

TRANSPORTATION

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 03

FISCAL YEAR 2023-24 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-24 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25** % BUDGETED ESTIMATED ± CHANGE **BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % **BUDGETED** ACTUAL + CHANGE % **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 2.770.00 2.238.00 532.00 19 2.751.00 2.246.00 505.00 18 2.751.00 2.598.00 153.00 6 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 1,361,602 1,137,523 224,079 16 345,728 211,204 134,524 39 1,121,314 1,271,379 + 150,065 13 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 6 2.770.00 2.238.00 532.00 19 2.751.00 2.246.00 505.00 18 2.751.00 2.598.00 153.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 1,361,602 1,137,523 224,079 16 345,728 211,204 134,524 39 1,121,314 1,271,379 + 150,065 13 FISCAL YEAR 2023-24 FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 **PLANNED** ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVE TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDWN TO PASSNGR DEPRT(AIR) 19 19 0 0 19 19 | + 0 0 0 0 0 0 | + NO. ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSENGER MOVEMENTS(AIR) 0 | + 0 0 0 THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGER (AIR) 0 0 2116 0 0 2116 2116 | + 2116 | + NUMBER OF CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN TEU'S 1839840 1740957 98883 5 1876433 1876433 | + 0 0 TOTAL BAR. OF LIQ. CARGO PRCESD PER YR (THOUSANDS) 31421 29532 1889 6 31421 31421 | + 0 0 NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS REPORTED 0 0 0 1 | + 0 | + 0 1 0

PROGRAM TITLE: TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID:

AIR TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES AND SVCS

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0301

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-24	ļ	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1,369.00 791,780	1,162.00 663,253	- 207.00 - 128,527	15 16	1,369.00 225,102	1,190.00 124,426	- 179.00 - 100,676	13 45	1,369.00 639,735	1,267.00 740,410	- 102.00 + 100,675	7 16
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1,369.00 791,780	1,162.00 663,253	- 207.00 - 128,527	15 16	1,369.00 225,102	1,190.00 124,426	- 179.00 - 100,676	13 45	1,369.00 639,735	1,267.00 740,410	- 102.00 + 100,675	7 16
					FIS	CAL YEAR:	2023-24			FISCAL YEAR	2024-25	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%_
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN- 2. PERCENTAGE OF TSA WAIT TIMES LES 3. TOTAL THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASS 4. ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSENGER I		19 61 2116 0.32	19 61 2116 .32	•	 0 0 0	 19 61 2116 0.32	19 61 2116 .32	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 0				

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

72524 | - 239693

77

PROGRAM TITLE: DANIEL K. INOUYE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TRN-102
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030101

6. CIP IMPLEMENTATION

STATE OF HAWAII

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-24	ļ	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	667.00 250,279	562.00 231,955	- 105.00 - 18,324	16 7	662.00 67,051	564.00 37,280	- 98.00 - 29,771	15 44	662.00 188,834	596.00 218,605	- 66.00 + 29,771	10 16
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	667.00 250,279	562.00 231,955	- 105.00 - 18,324	16 7	662.00 67,051	564.00 37,280	- 98.00 - 29,771	15 44	662.00 188,834	596.00 218,605	- 66.00 + 29,771	10 16
				FIS	CAL YEAR	2023-24		L	FISCAL YEAR	2024-25		
		PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN- 2. % OF TSA WAIT TIME < 30 MINUTES FO 3. THROUGH PUT COST PER PASSENGER 4. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSI		35 100 650 1.5	80 1168 1.5	+ 518 + 0	 0 20 80 0	 35 100 650 1.5	1.5	+ 0 - 15 + 547 + 0				
 TOTAL OPERATING COST PER SQ. FT. CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SQ 	,	-TADLE			3700 50	7700 8	•	108 84	3700 55	7893 50	+ 4193 - 5	113
	HEDULED HIME	IADLE] 50	0	- 42	64	55	50	- 5	9
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 2. CARGO (THOUSANDS OF TONS) 3. AIR MAIL (THOUSANDS OF TONS) 4. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS) 5. WIDE BODY AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (F		19755 570 80 290 190		- 3 - 18 + 44	8 1 23 15 0	20750 625 80 305 198	21691 21691 572 62 338 192	+ 941 - 53 - 18 + 33 - 6	5 5 8 23 11			
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY		1		<u> </u>	1							
 RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP CARGO HANDLING AREA (1,000 SQ FT) VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING STA 		92 2700 5329		+ 0	0 0 0	92 2700 5329	92 2700 5329	+ 0 + 0 + 0	0 0 0			
 TERMINAL FACILITIES (1,000 SQ FT) WIDE BODY AIRCRAFT GATES 					3250 35	3250 35	+ 0 + 0	0 0	3250 35	3250 35	+ 0 + 0	0 0

312217 198877 | - 113340 |

36 |

312217

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 24: The position variance is due to difficulties in recruiting and filling vacant positions; the expenditure variance is due to vacancy savings, lower than anticipated fringe costs, and deferral of repair and maintenance projects.
- FY 25: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions; the expenditure variance is due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. The increase in passengers created longer lines through the Transportation Security Administration security checkpoints.

Items 3 and 5. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts. Changes in operating expenses and passenger counts should have been factored in when updating the planned amounts.

Item 6. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program. Due to project delays, completion of projects in FY 24 were less than projected.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Items 3 and 4. Planned amounts were not updated.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 6. Refer to Part II, Item No. 6.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-104
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030102

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-2	:4	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGI	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	31.00 9,602	30.00 8,863	- 1.00 - 739	3 8	31.00 3,665	30.00 2,212	- 1.00 - 1,453	1	31.00 12,132	30.00 13,585	- 1.00 + 1,453	3 12
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	31.00 9,602	30.00 8,863	- 1.00 - 739	3 8	31.00 3,665	30.00 2,212	- 1.00 - 1,453	1	31.00 12,132	30.00 13,585	- 1.00 + 1,453	3 12
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2023-24		<u> </u>	FISCAL YEAR	2024-25	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS 2. % OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH	HIN SCHEDULE	D TIME			 0 0	0 0		•	 0 0	0 100	 + 0 + 100	 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PRIVATE AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THO		296	334	+ 38	13	 310	333	+ 23	7			
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	ERATIONS				 38 12001	38 863	 + 0 - 11138		 38 12001	38 1095	 + 0 - 10906	 0 91

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL AVIATION

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 24: The expenditure variance is due to vacancy savings, lower than anticipated fringe costs, lower costs in other current expenses and deferral of repair and maintenance projects.
- FY 25: The expenditure variances are due to the delayed or deferred projects and expenses in the 1st quarter being done in the subsequent quarters.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The increase in private aircraft operations is due to underestimating the number of operations, which is reported by the air traffic control tower.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2. The variance is due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program.

PROGRAM TITLE:

HILO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID:

TRN-111 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030103 FISCAL VEAR 2023-24

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED 09-30-24	ļ	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	85.00 22,591	77.00 20,721	- 8.00 - 1,870	9 8	85.00 5,983	81.00 4,474	- 4.00 - 1,509	5 25	85.00 17,338	84.00 18,847	- 1.00 + 1,509	1 9
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	85.00 22,591	77.00 20,721	- 8.00 - 1,870	9 8	85.00 5,983	81.00 4,474	- 4.00 - 1,509	5 25	85.00 17,338	84.00 18,847	- 1.00 + 1,509	1 9
										FISCAL YEAR	2024-25	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN- 2. % OF TSA WAIT TIME < 30 MINUTES FO 3. THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGEF 4. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSE 5. TOTAL OPERATING COST PER SQ. FT. 6. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC		PLANNED 19 90 910 .10 5500	19	- 0.1 + 3536	0 6 70 100 64	910 910 910 5500 60	1588 0 9262	+ 0 + 0 + 678 - 0.1 + 3762 + 40	%			
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 2. CARGO (THOUSANDS OF TONS) 3. AIR MAIL (TONS) 4. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)		 1430 20 5760 44	1434 16 4711 45	- 4 - 1049	20 18	1430 25 5760 45	17 4758	+ 26 - 8 - 1002 - 1	2 32 17 2			
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CARGO HANDLING AREA (1,000 SQ FT) 3. VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING STA 4. TERMINAL FACILITIES (1,000 SQ FT) 5. CIP IMPLEMENTATION		 65 1020 705 250	65 1020 481 250 15077	- 224 + 0	 0 0 32 0	65 1020 705 250	1020 481 250	+ 0 + 0 - 224 + 0 + 19554	0 0 32 0			

PROGRAM TITLE: HILO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 24: The expenditure variance is due to vacancy savings, lower than anticipated fringe costs, and deferral of repair and maintenance projects.
- FY 25: The expenditure variances are due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Items 3-5. Changes in operating expenses and passenger counts were not factored in when updating the planned amounts.
- Item 6. The actual variance was due to delays in the projects' timetables. The estimated variance is due to underestimating the planned amount.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Items 2 and 3. The interisland cargo and mail traffic was overestimated in anticipation of the full operation of an interisland cargo carrier after its aircraft was grounded in prior years.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Items 3 and 6. The variance is due to incorrect planned amounts.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-114 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030104

