL		-	%		ESTIMATED		-	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF INMATES PLACED ON PAR</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li> <li>3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li> <li>4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAME</li> </ul>	SEC. 710-1020, SEC. 710-1021,					55   0   0   250	62 0 3 267	+   +	7   0   3   17	13 0 0 7	55 0 0 250	0	+   +   +   +	5   0   0   10	9 0 0 4
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP															
1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						500	412	-	88	18	500	459	-	41	8
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY							1000	l	100	-	1750	1700			
1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED						1750   1775	1628 1699		122   76	7 4	1750 1775	1768 1805		18   30	1 2
3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H	IIGHER LEVEL					24	62		38	158	24		+	0	0
4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBU	JTED					22500	24120	+	1620	7	22500	24000	+	1500	7
5. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING						96	72		24	25	96	00	-	36	38
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING</li> <li>NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATION COMP</li> </ol>	-	L				40   190	21 489	-	19   299	48 157	40 600	25 500	-	15   100	38 17
						1 190	409	+	299	157	000	500	-	100	17

#### PROGRAM TITLE: MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

The expenditure variance is due to increased payroll cost and the transfer of funds to offset shortfall.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: More inmates were paroled than estimated. This appears to be a positive indication of successful sequential phasing efforts.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: The average number of inmates decreased, in part, because a number of inmates were transferred to Halawa Correctional Facility after the riot damaged the housing modules and disciplinary action was taken on those who incited the disturbance.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Items 3: The planned number was estimated for programming failures and/or MAX custody transfers, which does not occur very often. It varies greatly from the actual number because of the riot that resulted in the unexpected transfer of 39 MAX custody inmates. If it was not for this incident, the planned number would have been closer to the actual number.

Item 5: The planned (96) was calculated anticipating an average of (8) incoming inmates for sequential phasing per month from other facilities after the inmate had completed a major program. However, the actual incoming average has been closer to (3) to (5) per month.

Item 6: The Electronic Monitoring Furlough (EMF) population is considered in Maui Community Correctional Center. The planned number was estimated in consideration for the planned number of inmates that were anticipated to be on furlough. But since the actual number of furlough inmates turned out to be less than expected (as explained above), naturally, the number of furlough inmates transitioning to EMF was also less. The decreased number of inmates transitioning to EMF is also affected by the increased number of inmates being released to parole.

Item 7: Re-classes/jail reviews are completed by facility staff for inmates based upon factors related to length of incarceration, misconduct, exception cases, or stat changes. Since the majority of inmate population are jail inmates, the jail initial classification is completed by Maui Intake Service Center for all newly admitted Pre-Trial and incoming sentenced jail inmates and is usually sufficient for many of the inmates without having to go further than this.

# STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE: OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER PROGRAM-ID: PSD-407 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010107

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19	9		THREE	NONTHS EN	NDED	0 09-30-19		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 0	6-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cł	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CH	IANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	501.00 35,222	432.00 34,997	-	69.00 225	14 1	501.00 8,730	501.00 8,730	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	501.00 27,881	501.00 27,881	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	501.00 35,222	432.00 34,997		69.00 225	14 1	501.00 8,730	501.00 8,730	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	501.00 27,881	501.00 27,881	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2018	-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-2	20	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHA	ANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF INMATES PLACED ON PAR</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li> <li>3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li> </ul>	SEC. 710-1020, SEC. 710-1021,	-				150   0   0	2 13	   -   +   +	 5   2   13	3 0 0	150 0 0	150 0 12	+   +	0   0   12	0 0 0
4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN	CTIONS					400	582	+	182	46	400	400	+	0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						   1375	1419	   +	 44	3	1375	1273	-	 102	7
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY															
						6850		+	141	2	6850	6923	+	73	1
1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS															
2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED						6960	6908	•	52	1	6960	6954	-	6	0
	-	QQ				6960   240   240	6908 140 238	-	52   100   2	1 42 1	6960 240 240	6954   150   240	-   -   +	6   90   0	0 38 0

#### PROGRAM TITLE: OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. Lower staff count reduce the operational costs.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2 & 3: Revised planned numbers should be established. The higher number of escapes is attributed to the non-determined planned value. The re-evaluation and adjustments of the furlough program, and the continuation of GPS monitoring program widen the means for inmates' escape, which should receive closer monitoring from the facility's personnel. (Note: If one of the values is zero when calculating percentage of variance, there will never be a variance greater than zero)

Item 4: The variance is due to the increase of inmates' violent behaviors. This is shown to be from the overcrowded living conditions, lack of regular recreation in the big recreation area, and the lack of programs started due to shortage of staff. On the furlough side, the variance is due to inmate's lack of self-control and discipline to adhere to the furlough program's rules and regulations.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 3: The variance decline is due to the proactive measures in inmate housing designation and on the furlough side, the continuance of the GPS monitoring system.

Item 5: On the jail side, the variance is due to more status changes for jail inmates. On the furlough side, the variance is due to inmate's lack of self-control and discipline to adhere to the furlough program's rules and regulations.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTERPROGRAM-ID:PSD-408PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010108

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19	Ð		THREE M	IONTHS EN	NDED	D 09-30-19	1	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-	20
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cl	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANG	E %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS														
POSITIONS	74.00	69.00		5.00	7	74.00		+	0.00	0	74.00	74.00	+ 0.0	
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	4,641	5,616	+	975	21	1,539	1,539	+	0	0	4,128	4,128	+	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	74.00 4,641	69.00 5,616		5.00 975	7 21	74.00 1,539	74.00 1,539	+++	0.00 0	0 0	74.00 4,128	74.00 4,128	+ 0.0	
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2018				FISCAL YEAR	2019-20	•
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF INMATES PLACED ON PAR</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li> <li>3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY</li> <li>4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAME</li> </ul>	SEC. 710-1020, SEC. 710-1021,	-				40   0   0			13   0   0   27	33 0 0 27	40 0 0 100	0		)   0 )   0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP														
1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						200	158	-	42	21	200	188	- 1	2   6
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY														
1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS						560	714	+	154	28	560	543	- 1	7   3
2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED						550	329		221	40	500	554		
3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H	-					20	20		0	0	20			
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBUTE</li> <li>NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING</li> </ol>		UNII				3000   60	3370 100		370   40	12 67	3000 60	3000   60		)   0 )   0
6. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING		L				00   70		<del>-</del>   -	40   43	61	70		- 4	
7. NUMBER OF RELCASSIFICATION COMP		_				400	213		187	47	400	250		

#### PROGRAM TITLE: KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The expenditure variance is due to increased payroll cost and the transfer of funds to offset shortfalls.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: Less inmates placed on parole due to higher scrutiny from the Parole Board.

Item 4: Less inmates receive sanctions due to lower than projected inmate population. The improved living conditions and minimization of overcrowding issues also reduce the number of inmates receiving sanctions.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: More Electronic Monitored Furlough cases, more Pre-Trial bails, or a drop in crime caused the decline in average inmate count. This measure shows a decline in the average number of inmates within the facility, but Part IV, Item 1 shows that the new admissions significantly increased.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1 & 2: The increase in new admissions, shows a rising trend of inmates being admitted and a sharp decline in those released. This is due to rising pre-trial arrests and increase in pre-trial persons not being able to post bail.

Item 4: The increased inmate hours contributed to the community increased because of the vigorous efforts of the staff toward public outreach.

Item 5: Number of inmates participating in the furlough program increased due to eligibility of more compliant inmates. Additional, there are more work release opportunities from various companies that are available for eligible inmates.

Item 6: There is a decline in the inmate population participating in

Item 7: The projected number of completed reclassification is an overestimation, and is not reflective of the inmate population and other conditions.

residential housing due to the closing of more traditional homes.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTERPROGRAM-ID:PSD-409PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010109

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-1	9		THREE N	IONTHS EN	NDE	09-30-19	)	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING (	06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	134.00 7,814	129.00 9,359		5.00 1,545	4 20	159.00 2,627		+++	0.00 0	0 0	159.00 6,315	159.00 6,315	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	134.00 7,814	129.00 9,359		5.00 1,545	4 20	159.00 2,627		++++	0.00 0	0 0	159.00 6,315	159.00 6,315	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2018	-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-	-20	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF INMATES PLACED ON PAR 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY	SEC. 710-1020, SEC. 710-1021,					100   0   5	-	+   +	4 0 1	4   0   20	100   0   5	100 0 5	   +   +   +	0   0   0	0 0 0
4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANC						100	88	-	12	12	100	100	+	0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						   275	275	   +	0	   0	   275	298	   +	 23	8
							4.40		00			407			0
1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED						120   150	149 157		29 7	24   5	120   150	127 163	+   +	7   13	6 9
3. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBL	JTED IN COMM	UNIT				2000	2166		, 166	8	2000	2000	+	0	0
4. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING I						36	17		19	53	36	36	+	0	0
<ol><li>NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING I</li></ol>	N RESIDENTIA	L				40	36	-	4	10	40	40	+	0	0

#### PROGRAM TITLE: WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The expenditure variance is due to increased payroll cost and the transfer of funds to offset shortfalls.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3: There is a 20% variance in the category identified for Escape in the Second Degree. While this number may seem drastic and severe it is misleading and deceptive. The differnce between the actual amount(6) from the planned (5) amount is inconsequential should not be cause for alarm.

Item 4: On the other hand [from above], a negative 12% difference in the category reserved for misconducts in the high and greatest grouping is noteworthy. It is surmised that the decrease in these types of misconducts were attributed to the attentive, accurate and expeditious classification of inmates, either on initial intake and/or upon receiving misconducts. Identified inmates were placed in the appropriate housing and setting. In addition, supervisory staff at all levels made a bigger on site presence which helped to guide and keep staff in check as well as deal with problematic inmates at the line level.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: The number of new admissions is externally driven. Women offenders have been trending upward over the years. There were also some transfers from Halawa Correctional Facility.