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24			THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-24	1	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	102.00 27,570	79.00 25,546	- -	23.00 2,024	23 7	110.00 8,115	90.00 5,863	- 20.00 - 2,252	18 28	110.00 21,495	100.00 23,747	- 10.00 + 2,252	9 10
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	102.00 27,570	79.00 25,546	l .	23.00 2,024	23 7	110.00 8,115	90.00 5,863	- 20.00 - 2,252	18 28	110.00 21,495	100.00 23,747	- 10.00 + 2,252	9 10
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2023-24			FISCAL YEAR	2024-25	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
	I: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN-PASSENGER DEPARTURE % OF TSA WAIT TIME < 30 MINUTES FOR PASSENGER						:	 + 0 - 5	 0 5	 25 100	 25 95	+ 0 - 5	 0 5

		FIS	CAL TEAK	2023-24			FISCAL TEAR	2024-25	
		PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART	II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS								
1.	AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN-PASSENGER DEPARTURE	25	25	+ 0	0	25	25	+ 0	0
2.	% OF TSA WAIT TIME < 30 MINUTES FOR PASSENGER	100	95	- 5	5	100	95	- 5	5
3.	THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGER (CENTS)	670	627	- 43	6	670	643	- 27	4
4.	NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSENGER MVTS	.16	0	- 0.16	100	.16	0	- 0.16	100
5.	TOTAL OPERATING COST PER SQ. FT. (CENTS)	7000	7000	+ 0	0	7000	7175	+ 175	3
6.	% CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SCHEDULED TIMETABLE	50	0	- 50	100	55	100	+ 45	82
PART	III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	1		I					
1.	PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS)	4575	4160	- 415	j 9	4575	4259	316	7
2.	CARGO (THOUSAND OF TONS)	32	42	+ 10	31	34	42	+ 8	24
3.	AIR MAIL (TONS)	5440	4063	- 1377	25	5548	4104	- 1444	26
4.	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)	105	95	- 10	10	105	102	- 3	3
PART	IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY	1		I					
1.	RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OPERATIONS	60	60	+ 0	0	60	60	+ 0	0
2.	CARGO HANDLING AREA (SQ. FT.)	161000	161000	+ 0	0	161000	161000	+ 0	0
3.	VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING STALLS	1475	712	- 763	52	1475	712	- 763	52
4.	TERMINAL FACILITES (1,000 SQ FT)	200	200	+ 0	0	200	200	+ 0	0
5.	CIP IMPLEMENTATION	0	20243	+ 20243	0	0	14215	+ 14215	0

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 24: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions. The expenditure variance is due to vacancy savings, lower than anticipated fringe costs, and deferral of repair and maintenance projects.
- FY 25: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions; the expenditure and estimated variances are due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 4. Changes in passenger counts were not factored in when updating the planned amounts.
- Item 6. The variance is due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program (CIP).

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 2. Planned amounts were not updated.
- Item 3. Interisland mail traffic was overestimated in anticipation of the comeback of an interisland cargo carrier.
- Item 4. The planned amount for FY 24 was overestimated.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Item 3. The planned amount was not updated to reflect the terminal modernization improvements.
- Item 5. The variance is due to not updating the planned amounts of CIP.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-116
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030105

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24	4		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING	06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> 0	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	4.00 1,152	4.00 1,059	+	0.00 93	0 8	4.00 305	4.00 280	+	0.00 25	0 8	4.00 886	4.00 911	+	0.00 25	0 3
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	4.00 1,152	4.00 1,059	+	0.00 93	0 8	4.00 305	4.00 280	+	0.00 25	0 8	4.00 886	4.00 911	+	0.00 25	0
				•		FIS	CAL YEAR	2023-	24			FISCAL YEAR	2024	1-25	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CF	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± Cl	HANGE	<u>%</u>
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSE 2. % OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH		D TIME				 0 0	0 0		0 0	0 0	0	0 100	+	0 100	0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 2. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)	1					9	8 2	 - +	1 0	11 0	10 2	8 2	- +	2 0	20 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	ERATIONS					 55 0	6 0	•	49 0	89 0	55 0	6 0	- +	49 0	 89 0

PROGRAM TITLE: WAIMEA-KOHALA AIRPORT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 24: The expenditure variance is due to deferral of repair and maintenance projects and the lower expenses for operations and activity at the airport.

FY 25: No significant variances.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. FY 25 planned amount does not reflect correct anticipated completion of projects.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The small number (in thousands) makes any variance significant on a percentage basis.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The variance is due to overestimating planned amounts; there has been a reduced number of flights per day.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-118
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030106

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24	1		THREE N	ONTHS EN	IDED 0	9-30-24		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-3	0-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cŀ	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHAN	IGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	0.00 51	0.00 10	+	0.00 41	0 80	0.00 25	0.00	+	0.00	0 88	0.00 26	0.00 48	+ 0+	00 22	0 85
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	0.00 51	0.00 10	+	0.00 41	0 80	0.00 25	0.00	+	0.00	0 88	0.00 26	0.00 48	+ 0+	00 22	0 85
						FIS	CAL YEAR :	2023-24	4			FISCAL YEAR	2024-25		
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CH/	ANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHAN	3E	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 SQ. FT 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC		ETABLE				 0 0	0 0 0	+	0 0 0	0 0	0	0 0	+	0 0	0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)						 0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	ERATIONS					 26 0	0 0		26 0	100 0	26 0	0 0	- +	 26 0	100 0

PROGRAM TITLE: UPOLU AIRPORT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: The actual expenditure variance is due to the decrease of activity and expenditures at the airport.

FY 25: The expenditure variances is due to the deferral of purchases and services in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variances to report.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. Capacity/usage is dependent upon military training/exercises at the airport.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-131 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030107

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-24	ļ	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	185.00 46,877	168.00 38,745		9 17	183.00 13,831	171.00 9,934	- 12.00 - 3,897	7 28	183.00 34,245	175.00 38,142	- 8.00 + 3,897	4 11
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	185.00 46,877	168.00 38,745	- 17.00 - 8,132	9 17	183.00 13,831	171.00 9,934	- 12.00 - 3,897	7 28	183.00 34,245	175.00 38,142	- 8.00 + 3,897	4 11
										FISCAL YEAR	2024-25	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN- 2. %OF TSA WAIT TIME < 30 MINUTES FOR 3. THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGER 4. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSE 5. TOTAL OPERATING COST PER SQ. FT. 6 6. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SCOUNTY PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS)		PLANNED 20 85 500 1 6200 50	30 94 512 1 10978	 + 0 + 4778	% 50 11 2 0 77 100	20 88 500 1 6200	20 97 525 1 11252 50	± CHANGE + 0 + 9 + 25 + 0 + 5052 - 5	% 0 10 10 5 0 81 9 21			
 CARGO (THOUSANDS OF TONS) AIR MAIL (TONS) AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS) 		44 9650 125	47 6167 123	- 3483	7 36 2	45 9650 125	47 6228 128	- 3422	4 35 2			
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CARGO HANDLING AREA (1,000 SQ FT) 3. VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING STA 4. TERMINAL FACILITIES (1,000 SQ FT) 5. CIP IMPLEMENTATION		71 104 1200 373 34700		+ 0	 0 1 12 0	1200	71 105 1345 373 35109	+ 0	0 1 12 0 1			

PROGRAM TITLE: KAHULUI AIRPORT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 23: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions. Although not a significant percentage variance, the expenditure variance is due to vacancy savings, lower than anticipated fringe costs, and deferral of repair and maintenance projects.

FY 24: The position variance is due to delays in establishing, recruiting, and filling vacant positions; the expenditure and estimated variances are due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Items 1, 2, and 5. Changes in operating expenses and passenger counts should have been factored in when updating the planned amounts.

Item 6. Due to project schedule delays, fewer projects closed (or will close) on time.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Items 1 and 3. The wildfires on Maui severely affected the air traffic (movements of passengers, mail, and aircraft operations), with airlines suspending flights to the island.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Items 3 and 5. The variance is due to incorrect planned amounts.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-133
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030108

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24	1		THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED 0	9-30-24		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING (06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cl	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 564	3.00 514	+	0.00 50	0	3.00 151	3.00 95	+	0.00 56	0 37	3.00 456	3.00 512	+	0.00 56	0 12
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 564	3.00 514	+	0.00 50	0 9	3.00 151	3.00 95	+	0.00 56	0 37	3.00 456	3.00 512	++	0.00 56	0 12
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2023-24	4			FISCAL YEAR	2024-	-25	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH/	ANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CH	IANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSI 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC		TABLE				 0 0	0 0	 + +	0 0	0	0	0 100	+	0 100	0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 2. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS))					 5 2	4 2	 - +	1 0	20 0	5 2	7 2	+	2 0	40 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	ERATIONS					 36 0	36 0	 + +	0 0 0	0	36 0	36 0	+	0 0 0	0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: HANA AIRPORT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: No significant variances.

FY 25: The actual and estimated expenditure variances are due to delays in purchases and lower than anticipated expenses in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The Maui wildfires affected the passenger counts. The smaller numbers will reflect a significant variance on a percentage basis.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances to report.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-135 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030109

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED 09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS												_
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	12.00 2,909	10.00 2,157	- 2.00 - 752	17 26	12.00 773	10.00 485	- 2.00 - 288	17 37	12.00 2,217	12.00 2,505	+ 0.00 + 288	0 13
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	12.00 2,909	10.00 2,157	- 2.00 - 752	17 26	12.00 773	10.00 485	- 2.00 - 288	17 37	12.00 2,217	12.00 2,505	+ 0.00 + 288	0 13
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2023-24			FISCAL YEAR	2024-25	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSE 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC		TABLE			 1 0	0 0 0	 - 1	 100 0	 1 0	0 100	 - 1 + 100	 100 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP					<u>.</u> I			<u> </u>	<u>. </u>		<u>'</u>	<u></u> -
PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)					 20 3	5 2		75 33	20 I 3	5 2	 - 15 - 1	75 33
	'				<u> </u>		•				'	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	ERATIONS				 37 0	5 0		 86 0	 37 0	5 0	- 32 + 0	 86 0

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: The small amount of positions makes any variance significant on a percentage basis. The expenditure variance is due to lower than anticipated fringe costs and deferral of repair and maintenance projects.

FY 25: The small amount of positions makes any variance significant on a percentage basis. The actual and estimated variances are due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter carrying over into the subsequent quarters.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. The actual number of accidents was significantly less per 100,000 movements.

Item 2. The FY 25 planned percentage was underestimated.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Items 1 and 2. The Maui wildfires affected the passenger counts and aircraft operations. The smaller numbers will reflect a significant variance on a percentage basis.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The Maui wildfires affected the aircraft operations. The smaller numbers will reflect a significant variance on a percentage basis.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-141 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030110

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-24	ļ.	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 3,739	13.00 3,203	- 2.00 - 536	13 14	15.00 1,028	14.00 698	- 1.00 - 330	7 32	15.00 2,794	14.00 3,124	- 1.00 + 330	7 12
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 3,739	13.00 3,203		13 14	15.00 1,028	14.00 698	- 1.00 - 330	7 32	15.00 2,794	14.00 3,124	- 1.00 + 330	7 12
						CAL YEAR 2				FISCAL YEAR		
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSE 2. % OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH		D TIME			 0 0	0 0		 0 0	 0 0	0 100	+ 0 + 100	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP					1							
 PASSENGERS(THOUSANDS) 					194	173	•	11	197	178	- 19	10
2. CARGO (TONS)					2234	2485	•	11	2235	2509	+ 274	12
3. AIR MAIL (TONS)					910	599		34	915	605		34
4. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)					33	34	+ 1	3	34	35	+ 1	3
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY					<u>_</u> _				<u></u>	!		! <u> </u>
RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP	ERATIONS				75	33	- 42	56	75	35	- 40	53
2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION					0	1926	+ 1926	0	0	9171	+ 9171	0

PROGRAM TITLE: MOLOKAI AIRPORT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: The small number of positions makes any variance significant on a percentage basis. The expenditure variance is due to deferral of maintenance projects and the lower than anticipated expenses on the operations and activity at the airport.

FY 25: The actual and estimated expenditure variances are due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. The FY 25 planned percentage was underestimated.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts.

Item 2. A cargo carrier made a limited engagement of cargo flights to Molokai.

Item 3. Interisland mail traffic was overestimated in anticipation of the full comeback of an interisland cargo carrier.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The variances are due to overestimating the planned amounts.

Item 2. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program. TRN-143

PROGRAM-ID:

PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY

2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION

1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OPERATIONS

REPORT V61 12/3/24

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24	4		THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED	09-30-24		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25					
	BUDGETED ACTUAL ± CHANGE %				%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE %			BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE		%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)																
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 488	2.00 370	+	0.00 118	0 24	2.00 129	2.00 97	+	0.00 32	0 25	2.00 390	2.00 422	+ +	0.00 32	0 8	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 488	2.00 370		0.00 118	0 24	2.00 129	2.00 97	+	0.00 32	0 25	2.00 390	2.00 422	++	0.00 32	0 8	
						FISCAL YEAR 2023-24					FISCAL YEAR 2024-25					
					İ	PLANNED	ACTUAL	± Cl	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CH/	ANGE	%	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SCHEDULED TIMETABLE						0	0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0	0	0 100	++	0 100	 0 0	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 2. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)						17 3	8 3	 - +	9 0	53 0	18 4	9 3	-	9	 50 25	

75

0

13 | -

0 | +

83

0

62

0 |

75

0

13 | -

0 | +

62

0 |

83

0

PROGRAM TITLE: KALAUPAPA AIRPORT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 24: The expenditure variance is due to the deferral of expenses and lower than anticipated fringe benefit costs.
- FY 25: The actual and estimated variances are due to the delayed or deferred expenditures from the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-151 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030112

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-2	24	NINE				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHAN	SE %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGI	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	14.00 4,155	14.00 3,271		00 0 84 21	14.00 1,202	14.00 666	+ 0.00 - 536	1	14.00 3,058	14.00 3,594		0.00 536	0 18
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	14.00 4,155	14.00 3,271		00 0 84 21	14.00 1,202	14.00 666	+ 0.00 - 536	-	14.00 3,058	14.00 3,594).00 536	0 18
	l.							FISCAL YEAR 2023-24					
DART II MEAGUREO OF FEFFOTIVENEGO					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHAN	GE	<u>%</u>
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSE 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC		TABLE			0 0	0 0		•	 0 0	0 100	 + +	 0 100	0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP					<u> </u>		<u> </u>	ļ _	<u> </u>			_ [
 PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) CARGO (TONS) 					107 2400	114 3007			110 2400	116 3037	+ +	6 637	5 27
3. AIR MAIL (TONS)					1 451	310	•		I 451	313		138	31
4. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)					25	26					 +	1	4
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY												I	ı
RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP	ERATIONS				56		+ 0		56	56	+	0	0
2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION					0	2975	+ 2975	0	0	0	+	0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: LANAI AIRPORT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 24: The expenditure variance is due to lower than anticipated fringe costs, and deferral of purchases and repair and maintenance projects.
- FY 25: The expenditure variances are due to the deferral and delay of purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. The variance is due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Items 2 and 3. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2. The variance is due to not updating the planned amount for the capital improvement program.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-161 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030113

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE N	ONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-24		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25						
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%			
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	115.00 28,989	108.00 26,130	- 7.00 - 2,859	6 10	115.00 9,043	111.00 7,482	- 4.00 - 1,561	3 17	115.00 21,261	113.00 22,822	- 2.00 + 1,561	2 7			
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	115.00 28,989	108.00 26,130	- 7.00 - 2,859	6 10	115.00 9,043	111.00 7,482	- 4.00 - 1,561	3 17	115.00 21,261	113.00 22,822	- 2.00 + 1,561	2 7			
					FIS	CAL YEAR :	2023-24								
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN- 2. % OF TSA WAIT TIMES < 30 MINUTES F		_			 20 85		 + 0 + 10	 0 12	 20 85	20 85	+ 0 + 0	 0 0			
3. THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGER		· ·			l 900		- 166	12	l 900	752 I	- 148	0 16			
4. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSI	'				0		+ 0	0	0	0	+ 0	0			
TOTAL OPERATING COST PER SQ. FT.	` ,				2700	3294	+ 594	22	2700	3376	+ 676	25			
6. % OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH	HIN SCHEDULE	D TIME			50	0	- 50	100	J 50	100	+ 50	100			
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP					1				1						
 PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 					4205	3655	550	13	4205	3875	- 330	8			
2. CARGO (TONS)					35000	28766	•	18	35000	29054	- 5946	17			
3. AIR MAIL (TONS)					4400	3404		23	4400	3438	- 962	22			
4. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)					116	128	+ 12	10	116	127	+ 11	9			
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY										I					
RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP	ERATIONS				110	110		0	110	110	+ 0	0			
 CARGO HANDLING AREA (SQ FT) VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING STA 	VI I S				757000 575	757000 575	•	0 0	757000 575	757000 575	+ 0 + 0	0 0			
4. TERMINAL FACILITIES (1,000 SQ FT)	ALLO				575 88		+) 0 0	575 88	88	+ 0	0 0			
5. CIP IMPLEMENTATION					9451	2975		69	10000	7099	- 2901	29			

PROGRAM TITLE: LIHUE AIRPORT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 24: The expenditure variance is due to lower than anticipated payroll expenses and deferral of expenditures and repair and maintenance projects.
- FY 25: The expenditure variance is due to delayed expenses and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Items 2, 3, and 5. Changes in operating expenses and passenger counts should have been factored in when updating the planned amounts.

Item 6. The variance is due to incorrect planned amount for the capital improvement program. In FY 25, all projects are expected to close on time.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

The actual and estimated variances are due to incorrect planned amounts. Cargo and air mail was overestimated. With the worldwide easing of travel restrictions, there has been an increase in flights.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 5. The variance is due to incorrect planned amounts.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-163 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030114

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-2	4		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-24		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25					
	BUDGETED	ETED ACTUAL ± CHANGE			%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE		%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE		%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)																
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	0.00 2	0.00 0	+	0.00	0 100	0.00	0.00	+	0.00	0 100	0.00 1	0.00 1	+	0.00	0 0	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	0.00 2	0.00	+	0.00 2	0 100	0.00	0.00	+	0.00 1	0 100	0.00	0.00 1	+	0.00	0	
						FISCAL YEAR 2023-24				FISCAL YEAR 2024-25						
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CH/	ANGE	%	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SCHEDULED TIMETABLE					0	0 100	 + +	0 100	0 0	0	0 100	 + +	0 100	0 0		
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PRIVATE AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)						2	0.2	 -	1.8	90	2	0.2	-	1.8	90	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OPERATIONS 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION						NO DATA 1		 + +	0 507	0	NO DATA 0	NO DATA 0	 + +	0 0 0	0	

PROGRAM TITLE: PORT ALLEN AIRPORT

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24 and FY 25: The expenditure variances are due to any expenses being absorbed by Lihue Airport.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. The variances are due to not updating the planned amount for the capital improvement program.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. No data collection is available.