Item 4: A negative 53% difference for furlough participation quite simply was attributed to less inmates being eligible for furlough participation. The reasoning ranged from not being cleared medically or mentally, choosing to max out their sentence or the Hawaii Paroling Authority simply just choosing to parole an individual prior to their eligibility for

furlough.

Item 5: The decrease of just four (4) inmates in an average between 35-40 is negligible. Although it is not what is desired, it is within an acceptable range.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:INTAKE SERVICE CENTERSPROGRAM-ID:PSD-410PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010110

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-	9	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	= %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 3,778	46.00 3,365		25 11	61.00 833	61.00 833	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0	61.00 3,179	61.00 3,179	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 3,778	46.00 3,365		25 11	61.00 833	61.00 833	+ 0.00 + 0		61.00 3,179	61.00 3,179	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
						CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES APPEA 2. %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES NOT CL 3. % COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN P 4. % OF OFFENDERS THAT COMPLETE AL 5. NO. BED SPACE DAYS SAVED THRU IS 6. % RISK ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED W	HARGED W/NEV ROG CASES CO _TERNATIVE SE C PRGMS/INTEF	V OFFENSE OMPLETED NTENCES RVENTN	:		90   95   NO DATA   85   300000   100	92	  - 6  + 2  + 0  + 7  - 19000  + 0	2   0   8   6	90   95   NO DATA   85   300000   100	90 95 NO DATA 85 300000 100	+ 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   + 0	0   0   0   0   0   0
<ul><li>PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP</li><li>1. NUMBER OF PRETRIAL OFFENDERS</li><li>2. NO. OF SENTENCED OFFENDERS WITH</li></ul>	I COMMUNITY S	STATUS			   1016   1638	1080 908	   + 64   - 730		   1016   1638	1010 950	  - 6  - 688	1   42
<ul> <li>PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF BAIL REPORTS COMPLET</li> <li>3. NUMBER OF INTAKE SCREENINGS CON</li> <li>4. NO. OF PRETRIAL CASES PLACED ON I</li> <li>5. NO. SENTENCED OFFENDERS PLACED</li> </ul>	ED NDUCTED SC SUPERVISIO				   11000   11000   11000   3000   NO DATA	11300 2800	- 200	7   3   7	11000 3000	11000 11000 11000 3000 NO DATA	+ 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   + 0	0   0   0   0   0

## VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 2019 AND FY 2020

#### PROGRAM TITLE: INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

09 01 01 10 PSD 410

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 20: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. The expenditure variance is due to cost saving and the transfer out of funds to help other programs payroll shortages.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3: The percentage of completed community service restitution program cases is no longer being evaluated by the program. This measure will be deleted in the future fiscal year reporting.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 2: Number of sentenced offenders with community status has decreased from planned. This was caused by an error in the planning number last year. The planned estimate will be adjusted accordingly.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 5: There are no existing data to evaluate the number of sentenced offenders placed on Intake Service Centers supervision. This measure will be deleted in the future fiscal year reporting.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICESPROGRAM-ID:PSD-420PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010111

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-1	9		THREE I	IONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-1	Ð	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-20					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±C	HANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	STS														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	164.00 23,742	133.00 21,806		31.00 1,936	19 8	167.00 5,219	167.00 5,219	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0	167.00 19,233	167.00 19,233	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	164.00 23,742	133.00 21,806	-	31.00 1,936	19 8	167.00 5,219	167.00 5,219	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0	167.00 19,233	167.00 19,233	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	
							CAL YEAR			FISCAL YEAR 2019-20					
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CH	IANGE	<u>%</u>	
<ol> <li>% OF SEX OFFENDERS COMPLETING \$</li> <li>% OF SEX OFFENDERS WHO TESTED \$</li> </ol>	POSITIVE ON UF	RINALYS				10   5   30	7   0	- 5	100	10   5   30	8   3	-  -	2   2	20   40	
<ol> <li>PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING ACADEMIC PROGRAMS</li> <li>% OF INMATES COMPLETING PERSONAL IMPROVEMENT PROGS</li> </ol>							20   52		•	30   50	30   55	+	0   5	0   10	
5. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING \	-					50   60	56			60	60	+	0	0	
6. % OF SENTENCED FELONS COMPLETI						80		+ 8	-	80	85	+	5	6	
7. % OF INMATES WHO PARTICIPATE IN F	· ·					75	75			75	75	+	0	0	
<ol> <li>% MEALS SRVD MEET REQRMTS OF AI</li> <li>% OF SEX OFFENDERS PARTICIPATING</li> </ol>	-					100   14	100   17	-	1	100   14	100   15	+   +	0   1	0   7	
10. % OF SEX OFFENDERS WHO COMPLE			3			14   5	5	-		I 14	5	+	0 1	0	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP						-		- -	<u> </u>	· ·	-	I			
1. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION						4206	5427	  + 1221	29	l 4206	3777	-	429	10	
2. NUMBER OF NEW INMATE ADMISSION	S					14991	12585		•		13306	-	1685	11	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY									1	1		1	1		
1. NO. OF SENTENCED FELONS ENTERIN	G SEX OFFEND	ER TREA				45	26	'  - 19	42	45 I	40	-	5	11	
2. NO. OF SENTENCED FELONS ADMITTE	D TO SUBSTAN	CE ABUS				1200	965	- 235	20	1200	1000	-	200	17	
3. NUMBER OF URINALYSIS TESTS ADMI		ENTENC				11500   2220	9354	-	-	11500	11500		0	0	
	4. NO. OF INMATES PARTICPTG IN ACAD PROGS							+ 2353		2220		+	2480	112	
5. NO. INMATES PARTICIPATING IN VOCA		UGS				850	1124	•	-	850		+	450	53	
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF MEALS SERVED (PER DA)</li> <li>NO. OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN LIE</li> </ol>	,	MS				13500   29300	12900   28850			13500   29300	13200   29000	-	300   300	2   1	
8. # INMATES WHO ATTND RELIG SVCS/C		-				13000	13059		•	I 13000		-	350	3	
9. NUMBER OF VOLUNTEER HOURS PRO						33000	34000					+	1000	3	
10. NO. OF PRE-RELEASE RISK ASSESSME	ENTS CONDUCT	ED ON S				55	32	•				-	15	27	

### VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 2019 AND FY 2020

#### PROGRAM TITLE: CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. There is a decline in position count but higher expenditure, which was needed for additional payroll costs and other operating expenses. Existing staff incurred additional staff hours to fulfill the responsibilities.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: The planned percentage of sex offenders was estimated to be 7% last year because only 2% of sex offenders completed the program in FY 18. The majority of sex offenders chose to serve their sentence in its entirety, rather than to participate in the program. The number of completions have increased from 2% to 7%.

Item 2: There were no sex offenders that tested positive. When one of the variables is zero, a variance cannot be calculated, and no narrative required. This measure does not demonstrate the effectiveness of this program because it is not a substance abuse treatment program.

Item 3: The Corrections Programs Services Education Branch has several open positions for Education Specialists. Incumbents of these positions usually teach academic programs. Additionally, inmates get transferred to other facilities or programs, or get released before completing the programs. Both factors are deemed to have contributed to the variance.

Item 5: An even lower percentage difference between planned and actual number of risk assessments may be due to less being ready for assessment with sex offenders taking longer to complete the program.

Item 6: This positive trend cannot be isolated to a particular cause.

Item 9: The higher percent difference between planned and actual may

be due to a higher number of sex offenders being eligible to begin treatment and possibly inmates requiring more time to complete treatment, such as those with cognitive deficits.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1 & 2: There are fluctuations on average inmate population and number of new inmate admissions. This variance may be attributed to external factors and discrepancies from initial projections.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: The lower percentage difference between planned and actual may be due to fewer inmates starting the program during this time period perhaps affected by the timing of these incarcerations, less inmates electing to enter the program, or by their entry being postponed by their participation in a mainland substance abuse program.

Item 2: The Substance Abuse Services (SAS) Branch unexpectedly experienced a 20% drop in planned program participation, from the planned 1,200 participant count to 965. The variance can be attributed to the discontinuation of two contracted, gap-type programs: the Relapse Intervention Program (RIP) and the Cognitive Behavior Intervention Program (CBIP). These two programs were primarily offered at Waiawa Correctional Facility (WCF), Women's Community Correctional Center (WCCC), and Oahu Community Correctional Center (OCCC). The programs were discontinued due to a change in the inmate classification system which inadvertently had a negative effect in the scope of service and geographical location of where services were to be administered. The CBIP and RIP programs accounted for an additional 200+ program participant spots in previous annual reports.

Item 3: The was a decrease from the planned collection of urine samples during FY 19. This experienced decrease in the collection of urine samples is primarily due to an unexpected change in the vendor responsible for processing the confirmation or second opinion of presumed positive urine screens collected at the facilities. Finding

#### PROGRAM TITLE: CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

another vendor to fulfill the confirmation tests took approximately six months to rectify due to compliance issues. While securing the new vendor did take time to establish, it did not totally stop the collection of urine samples, it simply decreased the frequency of testing.

Items 4 & 5: The education program branch was very conservative in its projection for the program activities due to staff shortage. However, the data show a very significant number of inmates who participated in both the academic and career & technical/vocational programs, with 106% and 32% variance, respectively. The implementation of more computer-based programs contributed to the significant increase in the number of inmates who participated in the programs.