Item 2. The variances are due to not updating the planned amount for the capital improvement program.

VARIANCE REPORT STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE: AIRPORTS ADMINISTRATION

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-195 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030115

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-2	24		THREE	MONTHS EN	09-30-24		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25						
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± (CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)																
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	134.00 392,812	92.00 300,709	-	42.00 92,103	31 23	133.00 113,800	96.00 54,857	- -	37.00 58,943	28 52	133.00 334,602	120.00 393,545	- +	13.00 58,943	10 18	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	134.00 392,812	92.00 300,709		42.00 92,103	31 23	133.00 113,800	96.00 54,857	-	37.00 58,943	28 52	133.00 334,602	120.00 393,545	- +	13.00 58,943	10 18	
						FISCAL YEAR 2023-24					FISCAL YEAR 2024-25					
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> +</u> C	CHANGE	%	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. ADMIN COSTS REL. TO TOTAL PROG COSTS (%)						 44	45	 +	 1	2	 45	52	+	7	16	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. ADMIN PERSONNEL (NO. OF PERSONS) 2. DIVISIONAL PERSONNEL (NO. OF PERSONS)						 133 1393	92 1186	 - -	 41 207	31 15	133 1393	 120 1267	 - -	13 126	10 9	

PROGRAM TITLE: AIRPORTS ADMINISTRATION

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions. The expenditure variance is due to savings from vacancies, collective bargaining, and deferral and savings of other expenses.

FY 25: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions. The expenditure variance is due to savings from vacancies and other expenses, and the deferral of expenditures in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No program target groups have been developed for this program.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Items 1-2. The variances are due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions.

VARIANCE REPORT STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE: WATER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES AND SERVICES

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0302

PROGRAM-ID:

FISCAL YEAR 2023-24 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-24 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25 BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % **BUDGETED** ACTUAL + CHANGE % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 252.00 252.00 + 0.00 0 232.00 232.00 0.00 0 232.00 232.00 0.00 0 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 130,534 123,860 6,674 5 33,467 25,979 7,488 22 117,868 122,314 4,446 4 + **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 0 0 252.00 252.00 232.00 232.00 0.00 0 232.00 232.00 0.00 0.00 5 22 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 130,534 123,860 6,674 33,467 25,979 7,488 117,868 122,314 4,446 4 FISCAL YEAR 2023-24 FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 **PLANNED** ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 0 1. NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS/INCIDENTS 1 | + 1 0 0 0 | + 0 0 NUMBERS OF CONTAINERS PROCESSED EXPRESSED IN TEU'S 1839840 1740957 | -98883 5 I 1876433 1876433 | + 0 | 0

REPORT V61

12/3/24

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PROGRAM TITLE: HONOLULU HARBOR TRN-301

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030201

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24			THREE	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING	3 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	120.00 26,691	120.00 24,898	1	0.00 1,793	0 7	101.00 6,831	101.00 4,439	+	0.00 2,392	0 35	101.00 27,395	101.00 29,103	+	0.00 1,708	0 6
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	120.00 26,691	120.00 24,898		0.00 1,793	0 7	101.00 6,831	101.00 4,439	+	0.00 2,392	0 35	101.00 27,395	101.00 29,103	++	0.00 1,708	0 6
						FIS	CAL YEAR	202	23-24			FISCAL YEAR	202	24-25	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM COST PER TON OF CARGO 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS REPO 4. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS PE	RTED					2.24 40551 0 1980	2.5 41549 1 2290	 + + +	CHANGE 0.26 998 1 310	% 12 2 0 16	PLANNED 2.24 41362 0 1980	2.83 42795 0 2290	<u>+</u> C + + +	CHANGE 0.59 1433 0 310	% 26 3 0 16
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - INTER! 2. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DOMES 3. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND 4. NO. OF PASSENGERS 5. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP CALLS		5552779 1101593 3062748 53026 27	1148288 5489070 3334405 331990 145	 + +		79 398 9 526 437	5663835 1123625 3124003 54086	1182737 5653742 3434437 331990 145		4481098 4530117 310434 277904 118	79 403 10 514 437				
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) 2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						30490 30 210	30490 30 210	<u> </u>	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	 30490 30	30490 30 210	 + +	0 0 0	0 0 0

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: No position variances. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in filling hard-to-fill vacant positions and the planned expenditure for the District that increased the abandoned vessel services as the current budget has proven insufficient when dealing with larger vessels. In issuing the request for proposals for the removal of the Falls of Clyde from Honolulu Harbor, the conditional award totaled \$2,500,000. Unfortunately, the conditional award was canceled due to the conditions not being met by the awardee. Due to various environmental and historical guidelines, the request for proposal was not reposted until late FY 24 which the Harbors Division could not encumber in time for FY 24, creating a reduction of planned expenditures of approximately \$1,800,000, which equated to 7% less expenditures.

FY 25: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter (Q1), amounting to approximately \$2,392,000, or 35% was mainly due to timing. Because the full-year appropriation and allotments were not entered into FAMIS (the accounting system used by the State) in time to apply payments to our Energy Savings Lease (ESL), although the ESL was encumbered in Q1, Harbors Division needed to prioritize and pay other contracts/bills which were planned during the end of FY 24. Although the budgeted amount for the ESL was \$2,143,634, which had sufficient allotments, we could not pay because other smaller harbor programs didn't have sufficient remaining allotments during Q1; thus Harbors Division needed to wait until the second quarter allotments became available so all programs had sufficient allotments available. The overall expenditures for FY25 are anticipated to be approximately \$33,542,000 compared to the planned \$34,226,000, or 98%.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was \$2.24, but

the FY 24 actual was \$2.50, a variance of \$0.26 or 12%. The increase was due to the planned amount of \$2.24 not being changed from FY 23 although an anticipated increase in FY 24 expenditures existed. FY 25: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is \$2.24, estimated to be \$2.83, a variance of \$0.59 or 26%. The increase was due to the planned amount of \$2.24 not being changed from FY 23 although an anticipated increase in FY 24 and FY 25 expenditures existed.

A factor that contributed to the higher program cost per ton of cargo for Honolulu Harbor was a requested appropriation increase of approximately \$4,900,000 to ensure the safety of harbor users and the flow of cargo by boosting its 'routine maintenance' services. The harbor's pier and cargo vards were initially built 75 to 100 years ago to handle the transportation of sugar and pineapples via rail cars. Imported cargo was discharged using slings and hand trucks. Over the decades Harbors Division made significant improvements in maintaining its pier and container cargo yards. However, the cargo yards were not designed for modern top handlers that can stack 40-foot containers three (3) or five (5) high and lift, up to 90,000 pounds. The pavement requires constant and more frequent maintenance given the wear and deterioration caused by this heavy equipment. Harbors Division's budget request is to support paving contracts to maintain cargo yards; licensed electrical services to support high mast lighting in the cargo yards, passenger terminals security systems; licensed plumbing services for qualified Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) maintenance personnel, and other qualified service trades. Harbors Division's budget request is essential for the safety of harbor users and port employees by reducing the risk of accidents and injuries. Additional funds for Closed-Circuit Television (CCTV) camera maintenance are required to keep the facilities secure. As a port, we are required to follow the Maritime Transportation Security Act.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 40,551 but FY 24 actual was 41,549, a variance of 998 or 2%. FY 25: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 41,362, with an estimated amount of 42,795, a variance of 1,433 or 3%.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 0, but there was one incident/accident reported.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 1,980 but FY 24 actual is 2,290, a variance of 310 or 16%. This increase was due to larger cruise ships with higher passenger capacity. FY 25: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 1,980, with an estimated amount of 2,290, a variance of 310 or 16%. This anticipated increase is due to larger cruise ships with higher passenger capacity.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 5,552,779. This item might be mislabeled or the amounts for Domestic and International switched; as for TRN 301, Honolulu Harbor, Domestic Tons of Cargo are significantly larger than International Tons of Cargo. Therefore, the variance should be 1,101,593 planned versus 1,148,288 actual, a variance of 46,695 or 4.24%. International Cargo tonnage significantly increased. FY 25: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 5,663,835. This item might be mislabeled or the amounts for Domestic and International switched; as for TRN 301, Honolulu Harbor, International Tons of Cargo are significantly smaller than Domestic Tons of Cargo. Therefore, the variance should be 1,123,625 planned versus 1,182,737 estimated, a variance of 59,112 or 5.26%. International Cargo tonnage significantly increased.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 1,101,593. This item might be mislabeled or the amounts for International and Domestic switched; as for TRN 301, Honolulu Harbor, Domestic Tons of Cargo are significantly larger than International Tons of Cargo. Therefore, the variance should be 5,552,779 planned versus 5,489,070, a variance of 63,709 or 1.15%. Domestic Cargo tonnage decreased slightly. FY 25: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 1,123,625. This item might be mislabeled or the amounts for Domestic and International switched; as for TRN 301, Honolulu Harbor, International Tons of Cargo are significantly smaller than Domestic Tons of Cargo. Therefore, the variance should be 5,663,835 planned versus 5,653,742, a

variance of 10,093 or 0.18%. Domestic Cargo tonnage decreased slightly.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 3,062,748, with FY 24 actual at 3,334,405, a variance of 271,657 or 9%. Interisland Cargo tonnage increased moderately. FY 25: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 3,124,003, with the estimated being 3,434,437, a variance of 310,434 or 10%. Interisland Cargo tonnage increased modestly.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 53,026 but FY 24 actual was 331,990, a variance of 278,9645 or 526%. Larger cruise ships and more arrivals provided for an increase in total passengers. FY 25: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 54,086, with the estimated being 331,990, a variance of 277,904 or 437%. Larger cruise ships and more arrivals provided for an increase in total passengers.

Item 5. FY 24: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 27 but FY 24 actual is 145, a variance of 118 or 437%. As the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic reduce, people have begun to take cruises again which is increasing the amount of cruise ship calls to the Honolulu Harbor almost back to pre-pandemic levels. FY 25: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 27, with the estimated being 145, a variance of 118 or 437%. As the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic continue to reduce, people are taking cruises again which is increasing the amount of cruise ship calls to the Honolulu Harbor almost back to pre-pandemic levels.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030202

PROGRAM TITLE: KALAELOA BARBERS POINT HARBOR PROGRAM-ID: TRN-303

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24			THREE	MONTHS EN	NDEI	D 09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING	06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± (CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	6.00 1,632	6.00 1,465		0.00 167	0 10	6.00 412	6.00 357	+	0.00 55	0 13	6.00 1,955	6.00 1,963	++	0.00	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	6.00 1,632	6.00 1,465		0.00 167	0 10	6.00 412	6.00 357	+	0.00 55	0 13	6.00 1,955	6.00 1,963	+	0.00	0 0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2023	3-24			FISCAL YEAR	202	4-25	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> </u>	HANGE	<u>%</u>
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM COST PER TON OF CARGO 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS REPO		NER YARD				 0.35 73262 0	.47 59282 0		0.12 13980 0	34 19 0	0.35 74727 0	.67 61061 0	 + - +	0.32 13666 0	91 18 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - INTERI 2. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DOMES 3. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND	_					 2015605 378665 1446841	1368880 334521 1404773		646725 44144 42068	32 12 3	2055918 386238 1475777	1409946 344557 1446916	 - -	645972 41681 28861	31 11 2
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) 2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						 2990 0.83 51.60	2990 .83 51.6	+	0 0 0	0 0 0	2990 0.83 51.60	2990 .83 51.6	 + +	0 0 0	0 0 0

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: No position variances. The expenditure budget was \$1,632 with the actual of \$1,465, a variance of \$167 or 10%. Expenditure variance was slightly due to the loss of The AES Corporation as a tenant.

FY 25: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter (Q1), amounting to approximately \$55,000, or 13% was mainly due to timing. Because the full-year appropriation and allotments were not entered into FAMIS (the accounting system used by the State) in time to apply payments to our Energy Savings Lease (ESL), although the ESL was encumbered in the Q1, the Harbors Division needed to prioritize and pay other contracts/bills which were planned during the end of FY 24. Although the budgeted amount for the ESL was \$148,312, because this is a smaller harbor, there were insufficient allotments, and we could not pay from this program; thus, Harbors Division needed to wait until the second quarter allotments became available, so all programs had sufficient allotments available. The overall expenditures for FY 25 are anticipated to be approximately \$2,320,000 compared to the planned \$2,367,000, or 98%.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Kalaeloa Barbers Point Harbor (KBPH) was 0.35, but the FY 24 actual was 0.47, a variance of 0.12 or 34%. There seems to be an error in the calculation of the planned amount of 0.35 since 1,632,000/ (2,015,605+378,665+1,446,841) = 0.43. This would make the variance of \$0.04 or 9%. FY 25: The planned amount for KBPH is 0.35, estimated to be 0.67, a variance of \$0.32 or 91%. However, there seems to be an error in the calculation of the planned amount of 0.35 since 2,367,000 divided by (2,055,918+386,238+1,475,777) equals 0.60. This would make the variance of \$0.07 or 9%.

A factor that contributed to the higher program cost per ton of cargo for KBPH was a requested appropriation increase for FY 25 due to Kapolei being designated as Oahu's second city, a vast majority of construction materials and other warehoused goods arrive at Kalaeloa for delivery and distribution. KBPH supports a vast majority of bulk fuel activities due to PAR Pacific, Aloha Petroleum, IES, and Hawaii Gas having infrastructure in place. A request for an allotment for routine maintenance (electrical, plumbing, fencing, and pavement) in the amount of \$450,000 was added to operating expenses.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for KBPH was 73,262 but FY 24 actual is 59,282, a variance of 13,980 or 19%. The decrease was due to the closure of The AES Corporation coal plant located at Kalaeloa, which was international cargo. FY 25: The planned amount for KBPH is 74,727, with an estimated amount of 61,061, a variance of 13,666 or 18%. Because the budgeted amount was not adjusted for the closure of the coal plant, the decrease continues into FY 25.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

KBPH lost one of its top production tenants, The AES Corporation, KBPH was its home for delivery of coal up to September 1, 2022.

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for KBPH was 2,015,605 but FY 24 actual is 1,368,880, a variance of 646,725 or 32%. This was due to the closure of the coal plant located at Kalaeloa in FY 23. FY 25: The planned amount for KBPH is 2,055,918, with an estimated amount of 1,409,946, a variance of 645,972 or 31%. The reduction is the same reason as above.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for KBPH was 378,665 but FY 24 actual is 334,521, a variance of 44,144 or 12%. FY 25: The planned amount for KBPH is 386,238, with an estimated amount of 344,5578, a variance of 41,681 or 11%. With the smaller harbors, even a slight decline in any market affects the overall harbor.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned amount for KBPH was 1,446,841 but FY 24

PROGRAM TITLE: KALAELOA BARBERS POINT HARBOR

actual is 1,404,773, a variance of 42,068 or 3%. The variance is due to a slight decrease in interisland cargo received at KBPH. FY 25: The planned amount for KBPH is 1,475,777, with an estimated amount of 1,446,916, a variance of 28,861 or 2%. The variance is due to a slight decrease in interisland cargo received at KBPH.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances.

TRN-311 PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030204

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24			THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	G 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 3,073	15.00 2,937	+	0.00 136	0 4	15.00 788	15.00 595	+	0.00 193	0 24	15.00 3,743	15.00 3,845	+	0.00 102	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 3,073	15.00 2,937	+	0.00 136	0 4	15.00 788	15.00 595	+	0.00 193	0 24	15.00 3,743	15.00 3,845	++	0.00 102	0 3
						FIS	CAL YEAR	202	3-24			FISCAL YEAR	202	24-25	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM COST PER TON OF CARGO 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS REPO 4. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS PE	RTED					PLANNED 2.08 42684 0 2272	2.06 52039 0 2008	 - + +	CHANGE 0.02 9355 0 264	% 1 22 0 12	2.08 43537 0 2272	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> (+ + +	0.54 10063 0 264	% 26 23 0 12
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - INTERI 2. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DOMES 3. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND 4. NO. OF PASSENGERS 5. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP CALLS	-					21373 60261 1088754 47500	98363	 + +	4325 38102 214103 191479 98	20 63 20 403 467	21800 61466 1110529 48450 21	1341942 238979	 + + +	4669 39848 231413 190529 98	21 65 21 393 467
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) 2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						 3351 2.02 25.4	3351 2.02 25.4	+	0 0 0	0 0 0	3351 2.02 25.4		 + +	0 0 0	

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: No significant variances.

FY 25: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter (Q1), amounting to approximately \$193,000, or 24% was mainly due to timing. Because the full-year appropriation and allotments were not entered into FAMIS (the accounting system used by the State) in time to apply payments to our Energy Savings Lease (ESL), although the ESL was encumbered in Q1, the Harbors Division needed to prioritize and pay other contracts/bills which were planned during the end of FY 24. Although the budgeted amount for the ESL was \$116,868, which had sufficient allotments, we could not pay because other smaller harbor programs didn't have sufficient remaining allotments during Q1; thus Harbors Division needed to wait until the second quarter allotments became available so all programs had sufficient allotments available. The overall expenditures for FY 25 are anticipated to be approximately \$4,440,000 compared to the planned \$4,531,000, or 98%.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. FY 24: No significant variance. FY 25: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 2.08, with an estimated amount of 2.62, for a variance of 0.54 or 26%. A factor that contributed to the higher program cost per ton of cargo for Hilo Harbor was a requested appropriation increase of approximately \$1,000,000 to support repairs to current security Closed Circuit TV (CCTV) camera systems. Harbors Division makes repairs to the CCTV camera systems with exterior cameras in container terminals, fence lines, gates, and passenger terminals. The CCTV camera systems support monitoring security in and around the harbors including monitoring entrance channels and waterside monitoring of vessel activity. The systems are exposed to the marine saltwater environment making repairs necessary and often causing catastrophic failures to components,