Item 10: An even lower percentage difference between planned and actual number of risk assessments may be due to less being ready for assessment with sex offenders taking longer to complete the program.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:HEALTH CAREPROGRAM-ID:PSD-421PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010112

	FISC	AL YEAR 2		THREE N	IONTHS EN	NDEC	D 09-30-19		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-20						
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± (	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	197.60 27,574	165.60 29,854	- +	32.00 2,280	16 8	208.60 6,919	208.60 6,919	+++	0.00 0	0 0	208.60 22,024	208.60 22,024	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	197.60 27,574	165.60 29,854	- +	32.00 2,280	16 8	208.60 6,919	208.60 6,919	+++	0.00 0	0 0	208.60 22,024	208.60 22,024	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
					FISCAL YEAR 2018-19										
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING CLINICAL SERVICES</li> <li>2. % OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES</li> <li>3. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING DENTAL SERVICES</li> <li>4. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING CHRONIC CARE SVCS</li> <li>5. % OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING OUT-OF-FACILITY SERVICES</li> </ul>						   100   100   20   15	100	+   +   +	0   0   0   6   6	0 0 30 40	100 100 100 20 15	100   100   100   25   10	+ + + +	 0   0   5   5	0 0 25 33
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION						   620	543	   -	 77	12	620	 420	-	 200	32
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF MEDICAL PROVIDER ENCO 2. NUMBER OF PSYCHIATRIC ENCOUNTER 3. NUMBER OF NURSING ENCOUNTERS 4. NUMBER OF DENTAL ENCOUNTERS 5. NUMBER OF OFFENDERS ADMITTED TO 7. NUMBER OF OFFENDERS ADMITTED TO 7. NUMBER OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING O 9. # OFFENDERS RECVNG TRSFR SCREE	RS TERS D INFIRMARIES COMPLEX CARE	E				   12000   65000   150000   2200   1500   250   800   13000	7593 77442 176766 5314 3368 1737 212 1362 13000	+   +   +   +   -	 4407   12442   26766   314   1168   237   38   562   0	37 19 18 6 53 16 15 70 0	12000 65000 150000 2200 1500 250 800 13000	10000   80000   175000   5000   3500   1600   250   1500   13000	- + + + + + + + +	 2000   15000   25000   1300   1300   100   0   700   0	17 23 17 0 59 7 0 88 0

## VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 2019 AND FY 2020

#### PROGRAM TITLE: HEALTH CARE

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. Higher expenditures are attributed to additional staffing hours and increased operating costs.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 4: The aging population has contributed to a drastic increase in chronic care encounters and the number is expected to rise in the future.

Item 5: Several factors have contributed to a decrease in out-of-facility care services. Many patients are being kept in-house for prolonged treatment, rather than being sent to specialized facilities. Travel has also been an issue, as there have been security and transport shortages, so many patients are unable to schedule frequent off-site appointments.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: Average facility population declined in the first quarter of FY 20, and it is projected to continue the downward trend in the remaining quarters of FY 20. This variance may be attributed to various external factors and lower than the initial projections.

#### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: Due to the loss of a full-time physician and an Advanced Practice Registered Nurse in the last year, medical provider encounters have fallen far short of projections. Health Care Division (HCD) has not yet been able to recruit a suitable candidate and are currently utilizing locum tenens physicians for temporary coverage.

Item 2: The Mental Health Branch revised the Post-Admission Mental Health Screen which is a measure used to identify inmates in need of psychiatric care. The result has been an increase in the number of referrals to psychiatry for evaluation and medication management.

Item 3: Nursing Encounters have risen due to the shift in aging in the

current population. Many patients are experiencing injury and illness brought on by their advanced age and are visiting the clinic at a higher frequency. Clinic nurses have also been assisting in administering treatments in the absence of medical providers.

Item 5: As with nursing encounters, chronic care encounters are directly related to the aging population and the frequency of their clinic visits.

Item 6: The introduction of the Mental Health Crisis Assessment form as a measure for emergency mental health referrals resulted in expeditious identification of inmates in need of infirmary-level care for the purpose of suicide prevention and intervention. As a result, the number of admissions to psychiatric infirmaries has seen a moderate increase and a significant reduction in deaths by suicide and serious suicide attempts.

Item 7: The Clinical Services Branch has expanded the scope of their services and have been keeping patients with specialized care plans inhouse, rather than admitting them to hospitals for lengthy stays. The Mental Health Branch's intervention, using Interdisciplinary Behavior Modification Plans, has also assisted in reducing the number of self-injurious behaviors requiring hospitalization.

Item 8: With an aging population, there has been a substantial number of diagnosed diabetic, hypertensive, and disabled patients. Several patients have initiated Hepatitis-C treatments within the past year and the Hepatitis-C program is expected to identify and treat even more over the next few years.

Item 9: There is a significant decline in the number of offenders receiving transfer and discharge summaries in the first quarter of FY 20, but this is expected to ramp up in the coming quarters. This may be due to timing and operational processes.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIESPROGRAM-ID:PSD-422PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010113

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-19	)	NINE				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 10,232	1.00 4,254	- 1.00 - 5,978	50 58	2.00 2,587	2.00 2,587	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0	2.00 7,763	2.00 7,763	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 10,232	1.00 4,254	- 1.00 - 5,978	50 58	2.00 2,587	2.00 2,587	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0	2.00 7,763	2.00 7,763	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0	
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2018-19		FISCAL YEAR 2019-20				
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%	
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. AMOUNT OF NET INCOME (IN THOUSAN</li> <li>2. AMOUNT OF REVENUES GENERATED (</li> </ul>	,	)			   200   6000	184 5480	  - 16  - 520	   8   9	   200   6000	200 6000		0 0	
<ul> <li>PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP</li> <li>1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN ALL STATE FACILITIES</li> <li>2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN THE FED DETENTION CTR</li> <li>3. AVE NO. INMATES IN OUT-OF-STATE CONTRACTED FACIL</li> </ul>						3705 173 1440	+ 27	   7   18   7	   3972   146   1556	3520 88 1500	- 58	11 40 4	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF CI BUSINESSES AND PAR' 2. NUMBER OF PROGAMABLE INMATES V 3. NUMBER OF HOURS THAT INMATES PA	HO PARTICIPA				   18   350   190000	18 317 174000	- 33	   0   9   8	   18   350   190000	18 325 190000	- 25	0 7 0	

#### PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2019: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

Planned expenditure ceiling numbers were overestimated and require adjustment.

#### **PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS**

No significant variance.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: Average number of inmates in all state facilities is estimated to decrease by 11% in the last three quarters of FY 20. This may be due to overestimation in the planned number.

Item 2: Average number of inmates in the Federal Detention Center fluctuates and may vary within the fiscal year.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

No significant variance.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:NON-STATE FACILITIESPROGRAM-ID:PSD-808PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010114

	FISC	AL YEAR 2		THREE N	NONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-19		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-20					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	9.00 52,689	8.00 52,706	- +	1.00 17	11 0	9.00 13,324	9.00 13,324	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	9.00 34,393	9.00 34,393	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$100	9.00 <b>)'s)</b> 52,689	8.00 52,706	- +	1.00 17	11 0	9.00 13,324	9.00 13,324	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	9.00 34,393	9.00 34,393	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
	-					FIS	CAL YEAR	2018	-19			FISCAL YEAR		
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % OF RELCASSIFICATIONS RESUL 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINE	D BY SEC. 710-1020	, HRS				   15   0	14 0	   -   +	 1   0	7 0	15 0	10   0	- 5 + 0	33 0
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINE</li> <li>NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING</li> </ol>		, HRS				0   350	0 362	+	0	0 3	0 350	0   225	+ 0	0 36
5. AVG % OF MJR CNTRT PROV W/ C		RR ACTN				350   1	362 0		12   1	3 100	350	225	- 125 + 0	36 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP						I		1						
AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES A     AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES A		TEN				1556   146	1440 173		116   27	7 18	1556 146	1400 88	- 156 - 58	10 40
						, 140	175	<del>-</del>	21	10	140	00	- 30	40
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF INMATE GRIEVANCES						   250	224	   -	 26	10	250	220	- 30	12
2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MAJOR CC						250   268		-  +	20   0	0	250	220	- 30 + 0	0
3. NO. OF RECLASSIFICATION COMP	-					2500		+	135	5	2500	2400	- 100	4

## VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 2019 AND FY 2020

#### PROGRAM TITLE: NON-STATE FACILITIES

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 5: There was no major contract provided without State required corrective action.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 2: Although 18% variance is beyond the 10% threshold, the increase in the number of inmates at the Federal Detention Center is not critical. There are various factors that contribute to these fluctuations. The majority of the population are parole violations with low security risk.

#### **PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES**

Item 1: Inmate grievances decreased due to staff working with inmates to solve problems prior to filing grievances.