prior to the end of useable life. Harbors Division's budget request is to support paving contracts to maintain cargo yards, licensed electrical services to support high mast lighting in the cargo yards, passenger terminals security systems, licensed plumbing, qualified Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) maintenance personnel, and other qualified service trades. Harbors Division's budget request is essential for the safety of harbor users and port employees by reducing the risk of accidents and injuries. Harbors Division requests an appropriation increase to ensure the safety of harbor users and the flow of cargo by boosting its routine maintenance services. The Harbor's pier and cargo yards were initially built as stone piers in 1861, and the U.S. Government built the two-mile-long breakwater and rebuilt it after the 1946 and 1960 tsunami to receive the export of sugar via rail cars. Imported cargo was discharged using slings and hand trucks. Over the decades Harbors Division made significant improvements in maintaining its pier and container cargo yards. However, the cargo yards were not designed for modern top handlers that can stack containers three (3) or five (5) high 40-foot containers and lift, up to 90,000 pounds. The pavement requires constant and more frequent maintenance given the wear and deterioration caused by this heavy equipment.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 42,684 but FY 24 actual was 52,039, a variance of 9,355 or 22%. The increase was due to the overall increase in cargo arrival from international, domestic, and interisland. FY 25: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 43,537 with an estimated amount of 53,600, a variance of 10,063 or 23%. The increase is due to the anticipated overall increase in cargo arrival from international, domestic, and interisland.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned and actual amounts for Hilo Harbor were 0 for FY 24, for a variance of 0%. FY 25: The planned and estimated amounts for Hilo Harbor is 0, for a variance of 0%.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 2,272 but FY 24 actual is 2,008, a variance of 264 or 12%. Although the numbers of both passengers and cruise ship calls increased, the vessels that arrived were of lower occupancy, creating a smaller cruise ship passenger per cruise

PROGRAM TITLE: HILO HARBOR

ship call. FY 25: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 2,272, with an estimated amount of 2,008, for a variance of 264 or 12%. Although the numbers of both passengers and cruise ship calls increased, the vessels that arrived were of lower occupancy, creating a smaller cruise ship passenger per cruise ship call.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 21,373 but FY 24 actual was 25,698, a variance of 4,325 or 20%. The variance is due to an increased amount of international wharfage to Hilo Harbor. FY 25: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 21,800, with an estimated amount of 26,469, a variance of 4,669 or 21%. The variance is anticipated to continue on an upward trend so similar increased variance.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 60,261 but FY 24 actual was 98,363, a variance of 38,102 or 63%. The variance is due to an increased amount of domestic wharfage to Hilo Harbor. FY 25: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 61,466, with an estimated amount of 101,314, a variance of 39,848 or 65%. The variance is anticipated to continue on an upward trend so similar increased variance.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 1,088,754 but FY 24 actual is 1,302,857, a variance of 214,103 or 20%. The variance is due to an increase in interisland cargo received at Hilo Harbor. FY 25: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 1,110,529, with an estimated amount of 1,341,942, a variance of 231,413 or 21%. The variance is due to increased interisland cargo received at Hilo Harbor, which is expected to continue into FY 25.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 47,569 but FY 24 actual was 238,979, a variance of 191,479 or 3403%. Although smaller cruise ships, more arrivals provided for an increase in overall passengers. FY 25: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 48,450, with an estimated amount of 238,979, a variance of 190,529 or 393%. Although smaller cruise ships, more arrivals are anticipated to provide for an increase in overall passengers continue into FY 25.

Item 5. FY 24: The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 21 but FY 24 actual is 119, a variance of 98 or 467%. As the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic reduce, people have begun to take cruises again, which is increasing the amount of cruise ship calls to Hilo Harbor. FY 25: The planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 21, with an estimated amount of 119, a variance of 98 or 467%. As the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic continue to reduce, people are taking cruises again, which is increasing the amount of cruise ship calls to Hilo Harbor and is likely to continue into FY 25.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances to report.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-313 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030205

PROGRAM TITLE:

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24			THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-24	ı	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 861	2.00 791	+	0.00 70	0 8	2.00 217	2.00 213	+	0.00 4	0 2	2.00 2,146	2.00 2,103	+	0.00 43	0 2
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 861	2.00 791	+	0.00 70	0 8	2.00 217	2.00 213	+	0.00 4	0 2	2.00 2,146	2.00 2,103	+	0.00 43	0 2
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± (CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM COST PER TON OF CARGO 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS REPO 4. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS PE	RTED					 0.76 56632 0 36	.79 52204 0 0	+	0.03 4428 0 36	4 8 0 100	0.76 57764 0 36	000	 + - + -	1.27 3994 0 36	 167 7 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND 2. NO. OF PASSENGERS 3. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP CALLS						 1089593 118 3	1004401 0 0		85192 118 3	8 100 100	1111385 120 3	1034533 0 0	 - -	76852 120 3	 7 100 100
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) 2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						 1627 0.22 19.02	_	 + +	0 0 0	0 0 0	1627 0.22 19.02	.22	 + +	0 0 0	 0 0

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: No significant variances to report.

FY 25: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter (Q1), amounting to approximately \$4,000, or 2% was mainly due to timing. Because the full-year appropriation and allotments were not entered into FAMIS (the accounting system used by the State) in time to apply payments to our Energy Savings Lease (ESL), although the ESL was encumbered in Q1, the Harbors Division needed to prioritize and pay other contracts/bills that were planned during the end of FY 24. Although the budgeted amount for the ESL was \$17,001, because this is a smaller harbor, there were insufficient allotments, and we could not pay from this program; thus, Harbors Division needed to wait until the second quarter allotments became available, so all programs had sufficient allotments available. The overall expenditures for FY 25 are anticipated to be approximately \$2,316,000 compared to the planned \$2,363,000, or 98%.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. FY 24: No significant variances. FY 25: The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor is \$0.76, with an estimated amount of \$2.03, a variance of 1.27 or 167%. A factor that contributed to the higher program cost per ton of cargo for Kawaihae Harbor was a requested appropriation increase of approximately \$1,000,000 to support repairs to current security Closed Circuit TV (CCTV) camera systems. Harbors Division makes repairs to the CCTV camera systems with exterior cameras in container terminals, fence lines, gates, and passenger terminals. The CCTV camera systems support monitoring security in and around the harbors including monitoring entrance channels and waterside monitoring of vessel activity. The systems are exposed to the marine saltwater environment making repairs necessary and often causing catastrophic failures to components, prior to the end of useable life. Harbors Division's request was to support

paving contracts to maintain cargo yards, licensed electrical services to support high mast lighting in the cargo yards, passenger terminals security systems, license plumbing, qualified Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) maintenance personnel, and other qualified service trades. The request was essential for the safety of harbor users and port employees by reducing the risk of accidents and injuries. Harbors Division requests an appropriation increase to ensure the safety of harbor users and the flow of cargo by boosting its routine maintenance services. The harbors' pier and cargo yards were initially built in 1959 over 64 years ago for the transport of construction material for the construction of hotels along the Kona Coast. Over the decades Harbors Division made significant improvements in maintaining its pier and container cargo vards. However, the cargo vards were not designed for modern top handlers introduced during the 1970s with the adoption of containerization throughout the State. Due to limited space top handlers can stack containers three (3) or five (5) high 40-foot containers and lift, up to 90,000 pounds. The pavement requires constant and more frequent maintenance given the wear and deterioration caused by this heavy equipment.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor was 56,632 but FY 24 actual is 52,204, a variance of 4,428 or 8%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor is 57,764, with an estimated amount of 53,770, a variance of 3,994 or 7%.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned and actual amounts for Kawaihae Harbor were 0 for FY 24, for a variance of 0%. FY 25: The planned and estimated amounts for Kawaihae Harbor are 0 for FY 24, a variance of 0%.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor was 36 but FY 24 actual no data was available for this smaller harbor, which can accommodate only the ferry system or significantly smaller ship passengers, so the variance was 36 or 100%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor is 36, with an estimated amount of 0, a variance of 36 or 100%. This is due to no data for the ferry system or significantly smaller ships being available.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor was 1,089,593 but FY 24 actual was 1,004,401, a variance of 85,192 or 8%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor was 1,111,385, with an estimated amount of 1,034,533, for a variance of 76,852 or 7%.

Item 2. FY 24: No data available as the passengers would be for the ferry system or significantly smaller ships. The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor was 116 but FY 24 actual is 0, for a variance of 116 or 100%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor is 118, with an estimated amount of 0, for a variance of 118 or 100%.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor was 3 but FY 24 actual is 0, a variance of 3 or 100%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor is 3, with an estimated amount of 0, for a variance of 3 or 100%.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances to report.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-331 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030206

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-2	4		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS END	DINC	9 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	19.00 3,773	19.00 3,574	+	0.00 199	0 5	18.00 968	18.00 595	+	0.00 373	0 39	18.00 5,870	18.00 6,106	+	0.00 236	0 4
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000	19.00 3,773	19.00 3,574	+	0.00 199	0 5	18.00 968	18.00 595	+	0.00 373	0 39	18.00 5,870	18.00 6,106	+	0.00 236	0 4
							CAL YEAR	2023	3-24			FISCAL YEAR	202	24-25	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> </u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	<u>%</u>
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM COST PER TON OF CAR 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PEF 3. NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS R 4. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS	ACRE OF CONTAI					 1.89 52971 0 2346	1.82 57159 0 2050	 + +	0.07 4188 0 296	4 8 0 13	1.89 54030 0 2346	3.02 58874 0 2050	+ + +	1.13 4844 0 296	60 9 0 13
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP								ļ	!						!
 TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - INT TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DO 	-					36827 58483	26317 119054		10510 60571	29 104	37564 59653	27107 122625	+	10457 62972	28 106
3. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSLAS - DO	WILSTIC					1723712	1817475		93763	5 I	1758186	1871999	+	113813	6
4. NO. OF PASSENGERS						29314	176311	+	146997	501	29901	176311	+	146410	490
5. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP CALLS						12	86	+	74	617	13	86	+	73	562
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) 2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						 3319 1	3319 1 33.34	+	0 0 0	0	3319 1 33.34	3319 1 33 34	+	0	0
3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						33.34	აა.ა4	+	0	0	JJ.34	33.34	+	0	0

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: No position variances. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in filling hard-to-fill vacant positions and a delay in planned expenditures for the District. Budgeted was 3,773,000 versus the actual of 3,574,000, a variance of 199, or 5%.

FY 25: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter (Q1), amounting to approximately \$373,000, or 39% was mainly due to timing. Because the full-year appropriation and allotments were not entered into FAMIS (the accounting system used by the State) in time to apply payments to our Energy Savings Lease (ESL), although the ESL was encumbered in Q1, the Harbors Division needed to prioritize and pay other contracts/bills which were planned during the end of FY 24. Although the budgeted amount for the ESL was \$213,079, which had sufficient allotments, we could not pay because other smaller harbor programs didn't have sufficient remaining allotments during Q1; thus Harbors Division needed to wait until the second quarter allotments became available so all programs had sufficient allotments available. The overall expenditures for FY 25 are anticipated to be approximately \$6,701,000 compared to the planned \$6,838,000, or 98%.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. FY 24: No significant variances. FY 25: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor is 1.89, with an estimated amount of 3.02, a variance of 1.13 or 60%. A factor that contributed to the higher program cost per ton of cargo for Kahului Harbor was a requested appropriation increase of approximately \$2,700,000 to support repairs to current security Closed Circuit TV (CCTV) systems. Harbors Division makes repairs to the CCTV camera systems with exterior cameras in container terminals, fence lines, gates, and passenger terminals. The CCTV camera systems support monitoring security in and around the harbors including monitoring

entrance channels and waterside monitoring of vessel activity. The systems are exposed to the marine saltwater environment making repairs necessary and often causing catastrophic failures to components, prior to the end of useable life. Harbors Division's budget request is to support licensed electrical services to support high mast lighting in the cargo yards, passenger terminal security systems, license plumbing, qualified Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) maintenance personnel, and other qualified service trades. Harbors Division requests an appropriation increase to ensure the safety of harbor users and the flow of cargo by boosting its routine maintenance services. The harbors' pier and cargo vards were initially built 75 to 100 years ago to handle the transportation of sugar and pineapples via rail cars. Imported cargo was discharged using slings and hand trucks. Over the decades Harbors Division made significant improvements in maintaining its pier and container cargo yards. However, the cargo yards were not designed for modern top handlers that can stack containers three (3) or five (5) high 40foot containers and lift, up to 90,000 pounds. The pavement requires constant and more frequent maintenance given the wear and deterioration caused by this heavy equipment. Harbors Division's budget request is essential for the safety of harbor users and port employees by reducing the risk of accidents and injuries. The security at Kahului Harbor is required by 33 CFR, Chapter 11, Subchapter II, Maritime Security. Around-the-clock security is provided by multi-year contracts to support the district's Facility Security Officer and one security guard. Contractors are required to pay their security guards at wage rates not less than wages paid to State security guards at SR 9, SR 13, and SR 15 as required by HRS 103-55. On July 1, 2024, State security guard salaries will have increased by 14%. In addition, due to the shortage in the labor workforce, contractors may submit bids on or before higher than the minimum SR 9 through SR 15 rates thereby increasing the district's security costs. During May 2023, Maui County increased its hourly rates for the summer programs by 33%. The additional funds are requested to support the U.S. Coast Guard security requirements at the ports.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 52,971 but FY 24 actual is 57,159, a variance of 4,188 or 8%. The increase was due to the overall increase in cargo arrival from domestic and interisland. FY

PROGRAM TITLE: KAHULUI HARBOR

25: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor is 54,030, with an estimated amount of 58,874, for a variance of 4,844 or 9%.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned and actual amounts for Kahului Harbor were 0 for FY 24, a variance of 0%. FY 25: The planned and estimated amounts for Kahului Harbor is 0, a variance of 0 or 0%.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 2,346 but FY 24 actual is 2,050, a variance of 296 or 13%. Although the number of both passengers and cruise ship calls increased, the vessels that arrived were of lower occupancy, creating a smaller cruise ship passenger per cruise ship call. FY 25: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor is 2,346, with an estimated amount of 2,050, a variance of 296 or 13%. Although the number of both passengers and cruise ship calls increased, the vessels that arrived were of lower occupancy, creating a smaller cruise ship passenger per cruise ship call.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 36,827 but FY 24 actual is 26,317, a variance of 10,510 or 29%. The variance is due to a decreased amount of international wharfage. FY 25: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor is 37,5647, with an estimated amount of 27,107, a variance of 10,457 or 28%.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 58,483 but FY 24 actual is 119,054, a variance of 60,571 or 104%. The variance is due to an increased amount of domestic wharfage. FY 25: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 59,653, with an estimated amount of 122,625, a variance of 62,972 or 106%.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 1,723,712 but FY 24 actual is 1,817,475, a variance of 93,763 or 5%. The variance is due to a slight increase in interisland cargo received at Kahului Harbor. FY 25: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor is 1,758,186, with an estimated amount of 1,871,999, for a variance of 113,813 or 6%.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 29,314 but FY 24 actual is 176,311, a variance of 146,997 or 501%. Although the number of passengers increased, so did the number of cruise ship calls, which increased by 500%, concluding that more arrivals but lower occupancy per vessel. FY 25: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor is 29,901 with an estimated amount of 176,311, a variance of 146,410 or 490%.

Item 5. FY 24: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 12 but FY 24 actual was 86, a variance of 74 or 617%. As the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic reduce, people have begun to take cruises again, which is increasing the amount of cruise ship calls to Kahului Harbor. FY 25: The planned amount for Kahului Harbor is 13, with an estimated amount of 86, a variance of 73 or 562%.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant changes to report.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-341 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030207

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24			THREE	MONTHS EI	NDE	D 09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06	-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHAN	GE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CH	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 263	1.00 143		.00	0 46	1.00 66	1.00 23	+	0.00 43	0 65	1.00 575	1.00 605	+ +	0.00	0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 263	1.00 143		.00 120	0 46	1.00 66	1.00 23	+	0.00 43	0 65	1.00 575	1.00 605	+	0.00	0 5
						FIS	SCAL YEAR	2023	3-24			FISCAL YEAR	2024-2	5	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM COST PER TON OF CARGO 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS REPC 4. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS PE	RTED					 2.71 26634 0	1.75 26749 0 NO DATA	+	0.96 115 0 32	35 0 0 100	2.71 27167 0 32	0	+ + + -	4.52 384 0 32	167 1 0 100
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND 2. NO. OF PASSENGERS 3. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP CALLS						-	80567 NO DATA NO DATA	j -	400 137 4	0 100 100	82586 137 4	'	+	398 137 4	0 100 100
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) 2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						 691 0.17 2.87	691 .17 2.87	+	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	691 0.17 2.87	.17	+ + + +	0 0 0	0 0 0

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: No position variances. The expenditure budget was \$263,000 with the actual of \$143,000, a variance of \$120,000 or 46%. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in planned expenditures for the District. The Budgeted was 263,000 versus the actual of 143,000, a variance of 120, or 46%.

FY 25: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter (Q1), amounting to approximately \$43,000, or 65% was mainly due to timing. Because the full-year appropriation and allotments were not entered into FAMIS (the accounting system used by the State) in time to apply payments to our Energy Savings Lease (ESL), although the ESL was encumbered in Q1, the Harbors Division needed to prioritize and pay other contracts/bills which were planned during the end of FY 24. Although the budgeted amount for the ESL was \$62,794, because this is a smaller harbor, there were insufficient allotments, so we could not pay from this program; thus, Harbors Division needed to wait until the second quarter allotments became available, so all programs had sufficient allotments available. The overall expenditures for FY 25 are anticipated to be approximately \$628,000 compared to the planned \$641,000, or 98%.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was \$2.71, with actual FY 24 costs at \$1.75, a variance of \$0.96 or 35%. The main reason is as explained in Part 1 Positions and Expenditures for FY 24, there were delays in filling positions and planned expenditures which caused the actual expenditures to be lower than budgeted; thus reducing the cost per ton of cargo. FY 25: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor is \$2.71, with an estimated amount of \$7.23, a variance of 4.52 or 167%. A factor that contributed to the higher program cost per ton of cargo for Kaunakakai Harbor was a requested appropriation increase of