09 01 01 14 PSD 808

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:ENFORCEMENTPROGRAM-ID:090102

	FISC	AL YEAR 2		THREE M	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-19		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-20					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE		%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE		%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	391.00 29,452	354.00 30,117		37.00 665	9 2	414.00 9,067	414.00 9,067	+++	0.00 0	0 0	414.00 24,613	414.00 24,613	+ 0.00 + 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	391.00 29,452	354.00 30,117		37.00 665	9 2	414.00 9,067	414.00 9,067	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	414.00 24,613	414.00 24,613	+ 0.00 + 0	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2018	-19	FISCAL YEAR 2019-20				
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF NEW ARRESTS MADE						4200	5252	   +	1052	25	4200	4200	+ 0	0

#### PROGRAM TITLE: ENFORCEMENT

#### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Details of the position and expenditure variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See Lowest Level Programs for additional information.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENTPROGRAM-ID:PSD-502PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010202

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-19	)	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	20.00 2,327	16.00 2,075	- 4.00 - 252	20 11	22.00 649	22.00 649	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0	22.00 1,933	22.00 1,933	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	20.00 2,327	16.00 2,075	- 4.00 - 252	20 11	22.00 649	22.00 649	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0	22.00 1,933	22.00 1,933	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
					FIS	CAL YEAR 2	2018-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-20	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. % CERTIFICATES/PEMITS ISSUED WITH</li> <li>2. % OF CASES THAT RESULTED IN SUCC</li> <li>3. % OF CASES RELEASED PENDING FUT</li> <li>4. % CASES CONFERRED/ACCEPTED BY</li> <li>5. % CASES CONFERRED/DECLINED BY F</li> <li>6. PERCENT OF CASES REFERRED TO FE</li> <li>7. % CRIMINL CASES RSLTD IN ASSET FC</li> </ul>	ESSFUL PROS HER INVESTIGA PROSECUTING PROSECUTING EDERAL AGENC	ECUTION ATION AGENCIES AGENCIES IES			   97   90   25   97   3   3   3	90   100   55   45   0	- 42	0   0   300   43   1400   100   0	97 90 25 97 3 3	90 97   3	+ 0 + 0 + 65 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	0   0   260   0   0   100   0
<ol> <li>% CRIMINE CASES RSLID IN ASSET FC</li> <li>% CASES INVESTIGTD &amp; RESOLVD W/C</li> <li>% OF DRUG EDUCATION OR TRAINING</li> <li>% INQUIRIES MADE ON ELECTRONIC R</li> </ol>	OUT CRIMINAL A SESSIONS COM	CTION NDUCTED			65   95   99	65	+ 0  + 0	0   0   59	65   95   99	65 95	+ 0   + 0   - 41	0   0   0   41
<ul> <li>PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANC</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF REGULATED CHEMICAL R</li> <li>3. NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJUL</li> <li>4. NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJUL</li> <li>5. NO. PHYSICNS PARTICPTG IN MED USE</li> </ul>	EGISTRANTS ANA PATIENTS ANA CAREGIVE	RS			1500	 7935   10   NO DATA   NO DATA   NO DATA	- 30  - 1300  - 1500	13   75   100   100   100	7000 40 1300 1500 95	NO DATA	+ 0   - 1300	0   0   100   100   100
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY         1. # CONTR SUBS/REG CHM/ORAL/MJ RG         2. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN         3. TOTAL NO. CASES CONFERRD/ACCEPT         4. TOTAL NO. CASES CONFERRD/ACCEPT         5. TOTAL NO. CASES REFERRD/DECLND I         5. TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES REFERRED         6. NO. CASES INVESTGTD FROM HIA/COFT         7. NUMBER OF REGULATORY ACTIONS T         8. NO. OF EDUCATIONAL AND TRAINING S         9. NO. OF FORENSIC DRUG ANALYSIS CC         10. # CNTRLLD SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELE	SUCCESSFUL F TD BY PROSECUT PROSECUT TO FEDERAL A R FACILITIES/C AKEN SESSIONS CON NDUCTED BY N	PROSEC JTG AGEN IG AGENC GENCIES DTHER DUCTED IED LAB			7000   25   15   3   3   900   1000   60   2000   1500000	7979   10   10   8   1   947   3500   40   1970   1314802	- 15  - 5  - 2  + 47  + 2500  - 20  - 30	14   60   33   167   67   5   250   33   2   12	7000 25 15 3 900 1000 60 2000 1500000	25   15   3   900   2000   60	+ 0   + 1000   + 0   + 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 100 0 100 0 13

# VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 2019 AND FY 2020

### PROGRAM TITLE: NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

### **PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS**

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. Expenditure is lower than the budgeted amount is related to lower number of budgeted personnel that require additional operational costs.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3: The Honolulu Prosecuting Attorney's Office (HPAO) has changed its policy related to Narcotic's Enforcement Division (NED)drug cases requiring that all of NED's drug cases be released pending further investigation, except for two specific/rare charging criteria. Consequently, all of NED cases in FY 19 were required to be released pending further investigation under the HPAO policy. This resulted to higher number of releases pending investigation than the previous projections.

Item 4: In FY 19, NED was short staffed resulting in less cases taken to prosecution. Also, a large number of cases of drug paraphernalia were declined due to changes in state law that decriminalized those offenses and were declined due to HPAO policies.

Item 5: In FY 19, NED was short staffed resulting in less cases taken to prosecution. Also, a large number of cases of drug paraphernalia were declined due to changes in state law that decriminalized those offenses and were declined due to HPAO policies.

Item 6: There were three planned and zero actual. A variance cannot be calculated if one of the values is zero.

Item 10: This is reflects outside law enforcement and outside regulatory agency needs for information from the electronic prescription drug monitoring program has lessened either because the agencies' have other sources of information or require prescription information less frequently.

### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: In FY 19, NED continued its initiative to remind expired and inactive registrants to renew their registrations on a timely basis as well as ongoing submissions of new controlled substances registration applications.

Item 2: In FY 19, NED continued an initiative to remind expired and inactive registrants to renew their registrations on a timely basis as well as ongoing submissions of new controlled substances registration applications. The decreased number of regulated chemical registrants than the projection reflects the need for greater efforts to comply with State law.

Item 3, 4 & 5: No data available. These measures deal with medical marijuana. These measures should be removed because since 2015, the medical marijuana program was moved to the State Department of Health and NED does not have any management responsibility for the program.

### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: This number is greater due to intensive effort to locate and identify registrants and to ensure they maintain their registrations by timely application to obtain registrations and timely renewal. This is also a reflection of an increasing amount of healthcare professionals who need a registration to accomplish their work.

Item 2: Total number of cases that resulted in successful prosecution decreased from 25 to 10 (-60%). In FY 19, NED was short staffed resulting in less cases taken to prosecution. Also, a large number of cases of drug paraphernalia were declined due to changes in state law that decriminalized those offenses and were declined due to HPAO policies.

Item 3: In FY 19, NED worked very short of staffing. Three positions were under recruitment and two full-time employees were on extended leaves of absence due to long term medical issues. Furthermore, the

# VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 2019 AND FY 2020

## **PROGRAM TITLE: NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT**

09 01 02 02 PSD 502

HPAO routinely dismisses charges for drug paraphernalia which accounts for a large number of cases that were once routinely accepted for charges. A recent change in State law makes these charges unattractive for prosecution.

Item 4: In FY 19, NED worked very short of staffing. Three positions were under recruitment and two full-time employees were on extended leaves of absence due to long term medical issues. Furthermore, the HPAO routinely dismisses charges for drug paraphernalia which accounts for a large number of cases that were once routinely accepted for charges. A recent change in State law makes these charges unattractive for prosecution

Item 5: Number of cases referred to federal agencies fell 3 to 1 (-67%). In FY 19, NED referred less cases for investigation due to a division management goal of initiating more cases at the State level and to resolve more cases at the State level.

Item 6: In FY 19, NED saw increases in criminal cases reported, especially lost/theft or missing drugs.

Item 7: In FY 19, NED aggressively dealt with inactive and expired registrants by forcing them to either renew or dispose of their registrations. This resulted in hundreds of regulatory actions. Additionally, the State Legislature passed a mandatory use of the Prescription Monitoring Program law that resulted in a large number of regulatory actions to assist and provide program access to registrants. The increased number of regulatory actions has created an extremely high level of compliance but required intensive effort on the part of NED staff to support this need.

Item 8: In FY 19, NED worked very short of staffing. Three positions were under recruitment and two full-time employees were on extended leaves of absence due to long term medical issues. Consequently, due to reduced staffing, NED was unable to conduct as many drug education and training sessions as in the past.

Item 10: This is a positive result of increased legislation, planning, and prevention efforts at all levels. The State has implemented a concerted effort through the Administration's Opioid Action Plan to reduce controlled substances prescription, in order to prevent the opioid epidemic and other substance use issues in Hawaii. The reduced number is a positive effect of these efforts. It is anticipated to have reduced trend in the coming quarters.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:SHERIFFPROGRAM-ID:PSD-503PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010203

	FISC	AL YEAR 20	018-1	9		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-19		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> (	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	371.00 27,125	338.00 28,042	- +	33.00 917	9 3	392.00 8,418	392.00 8,418	+++	0.00 0	0 0	392.00 22,680	392.00 22,680	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	371.00 27,125	338.00 28,042	- +	33.00 917	9 3	392.00 8,418	392.00 8,418	+++	0.00 0	0 0	392.00 22,680	392.00 22,680	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2018-	-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019	9-20	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Cł	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± Cl	HANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. PERCENT OF GRAND JURY AND HPA W</li> <li>2. PERCENT OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS SEF</li> <li>3. PERCENT OF THREATS INVESTIGATED</li> </ul>	RVED	VED				75   0   100	NO DATA 0 100	+	 75   0   0	100 0 0	75 0 100	   NO DATA           	- + +	 75   0   0	100 0 0
<ul> <li>PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF STATE DEPARTMENTS</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF STATE COURTHOUSES</li> <li>3. # PERSONS IN CUSTODY REQ DETENT</li> </ul>	N/TRANSPRT/P	ROCESS				   20   15   35000	-	   +   +   -	 0   0   7510	0 0 21	20 15 35000	 20   15   36000	+ + +	 0   0   1000	0 0 3
<ul> <li>PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF SERVICE TYPE CASES</li> <li>2. NUMBER OF CRIMINAL CASES RECEIVI</li> <li>3. NUMBER OF ARREST INCIDENTS</li> <li>4. NO. THREATS AGNST GOVT OFFICLS/S</li> <li>5. NUMBER OF GRAND JURY AND HPA W/</li> <li>6. NO. PERSONS DETAINED IN DISTRICT OF</li> <li>7. NUMBER OF CUSTODY TRANSPORTS</li> <li>8. NUMBER OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS REC</li> </ul>	TATE GOVT EM ARRANTS RECE & CIRCUIT COU	IVED				   3000   5000   4200   2   1000   31000   4000   0	-	-   +   +   -   +   +	 1790   2199   1052   1   532   665   744   0	60 44 25 50 53 2 19 0	3000 5000 4200 2 1000 31000 4000 0	3500   5000   4200   2   1000   32000   4500   NO DATA	+ + + + + + + -	 500   0   0   0   1000   500   0	17 0 0 0 3 13 0

# VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 2019 AND FY 2020

## PROGRAM TITLE: SHERIFF

## PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: Expenditure variance is attributed to higher than projection additional staff hours for the performance of the function. The Division is continuously exerting its effort to improve its recruitment and staffing conditions to better facilitate the functions of the division.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1 & 2: This measure is no longer applicable. Since the Judiciary (JUD) established the E-Warrant system grand jury warrants into the system, the Sheriff Division (SD) no longer receives grand jury warrants from the JUD and is not the custodian of these warrants. This measure is based on grand jury warrant received vs. served.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 3: The number of custodies requiring detention/transport/processing is not directly under the SD's control. Custodies numbers are based on Honolulu Police Department (HPD) arrests, Sheriff arrests, JUD court calendars and corrections custody status (held in custody or released on bail). Due to this, these numbers fluctuate and are not consistent.

### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: The number of service type cases received is not directly under the SD's control. These calls for service are based on the calls received by the general public for assistance.

Item 2: The number of criminal cases received is not directly under the SD's control. These criminal cases are based on criminal complaints by the general public.

Item 3: The number of arrests incidents are not directly under the SD's control. These criminal cases are based on criminal complaints by the general public.

Item 4: The number of threats against Government offices/State

employees is not directly under the SD's control. The SD responds cases as they are reported.

Item 5: This measure is no longer applicable. Since the JUD established the E-Warrant system, the SD no longer receives grand jury warrants from the JUD and is not the custodian of these warrants.

Item 6: The number of persons detained in District and Circuit Courts is not directly under the SD's control. Custodies numbers are based on HPD arrests, Sheriff arrests, JUD court calendars and corrections custody status (held in custody or released on bail). Due to this, these numbers fluctuate.

Item 7: The number of custody transports has dramatically increased due to a change in data collection. Previously, only custody air transports were being reported. Now both air and ground transports are being included to more accurately reflect the impact to personnel used for this program activity.

Item 8: This measure is no longer applicable. Since the JUD established the E-Warrant system, the SD no longer receives traffic warrants from the JUD and is not the custodian of these warrants.

Item 9: The number of traffic citations issued fluctuates based on the number of traffic violations/infractions observed by deputies. These numbers can also be impacted by increases in service calls as deputies will be spending more time responding to calls and writing reports than patrolling the streets and issuing traffic citations.

# STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE: PAROLE SUPERVISION AND COUNSELING PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090103

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19			THREE M	IONTHS EN	IDED 09	-30-19		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH/	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS	68.00	59.00	-	9.00	13	68.00	68.00	+	0.00	0	68.00	68.00	+ 0.00	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	4,733	4,799		66	1	1,235	1,235	+	0	0	4,044	4,044	+ 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	68.00 4,733	59.00 4,799		9.00 66	13 1	68.00 1,235	68.00 1,235	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	68.00 4,044	68.00 4,044	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
						FIS	CAL YEAR 2	2018-19				FISCAL YEAR	2019-20	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETUL</li> <li>2. PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARL</li> <li>3. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DISC</li> </ul>	Y PAROLE REL SCHARGE (YRS	EASE				370 5 6	363   5   6	+	 7   0   0	2   0   0	370 5 6	 365   5   6	- 5 + 0 + 0	1   0   0
<ol><li>UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROL</li></ol>	EES					13	12	-	1	8	13	13	+ 0	0

## PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Details of the position and expenditure variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

## PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See Lowest Level Programs for additional information.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONSPROGRAM-ID:PSD-611PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010301

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19	9		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-19		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-3	30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHAI	NGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	7.00 433	5.00 494		2.00 61	29 14	7.00 124	7.00 124	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	7.00 409	7.00 409	+ 0 +	0.00 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	7.00 433	5.00 494		2.00 61	29 14	7.00 124	7.00 124	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	7.00 409	7.00 409	+ 0 +	0.00 0	0 0
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C⊦	IANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHAN	GE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARL</li> <li>2. AV LENGTH OF TIME BEFORE NEXT PA</li> <li>3. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DI</li> <li>4. % INMATES GRANTED PAROLE AT EXP</li> <li>5. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURN</li> </ul>	ROLE RVIEW (N SCHARGE (YRS IRATN OF MIN S	MNTHS) 5) SENTCE				5   6   6   45   370	6	   +   +   -   -	0   0   0   2   7	0 0 4 2	5   6   6   45   370	5 6 45 365	+ + + +	0   0   0   0   5	0 0 0 1
<ul><li>PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP</li><li>1. AV NO. OF SENTENCED FELONS IN STA</li><li>2. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER HAWAN</li></ul>		-				   2934   1600	3705 1582		   771   18	26 1	   2934   1600	3520 1660		 586   60	20 4
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF MINIMUM SENTENCES FIX 2. # PERSONS CONSIDERED FOR PAROLE 3. NUMBER OF PAROLES GRANTED 4. NUMBER OF PAROLES DENIED 5. NUMBER OF PAROLES REVOKED 6. NO. OF APPL FOR REDUCTN OF MIN SE 7. NUMBER OF PARDON APPLICATIONS C 8. NUMBER OF PAROLEES REVIEWED FO 9. NUMBER OF INFORMAL INTERVIEWS C	E AT MIN EXPR ENTENCE CONS CONSIDERED R DISCHARGE					   1650   2900   840   1700   330   220   30   100   0		+   -   +   -   -	 521   23   72   33   55   6   55   0	32 1 9 0 10 25 20 55 0	1650   2900   840   1700   330   220   30   100	1700 355 180 28	+ - + - -	 350   65   25   40   2   55   0	21 0 8 0 8 18 7 55 0

## **PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS**

### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. Planned expenditure numbers were underestimated and require adjustment.

#### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variance.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: The increase in the number of sentenced felons in the state prison system is dictated by law enforcement and the courts. Planning numbers are pure speculation by the Department of Public Safety to determine housing and program resource requirements.

### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: The actual number of minimum terms fixed by the Hawaii Parole Authority (HPA) (2,171) is more than planned (1,650) because of an increase in the number of convictions that offenders have when appearing before the HPA for the setting of their minimum term(s). This is the primary reason for the 32% increase.

Item 5: The number of paroles revoked (363) is slightly higher than planned (330) as a result of an increase in the number of parolees testing positive for methamphetamine and use of designer drugs such as bath salts and spice. In addition, there has been an increase in he number of parolees refusing to participate in community-based treatment by absconding and/or failing to remain in residential treatment until clinically discharged. These are the primary reasons for the 10% increase.

Item 6: The number of applications considered (165) was lower than planned (220) as a direct result of fewer inmates applying for this potential reduction in their sentences. This is the primary reason for the 25% decrease.

Item 7: The number of pardon applications considered (24 applications) is lower than planned (30 applications) as a result of fewer persons applying for a pardon and because the HPA no longer has a backlog of applications. The HPA now completes its portion of the pardon investigative process within 60 - 90 days from the date of receipt of the completed application. These are the primary reasons for the 20% decrease in pardon applications processed.

Item 8: The number of parolees reviewed for discharge (45 parolees) is lower than planned (100 parolees) because the vast majority of the parole population consists of offenders with Class B (10-year) and Class A (20year) felony convictions, which necessitates longer periods of parole supervision to ensure the parolees have successfully re-integrated back into the community and no longer pose a threat to public safety. These are the primary reasons for the 55% decrease in the number of parolees reviewed for discharge.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELINGPROGRAM-ID:PSD-612PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010302

		FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19			THREE N	NONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-19		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING (	06-30-20	
		BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
	I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS ARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPER	ATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 4,300	54.00 4,305		7.00 5	11 0	61.00 1,111	61.00 1,111	+++	0.00 0	0 0	61.00 3,635	61.00 3,635	++++	0.00 0	0 0
	TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 4,300	54.00 4,305		7.00 5	11 0	61.00 1,111	61.00 1,111	+++	0.00 0	0 0	61.00 3,635	61.00 3,635	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
								CAL YEAR		-			FISCAL YEAR		-	
							PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Cł	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%
PART 1. 2. 3.	II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS % RECOM RELATG TO PAROLE RELEAS NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU AMOUNT OF RESTITUTION COLLECTED	JRNED TO PRIS	-				   77   400   100000	433	   +   +   +	   33   12907	4 8 13	77   400   100000	-	   +   +   +	3   20   10000	4 5 10
4. 5.	AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DI UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROL	· · ·	RS)				6   13	6 12	+   -	0   1	0 8	6 13	6 13	+   +	0   0	0 0
PART 1. 2. 3. 4.	III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP NO. OF PAROLEES IN HAWAII FROM OT NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISD NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISD AV NO. OF SENTENCED INMATES IN ST	ICTN OUT OF S	TATE TATE				27   120   1570   3972			 4   8   12   267	15 7 1 7	27 120 1570 3972	30 130 1570 3520		 3   10   0   452	11 8 0 11
PART 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY NUMBER OF PREPAROLE INVESTIGATI NUMBER OF ARREST WARRANTS ISSU NUMBER OF PAROLE DISCHARGES RE NUMBER OF PARDON INVESTIGATIONS NUMBER OF INTERSTATE COMPACT AG	ED COMMENDED S CONDUCTED	ED				2850   410   230   30   145	433 188 24	   +   +   -   +	 73   23   42   6   14	3 6 18 20 10	2850   410   230   30   145	430 200 25	   +   +   -   -	 50   20   30   5   5	2 5 13 17 3
6. 7. 8.	NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER SPECIA NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER INTENS NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING	SIVE SUPERVIS	ION				175   48   760	212 55 616	+	37   7   144	21 15 19	175 48 760		+   +   -	20   7   135	11 15 18

## PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

## PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3: The amount of restitution collected (\$112,907.00) is slightly higher than planned (\$100,000.00) as a result of a few large payments made by several parolees, which in some cases completely paid off all of their outstanding balances owed. The Hawaii Parole Authority (HPA) also continually stresses the importance of restitution payments to Parole Officers and parolees alike. These are the primary reasons for the 13% increase in restitution payments.

### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: The number of parolees from other jurisdictions (31 parolees) is higher than planned (27 parolees) as a result changes in the Western Interstate Compact Rules, which require receiving jurisdictions (Hawaii) to accept cases previously eligible for denial/rejection. The rule changes were primarily administrative in nature and does not negatively affect public safety. This is the primary reason for the 15% increase in offenders from other jurisdictions being accepted by Hawaii for supervision.

## PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 3. The number of parolee's recommended for discharge (188 parolees) was lower than planned (230 parolees) in part because the vast majority of the parole population consists of those with Class B (10-year) and Class A (20-year) convictions, which in some cases necessitates the need for longer periods of parole supervision in order to ensure that the offender is completely stable and no longer poses a risk to public safety. This is the primary reason for the 18% decrease in the number of parolees recommended for discharge.

Item 4: The number of pardon investigations conducted (24

investigations) is lower than planned (30 investigations) as a result of the Hawaii Parole Authority (HPA) receiving fewer applications coupled with the fact that we have now completely caught up on the backlog of pending applications. The HPA now completes its portion of the pardons investigations process within 60-90 days of receipt of properly completed pardon application packets. These are the primary reasons for the 20% decrease in the planned pardon investigations to be completed.

Item 5: The number of interstate compact agreements (159 agreements) is slightly more than the planned 145 agreements as a result of changes in the Western Interstate Compact Rules, which require receiving jurisdictions (Hawaii) to accept cases previously eligible for denial/rejection. The rule changes were primarily administrative in nature and does not negatively affect public safety. This is the primary reason for the overall 10% increase in interstate compact agreements.

Item 6: The number of parolees under specialist supervision (212 parolees) is significantly higher than planned 175 parolees as a result an increase in the number of offenders committing more serious higher level felony offenses such as Class B (10-year) and Class A (20-year) offenses. As a direct result, their criminal histories are more involved and complex and require closer levels of supervision and an increased level of services.

Item 7: The number of parolee under intensive supervision (55 parolees) is higher than the planned number of 48 parolees as a result of an increase in the number of parolees with more involved and complex criminal histories that require higher levels of supervision and increased services.

Item 8: The actual number of administrative hearings held (616) is lower than the planned number of administrative hearings (760) primarily due to the decreases in applications for reduction of minimum (ROM), pardon applications received, and other actions that require administrative hearings.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSIONPROGRAM-ID:PSD-613PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:090104

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-19	)	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>+</u> (	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	13.00 3,441	11.00 1,797	- 2.00 - 1,644	15 48	13.00 660	13.00 660	+++++	0.00 0	0 0	13.00 2,815	13.00 2,815	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	13.00 3,441	11.00 1,797	- 2.00 - 1,644	15 48	13.00 660	13.00 660	++++	0.00 0	0 0	13.00 2,815	13.00 2,815	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
						CAL YEAR	2018	-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-20	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. AV TIME FM DATE APP RCV TO DATE D</li> <li>2. AV TIME FROM AWARD TO DATE PURC</li> <li>3. PERCENT OF CLAIMANTS WHO RECEIV</li> <li>4. AVERAGE COMPENSATION AWARD MA</li> </ul>	H ORDR PREPE ED COMPENS	RD (ŴKS)			   10   4   75   800	15 6 84 580	   +   +   +   -	5   2   9   220	50 50 12 28	10   4   75   800	4	+ 0   + 0   + 0   - 300	0 0 0 38
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. # PERSONS STATEWIDE WHO MAY BE	ELIG FOR COM	PENSATN			   1470000	1470000	   +	 0	0	   1470000	147000	  - 1323000	90
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY					I		I			I		 	
1. NUMBER OF CLAIMS RECEIVED					750	590	-	160	21	750	600	- 150	20
2. DOLLAR VALUE OF CLAIMS RECEIVED					500000	370000	-	130000	26	500000		- 100000	20
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF HEARINGS HELD</li> <li>NUMBER OF COMPENSATION AWARDS</li> </ol>					6   800	2 662	-   -	4   138	67   17	6   800	4 700	- 2   - 100	33 13
5. NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE MEETING					300	4	-  +	0	0	000   4		+ 0	0
6. NUMBER OF CLAIMS DENIED					150	62	-	88	59	150	75		50

## PROGRAM TITLE: CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

The expenditure variance is due to less than anticipated expenditure for the victim of crime act federal grant.

## PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: Average length of time from date of application received to date of decision mailed (weeks) the Commission has been short staffed during this fiscal year and cases were not processed in as timely a manner as anticipated. Even after Investigator staff was hired, staff had to be trained and monitored resulting in slower processing of cases.

Item 2: Average length of time from award to date that purchase order is prepared (weeks) because of the investigator staff shortage, staff that normally processes the purchase orders was required to assist in processing cases and, upon hiring, train and monitor the investigator staff resulting in slower processing of purchase orders.

Item 3: Percent of claimants who received compensation the Commission has been working to train the Victim Witness Advocates (VWA) in each of the counties Prosecuting Attorney's offices and community partners who provide the Commission applications to ensure that applications are being provided to the victims who meet the Commission's statutory requirements.

Item 4: Average compensation award made the Commission anticipated a higher average compensation award than the actual average. This was based on an anticipation of higher numbers of uninsured victims. While there are still a number of uninsured victims, the larger number of the Commission's victims do have medical insurance.

## PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

## PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: Number of claims received decreased by 21%. The Commission has been providing more training to the VWA in the county Prosecutor's Offices and to community partners who provide applications to their clients. The training helps to ensure that advocates are more aware of which victims would qualify for the Commission's assistance which may lower the number of overall applications that are being provided to victims.

Item 2: Dollar value of claims received was 26% lower than planned. The dollar value of claims paid is lower due to the decrease in the amounts awarded, due to the lowering of acknowledgment award limits and other limitations in compensation in some compensation categories. The Commission's Medical Reduction Project (MRP) also contributed to the lower amounts paid. Through the MRP, the Commission lowered medical cost payments by over \$36,000.00 on bills of almost \$120,000.00.

Item 3: Number of hearings held was 67% lower than planned. The number of appeals the Commission receives is dependent upon the number of victims who disagree with their decision by the Commission.

Item 4: Number of compensation awards made was 17% less than planned due to a lowering of the eligible claims submitted. The Commission discovered that there are more applicants who have medical insurance coverage, possibly due to the Affordable Care Act, to pay for their crime-related medical expenses.

Item 6: Number of claims denied decreased by 59% over the planned figure. The decrease may be attributed to the fact that there has been more training of new VWAs hired in all 4 counties and training of community partners who provide compensation applications to their clients to ensure that claims are not filed on behalf of non-qualifying victims.

# STATE OF HAWAII GENERAL SUPPORT - CRIMINAL ACTION PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL SUPPORT - CRIMINAL ACTION PROGRAM-ID: 090105

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-19	)	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	184.00 25,444	162.00 24,896	-		187.00 6,062	180.00 5,807	- 7.00 - 255	4 4	187.00 20,158	188.00 20,158	+ 1.00 + 0	1 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	184.00 25,444	162.00 24,896			187.00 6,062	180.00 5,807	- 7.00 - 255	4 4	187.00 20,158	188.00 20,158	+ 1.00 + 0	1 0
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2018-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-20	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>1. PERCENTAGE OF VACANCIES FILLED</li> <li>2. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRAI</li> <li>3. % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TS</li> </ul>	(	,			   75   27   50	75 27 54	  + 0  + 0  + 4	   0   0	   75   27   50	 75   27   60	+ 0 + 0 + 10	   0   20

## PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Details of the position and expenditure variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

## PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See Lowest Level Programs for additional information.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:GENERAL ADMINISTRATIONPROGRAM-ID:PSD-900PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010501

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19			THREE N	NONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-19		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING (	06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± Cl	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	135.00 18,285	113.00 18,116	- :	22.00 169	16 1	139.00 4,291	139.00 4,291	+ +	0.00 0	0 0	139.00 14,845	139.00 14,845	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	135.00 18,285	113.00 18,116		22.00 169	16 1	139.00 4,291	139.00 4,291	+++	0.00 0	0 0	139.00 14,845	139.00 14,845	+ +	0.00 0	0 0
						FIS	CAL YEAR 2					FISCAL YEAR			
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Cł	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CH	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS         1. PERCENT OF VACANCIES FILLED         2. PERCENT OF DELEGATED POSITION AG         3. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRAI         4. % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TS         5. % INTERNL INVSTGTNS CLOSED BY INS         6. % INTERNL INVSTGTNS COMPLETED B         7. % ADA COMPLNTS INVSTGTD/CLOSED         8. % HARASSMNT/DISCRMNTN COMPLNT         PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP         1. NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTAL EMPLOY!         2. NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL FACILITIE         3. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION	NSACTIONS (D/ SD TRAING SES SP & INVSTGTN Y INTERNAL AF AFTER ACTION S INVSTGTD/CL	AYS) SSIONS OFFC FAIRS TAKEN				75 90 27 50 85 83 80 70 2500 8 5576	75 92 27 54 122 90 100 100 2336 8 5427	+   +   +   +   +   +   +	0   2   0   4   37   20   30   164   0   149	0 2 0 8 44 8 25 43 7 0 3	75   90   27   50   85   83   80   70   2500   8   5576	27 60 125 85 100 100 2500 8	+ + + +	0   0   0   10   40   2   20   30   0   0   1799	0 0 20 47 2 5 43 0 0 32
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY								ı 1		<b>.</b>	1 0010				
<ol> <li>NUMBER OF NEW REQUESTS TO FILL \</li> <li>NUMBER OF DELEGATED POSITION AC</li> <li>NUMBER OF FISCAL TRANSACTIONS PI</li> <li># TIME SHEETS PROC FOR OT &amp; EMERI</li> <li>NUMBER OF TRAINING SESSIONS CON</li> <li># INTERNAL INVSTGTNS RCVD BY INSF</li> <li>NO. INTERNAL INVSTGTNS INITIATD BY</li> <li>NUMBER OF ADA COMPLAINTS FILED</li> <li>NO. OF HARASSMENT/DISCRIMINATION</li> </ol>	TIONS RECEIVI ROCESSED PEI G HIRES PER M DUCTED & INVSTGTN C INTERNL AFFA	R DAY ONTH IFFC IRS				300 550 250 3900 200 85 90 8 8 40	52 524 260 4644 308 112 84 9 40	+   +   +   +   -	248   26   10   744   108   27   6   1   0	83 5 4 19 54 32 7 13 0	300 550 250 3900 200 85 90 85 90 8	525 250 3900 250 115 85	+ + + -	248   25   0   50   30   5   72   0	83 5 0 25 35 6 900 0

# VARIANCE REPORT NARRATIVE FY 2019 AND FY 2020

## PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

### PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19: The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. The lower personnel count results in lower operational expenditure.

### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 5: There was an increase in the number of disciplinary investigations closed by the Inspections and Investigations Office (IIO). The number of investigations closed increased over the planned number estimate mostly due to having the Hearing Officer staffing positions filled for the year.

Item 7 & 8: The division employs 100% response on complaints. No complaints are ignored and will eventually be closed when resolved.

#### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: The lower than budgeted departmental employees is reflective of the recruitment challenges that the department has continuously been working on.

### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: The planning number of (300) was erroneous. Requests to fill positions happens once per week. The new estimate will remain a constant (52). Variance is a result of mandated training and makeup classes.

Item 4: The Department of Public Safety Fiscal Office Payroll Section has experienced a significant increase in time sheet documents for overtime, differentials, and temporary assignments due to the Twenty Meter Telescope (TMT) Coverage by the Sheriff's and Narcotics Enforcement Divisions and the Adult Correction Officer (ACO) coverage necessary for the Maui Correctional Center's extreme staff shortages.

Item 5: The number of training sessions conducted is higher than

planned by 54%. Training sessions are conducted as needed to improve the performance of the department's functions.

Item 6: There was a decrease in the number of investigations received by IIO from the prior year but the number was significantly over the number planned for. It can be attributed to the new short form investigation form for minor offenses but also to the fact that the number of investigations received is based on the number of policy/Standards of Conduct violations that occur each year. The number of investigations received was down from 139 the prior year to 112 this year. The planned number will be adjusted for FY 20.

Item 8: Increase in the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) complaints is due to the Department's compliance, per the settlement with the Department of Justice (DOJ).

# STATE OF HAWAIIVARPROGRAM TITLE:STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATIONPROGRAM-ID:ATG-231PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:09010502

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-1	9		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-1	9	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	49.00 7,159	49.00 6,780	+ -	0.00 379	0 5	48.00 1,771	41.00 1,516	- 7.00 - 255	15 14	48.00 5,313	49.00 5,313	+ 1.00 + 0	2 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$10	49.00 <b>00's)</b> 7,159	49.00 6,780	+ -	0.00 379	0 5	48.00 1,771	41.00 1,516	- 7.00 - 255	15 14	48.00 5,313	49.00 5,313	+ 1.00 + 0	2 0
							CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
<ol> <li>AV# DAYS REQUIRD TO COMPLE</li> <li>AV # DAYS TO ENTER DISPOSITION</li> </ol>	TE EXPUNGEMENT P IN DATA PER SEGME					120   12	-	+ 3	25	120   12	15	+ 0 + 3	0     25
<ol> <li>% COMPLETE DISPOSITIONS ON</li> <li>% OF ELIGIBLE SEX OFFENDERS</li> </ol>						95   93		+ 0  + 0		95   93	95   93	+ 0 + 0	
5. % REG SEX OFFENDERS WHO CO	MPLY W/VER PROC					85	86	+ 1	1	85	86	+ 1	1
<ol> <li>AV# DAYS TO COMPLETE CRIM H</li> <li>% MONTHLY LATENT FINGERPRT</li> </ol>		QUESTS				5   60	5	+ 0  - 35		5   60	5   25	+ 0 - 35	0    58
<ol> <li>% MONTHLY LATENT FINGERPRT</li> <li>% HELP DESK TICKETS RESOLVE</li> </ol>	-					60   67	25 79			60   67		- 35 + 13	58     19
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PERSONS WITH CRIMINAL RECO						     608000	607359	<u> </u>  - 641	   0	   608000	668000	+ 60000	   10
2. PERSONS WITH EXPUNGEABLE F	-					385000		+ 463		385000	386000	+ 1000	
3. NO. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIE	S SVD (CNTY/STATE/	′FED)				100		+ 6	6	100	110	+ 10	10
4. CJIS-HAWAII USERS						4900	11.00	- 191		4900		- 190	4
<ol> <li>PERSONS WITH ELIGIBLE SEX OF</li> <li>NUMBER OF NON-CRIMINAL JUST</li> </ol>						3350   190	3335 201	- 15  + 11		3350   190		- 15 + 20	0    11
7. NCIC USERS		NOLD				3200	-	+ 55	-	3200	3300	+ 100	3
8. NUMBER OF NON-COMPLIANT SE	X OFFENDERS					650	707	+ 57	j 9	650	707	+ 57	<u> </u> 9
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY													
1. # REG SEX OFFENDRS REQURING						2600	2551	- 49		•	2600	+ 0	0
<ol> <li>2. #PUB ACC/WEB TRANSACTNS CO</li> <li>3. # OF INQUIRY TRANSACTIONS CO</li> </ol>						360000   2058000		+ 29103 - 204455	-	360000   2058000	400000 200000	+ 40000 - 1858000	11     90
4. # OF EXPUNGEMENT REQUESTS						1500		- 61		1500		+ 0	0
5. NUMBER OF FIRST-TIMERS ADDE						57000	00000	- 3964			00000	- 1000	2
6. #NAME-BASED APPLICANT RECO						2500		- 221		2500	2000	- 200	8
<ol> <li>#FINGERPRT-BASED APPLICANT</li> <li># CRIMINAL FINGERPRINTS PROC</li> </ol>		CESSED				65000   40000	00200	- 4712  - 3202			62000   38000	- 3000 - 2000	5    5
9. #LATENT FINGER/PALM PRINT SE		U AFIS				40000		- <u>5202</u>  - 974	•	40000	3600	- 750	<u> </u>
10. #CJIS-HAWAII RECS INDEXED ON						298394	299407	-				+ 1106	0

## PROGRAM TITLE: STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

## PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The position variance is due to vacant positions that the Hawaii Criminal Justice Data Center (HCJDC) is working to fill.

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures occurred because not all vacancies were filled.

### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. The reason for the increase in number of days to enter disposition data info was due to shortage of personnel responsible for inputting data.

Item 7. FY 2018-19 planned percentage was calculated wrong. Correct percentage should have been 18% not 60%. FY 2019-20 Actual percentage was 25%, which resulted in an increase. The increase was the result of less latents being searched which resulted in more hits.

Item 8. This increase is due to the increase in staff throughout office, many of the vacancies have been filled which has increased our ability to respond in a more timely manner.

### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

## PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 3. The number of non-criminal justice agencies that access CJIS-Hawaii has been reduced since HCJDC automated the criminal history background check process, which reduced the number of manual entries that are performed.

Item 9. Honolulu Police Department had the highest volume of latent cases and one of their latent examiners retired leaving just one latent examiner. Thus the decrease in latents searched through the Automated Biometric Identification System.

# STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE: SAFETY FROM PHYSICAL DISASTERS PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0902

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-19	)	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	IGE %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	247.00 98,115	194.00 38,722	-	3.00 21 393 61	247.00 11,610	187.00 11,240	- 60.00 - 370	24 3	247.00 91,944	247.00 92,314	+ 0.00 + 370	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	247.00 98,115	194.00 38,722	-	3.00 21 393 61	247.00 11,610	187.00 11,240	- 60.00 - 370	24 3	247.00 91,944	247.00 92,314	+ 0.00 + 370	0 0
						CAL YEAR	2018-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-20	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. DEATHS/INJ/PROP DAM DUE TO FLOOD	S (INCIDENTS)				4	1	  - 3	   75	   4	4	+ 0	   0

## PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Details of the position and expenditures variances are best examined at the lowest program level.

## PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See Lowest Level Programs for additional information.

<u>09 02</u>

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERSPROGRAM-ID:LNR-810PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:090201

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE N	IONTHS EN	IDED 09-30-19		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06	6-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CH	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	8.00 2,636	5.00 2,118	- 3.00 - 518	38 20	8.00 590	5.00 220	- 3.00 - 370	38 63	8.00 2,294	8.00 2,664	+ +	0.00 370	0 16
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	8.00 2,636	5.00 2,118	- 3.00 - 518	38 20	8.00 590	5.00 220	- 3.00 - 370	38 63	8.00 2,294	8.00 2,664	+ +	0.00 370	0 16
					FIS	CAL YEAR 2	2018-19			FISCAL YEAR	2019-2	20	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA	BILTIES/PROP [	DAM			PLANNED 4	ACTUAL     1	_	% 75	PLANNED		<u>+</u> CHA	NGE     0	<u>%</u> 0
	BILTIES/PROP [	DAM				İ	- 3				+	İ	
1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	BILTIES/PROP [	DAM			4	1	- 3	75	4	4	+	0	0
1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS)					4	1	- 3	75	4	4	+	0	0
<ol> <li>NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA</li> <li>PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP         <ol> <li>DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS)</li> </ol> </li> <li>PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY         <ol> <li>NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL &amp; PREVENTIO</li> <li>NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEME</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	ON PLANS REVI	EWED			4	1                         	- 3 + 0 + 0 + 0	75 0 0 0	4	4 1.4 4 1	_   +   +	0   0   0   0   0   0	0
NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP     DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS) PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY     NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIO     NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEME     NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & COU	ON PLANS REVI NTS ENTERED NSRV LAWS RE	EWED INTO VIEWED			4	1         	- 3 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	75 0 0 0 0	4	4	+   +   +	0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 0 0 0 0
NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP     DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS) PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY     NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC     NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEME     NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & COI     NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES	ON PLANS REVI NTS ENTERED NSRV LAWS RE	EWED INTO VIEWED			4 1.4 4 1 2 4	1   1.4   4   1   2   1	- 3 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 - 3	75 0 0 0 0 0 75	4 1.4 4 1 2 4	4 1.4 4 1 2 4	_   +   +   +   +   +   +   +	0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP     DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS) PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY     NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIO     NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEME     NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & COI     NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES     FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS)	ON PLANS REVI NTS ENTERED NSRV LAWS RE /INVESTGTNS F	EWED INTO VIEWED PERFRMD			4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100	1   1.4   1.4   4   1   2   1   50	- 3 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 - 3 - 50	75 0 0 0 0 75 50	4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100	4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100	_   +   +   +   +   +   +   +   +	0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP     DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS) PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY     NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIO     NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEME     NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & COI     NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES     FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS)     NO. OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RENE	DN PLANS REVI NTS ENTERED NSRV LAWS RE /INVESTGTNS F DERED (MAN-HC	EWED INTO VIEWED PERFRMD			4 1.4 4 1 2 4	1   1.4   1.4   4   1   2   1   50   400	- 3 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 - 3 - 50 - 100	75 0 0 0 0 75 50 20	4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100 500	4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100 500		0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP     DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS) PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY     NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIO     NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEME     NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & COI     NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES     FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS)     NO. OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RENE     NUMBER OF REPORTS AND MAPS PRE	DN PLANS REVI NTS ENTERED NSRV LAWS RE /INVESTGTNS F DERED (MAN-HC PARED	EWED INTO VIEWED PERFRMD DURS)			4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100	1   1.4   1.4   4   1   2   1   50	$\begin{array}{c c} - & 3 \\ + & 0 \\ + & 0 \\ + & 0 \\ + & 0 \\ - & 3 \\ - & 50 \\ - & 100 \\ - & 2 \end{array}$	75 0 0 0 0 75 50 20 100	4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100	4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100		0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP     DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS) PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY     NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIO     NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEME     NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & COI     NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES     FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS)     NO. OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RENE	DN PLANS REVI NTS ENTERED NSRV LAWS RE /INVESTGTNS F DERED (MAN-HC PARED	EWED INTO VIEWED PERFRMD DURS)			4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100	1   1.4   1.4   4   1   2   1   50   400	- 3 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 - 3 - 50 - 100	75 0 0 0 0 75 50 20	4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100 500	4 1.4 4 1 2 4 100 500		0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

## PROGRAM TITLE: PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

## PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19 variance due to budgeted unfilled positions under recruitment. The program has experienced difficulties in recruitment due to the prolonged historically low unemployment rate and lack of interested and qualified applicants. In order to fill its vacancy, the program is attempting to recruit certain positions at all levels.

The expenditure variance is due to staff vacancy and having an expenditure ceiling greater than the amount of Dam and Reservoir Safety Special Funds available.

### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: Variance due to storm frequency and intensity less than anticipated.

### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significance variance.

### PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Items 4, 5, and 6: Variances are due to storm frequency and intensity less than anticipated.

Item 7: Variance due to storm frequency and intensity less than anticipated and staff focus on other tasks.

Item 9: Variance due to staff vacancy and focus on other priority tasks, such as public outreach and training.

Item 10: One dam was decommissioned and removed from regulated status. As of the end of FY 19, all 131 regulated dams in the State had Emergency Action Plans on file with the program.

# STATE OF HAWAIIPROGRAM TITLE:AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERSPROGRAM-ID:DEF-110PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:090202

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	018-19		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-	19	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-20	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	- %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	239.00 95,479	189.00 36,604	- 50.00 - 58,875		239.00 11,020	182.00 11,020	- 57.00 + (		239.00 89,650	239.00 89,650	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	239.00 95,479	189.00 36,604	- 50.00 - 58,875		239.00 11,020	182.00 11,020	- 57.00 + (		239.00 89,650	239.00 89,650	+ 0.00 + 0	0 0
						CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
<ul> <li>PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS</li> <li>% OF HI-EMA DISASTER PLAN READINE</li> <li>% OF HI-EMA ORGANIZATION &amp; TRAINII</li> <li>% OF HI-EMA EMERGENCY SUPPORT S</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIARNG PERSONNEL READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIARNG LOGISTICS READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIANG TRAINING READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIANG TRAINING READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIANG TRAINING READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIANG LOGISTICS READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIANG LOGISTICS READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIANG LOGISTICS READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIANG LOGISTICS READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIANG LOGISTICS READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIANG LOGISTICS READINE</li> <li>PERCENT OF HIANG LOGISTICS READINE</li> <li>PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP</li> <li>RESIDENT POPULATION OF THE STATE</li> <li>AV DAILY VISITOR POPULATN IN THE S</li> </ul>	NG READINESS YSTEMS READ ADINESS NESS DINESS ESS NESS	INESS			75   75   82   86   78   91   95   90   90   90   1428   1428   228	75 80 86 78 91 95.3 90 90	$\begin{vmatrix} + & 0 \\ - & 2 \\ + & 0 \\ + $		75   75   82   86   78   91   95   90   90   90	86 78 91 95 90 90	+ 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   + 2   - 68   + 12	   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0 
<ul> <li>PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY</li> <li>1. NUMBER OF FUNCTIONAL MILITARY UN</li> <li>2. AMOUNT OF FEDERAL FUND SUPPORT</li> <li>3. NUMBER OF ARMORIES &amp; SUPPORT FA</li> <li>4. INVENTORY COST OF NAT GUARD EQU</li> <li>5. COST OF MILITARY SPPT TO CIVIL AUT</li> <li>6. ASSIGNED MILITARY STRENGTH (NUMI</li> <li>7. NUMBER OF HI-EMA PLANS UPDATED</li> <li>8. # OF PERSONS COMPLETING FORMAL</li> <li>9. NO. OF EMERGENCY SHELTER SPACES</li> <li>10. NUMBER OF WARNING DEVICES INSTA</li> </ul>	FOR MIL DEF ( CILITIES MAIN IP MAINTAIND( HORITIES (000' BER) HI-EMA TRAINII MAINTAINED	TAINED 000'S) S)			   50   84000   30   120000   210   2450   5450   1   33   268   40	83416 30 120000 NO DATA 5167 1	$\begin{vmatrix} - & 584 \\   + & () \\   + & () \\   - & 210 \\   - & 283 \\   + & () \\   + & 283 \\   + & () \\   + &$	0 0 0 0 0 100	   50   84000   30   120000   210   2450   5450   1   33   268   40	84000 30 120000 NO DATA 5450 1 34	+ 0   + 0   + 0   + 0   - 210   + 0   + 1   + 0   + 0	0   0   0   0   100   0   0   3   0   0

## PROGRAM TITLE: AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

## PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 19 & FY 20: The difference in budgeted and actual positions filled was caused by the inability to get eligible applicant listings in a timely manner. Also, as the economy improves, the private sector offers compensation that is higher than the pay of most vacant positions. Vacancy of these budgeted positions are anticipated to be filled in the coming quarters.

The expenditure variance was due to large federal funds spending spread over several years. Also, due to the nature of both natural and man-made disasters, emergency spending greatly varies from year to year.

### PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances.

### PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: Figures are based on the 2018 Hawaii Census.

Item 2: Figures are based on the 2018 Hawaii Tourism Authority Average Daily Census.

## PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2: Amount of federal support declined in the first quarter of FY 20 by 1%. This amount varies depending on grants and federal aid received.

Item 4: The variance is due to the extreme cost of modern military equipment (not included). The Department of Defense (DOD) plans to change the planned amounts to incorporate the new military equipment.

The current measure is too low. The unit is in thousands, and therefore, the measurement can only go to \$9.9 million ( $$1,000 \times 9,999$ ). However, the F22 Raptor is about \$150 million per aircraft (\$150 million x 18 = \$2.7 billion). This amount does not include the Army's and the rest of the Air

Guard's equipment.

Item 5: No data available as DOD no longer tracks these expenditures in this manner.

Item 6: Military personnel staff count varies depending on the need and disaster relief. It is anticipated to acquire the budgeted military personnel count in the succeeding quarters.