approximately \$375,000 to support repairs to current security Closed Circuit TV (CCTV) systems. Harbors Division makes repairs to the CCTV camera systems with exterior cameras in container terminals, fence lines, gates, and passenger terminals. The CCTV systems support monitoring security in and around the harbors including monitoring entrance channels and waterside monitoring of vessel activity. The systems are exposed to the marine saltwater environment making repairs necessary and often causing catastrophic failures to components, prior to the end of useable life. Harbors Division's budget request is to support licensed electrical services to support high mast lighting in the cargo vards. passenger terminal security systems, license plumbing, qualified Heating. Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) maintenance personnel, and other qualified service trades. Harbors Division requests an appropriation increase to ensure the safety of harbor users and the flow of cargo by boosting its routine maintenance services. The harbors' pier and cargo yards were initially built in 1927 with the U.S. Army Corps completing dredging in 1934 to receive imported cargo by rail cars discharged using slings and hand trucks. The Department of Transportation made significant improvements by rebuilding the cargo yard in 1969. Over the following decades, Harbors Division maintained its pier and container cargo yards. However, the cargo yards were not designed for modern top handlers at the time when Matson introduced containerization in the mid-1970s. The top handlers can stack containers three (3) or five (5) high 40foot containers and lift, up to 90,000 pounds. The pavement requires constant and more frequent maintenance given the wear and deterioration caused by this heavy equipment.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 26,634 but FY 24 actual is 26,749, a variance of 115 or 0%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor is 27,167, with an estimated amount of 27,551, a variance of 384 or 1%.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned and actual amounts for Kaunakakai Harbor were 0 for FY 24, for a variance of 0%. FY 25: The planned and estimated amounts for Kaunakakai Harbor are 0 for FY 24, with a variance of 0%.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 32 but FY 24 actual no data was available for this smaller harbor, which can accommodate only the ferry system or significantly smaller ship passengers, so the variance was 36 or 100%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor is 32, with an estimated amount of 0, a variance of 32 or 100%. This is due to no data for the ferry system or significantly smaller ships being available.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 80,967 but FY 24 actual was 80,567, a variance of 400 or 0%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 82,586, with an estimated amount of 82,984, for a variance of 398 or 0%.

Item 2. FY 24: No data available as the passengers would be for the ferry system or significantly smaller ships. The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 137 but FY 24 actual is 0, for a variance of 137 or 100%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor is 137, with an estimated amount of 0, for a variance of 137 or 100%.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 4 but FY 24 actual is 0, a variance of 4 or 100%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor is 4, with an estimated amount of 0, for a variance of 4 or 100%.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant changes to report.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-361 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030208

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24	4		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS END	DINC	3 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 3,160	15.00 2,880	+	0.00 280	0 9	15.00 813	15.00 692	+	0.00 121	0 15	15.00 3,700	15.00 3,730	++	0.00 30	0 1
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 3,160	15.00 2,880	+	0.00 280	0 9	15.00 813	15.00 692	+	0.00 121	0 15	15.00 3,700	15.00 3,730	+	0.00 30	0 1
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
DART II MEAGURES OF FEFESTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> ± (</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> +</u> (CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM COST PER TON OF CARGO 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS REPO 4. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS PE	RTED					36.1 2315 0 2381	3.63 23871 0 2032	+	32.47 21556 0 349	90 931 0 15	36.1 2361 0 2381	4.56 24587 0 2032	- + +	31.54 22226 0 349	87 941 0 15
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP												I			I
 TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - INTER TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DOME TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND NO. OF PASSENGERS NO. OF CRUISE SHIP CALLS 	-					15561 9661 51781 40685 17	17818 15430 760695 225540 111	 + + +	2257 5769 708914 184855 94	15 60 1369 454 553	15872 9854 52816 41499 17	15893 783516	+ + + +	2480 6039 730700 184041 94	16 61 1383 443 553
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY												I			
PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET)						2216	2216		0				+	0	0
2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						1.76 31.5	1.76 31.5	•	0 0	0 0	1.76 31.5	1.76 31.5	+ +	0	0 0

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: No position variances. The expenditure budget was 3,160 with an actual FY 24 expenditure of 2,880, a variance of 280 or 9%. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in filling hard-to-fill vacant positions and a delay in planned expenditures for the District.

FY 25: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter (Q1), amounting to approximately \$121,000, or 15% was mainly due to timing. Because the full-year appropriation and allotments were not entered into FAMIS (the accounting system used by the State) in time to apply payments to our Energy Savings Lease (ESL), although the ESL was encumbered in Q1, the Harbors Division (HD) needed to prioritize and pay other contracts/bills which were planned during the end of FY 24. Although the budgeted amount for the ESL was \$233,053, there were insufficient allotments, we could not pay from this program; thus, HD needed to wait until the second quarter allotments became available, so all programs had sufficient allotments available. The overall expenditures for FY 25 are anticipated to be approximately \$4,422,000 compared to the planned \$4,513,000, or 98%.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was \$36.1 but FY 24 actual is \$3.63, a variance of \$32.47 or 90%. There seems to be an error somewhere as there are significant variances in multiple calculations such as Part II, Items 1 and 2, and Part III, Item 3. Seems most likely the latter is incorrect as that may affect the others. FY 25: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is \$36.1, with an estimated amount of \$4.56, a variance of \$31.54 or 87%. A factor that contributed to the higher program cost per ton of cargo for Nawiliwili Harbor was a requested appropriation increase of approximately \$1,000,000 to support repairs to current security Closed Circuit TV (CCTV) systems. HD makes

repairs to the CCTV systems with exterior cameras in container terminals, fence lines, gates, and passenger terminals. The CCTV systems support monitoring security in and around the harbors including monitoring entrance channels and waterside monitoring of vessel activity. The systems are exposed to the marine saltwater environment making repairs necessary and often causing catastrophic failures to components, prior to the end of useable life. HD budget request is to support service contracts to maintain cargo yards, licensed electrical services to support high mast lighting in the cargo yards, passenger terminals security systems, licensed plumbing, qualified Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) maintenance personnel, and other qualified service trades. HD's budget request is essential for the safety of harbor users and port employees by reducing the risk of accidents and injuries. HD requests an appropriation increase to ensure the safety of harbor users and the flow of cargo by boosting its routine maintenance services. The harbor's Pier 1 cargo yards were initially built during the 1930s to handle the transportation of sugar via rail cars. Over the decades to come, imported cargo was discharged using slings and hand trucks. In 1991, the addition of five acres was completed at Pier 1, and in 1994 improvements to the inter-island terminal were completed. The improvements were designed for modern top handlers that can stack 40-foot containers three (3) or five (5) high and lift, up to 90,000 pounds. However, the inter-island terminal is experiencing subsidence at the operational interface of the barge and top-pick operations requiring annual pavement repair until a permanent solution can be designed.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 2,315 but FY 24 actual is 23,871, a variance of 21,556 or 931%. FY 25: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 2,361, with an estimated amount of 24,587, a variance of 22,226 or 941%. As with Item 1, there seems to be an error somewhere as there are significant variances in multiple calculations such as Part II Items 1 and 2, and Part III, Item 3. Seems most likely the latter is incorrect as that may affect the others.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned and actual amounts for Nawiliwili Harbor were 0 for FY 24, with a variance of 0%. FY 25: The planned and estimated amounts for Nawiliwili Harbor are 0, with a variance of 0%.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 2,381 but FY 24 actual is 2,032, a variance of 349 or 15%. Although the number of both passengers and cruise ship calls increased, the vessels that arrived were of lower occupancy, creating a smaller cruise ship passenger per cruise ship call. FY 25: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 2,381, with an estimated amount of 2,032, a variance of 349 or 15%. Although the number of both passengers and cruise ship calls increased, the vessels that arrived were of lower occupancy, creating a smaller cruise ship passenger per cruise ship call.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 15,561 but FY 24 actual is 17,818, a variance of 2,257 or 15%. FY 25: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 15,872, with an estimated amount of 18,352, a variance of 2,480 or 16%.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 9,661 but FY 23 actual is 15,430, a variance of 5,769 or 60%. FY 25: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 9,854, with an estimated amount of 15,893, a variance of 6,039 or 61%.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 51,781 but FY 24 actual was 760,695, a variance of 708,914 or 1,369%. FY 25: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 52,816, with an estimated amount of 783,516, a variance of 730,700 or 1,383%. There seems to be some sort of error in the amount planned for this item.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 40,685 but FY 24 actual is 225,540, a variance of 184,855 or 454%. As the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic continue to reduce, people are taking cruises again which is increasing the amount of cruise ship calls to the Nawiliwili Harbor almost back to pre-pandemic levels. FY 25: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 41,499, with an estimated amount of 225,540, a variance of 184,041 or 443%. As the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic continue to reduce, people are taking cruises again which is increasing the amount of cruise ship calls to the Nawiliwili Harbor almost back to pre-

pandemic levels.

Item 5. FY 24: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 17 but FY 24 actual is 111 a variance of 94 or 553%. As the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic continue to reduce, people are taking cruises again which is increasing the amount of cruise ship calls to the Nawiliwili Harbor almost back to pre-pandemic levels. FY 25: The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 17 but FY 24 actual is 111 a variance of 94 or 553%. As the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic continue to reduce, people are taking cruises again which is increasing the amount of cruise ship calls to the Nawiliwili Harbor almost back to pre-pandemic levels.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances to report.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-363
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030209

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24			THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING	06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± (CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 204	1.00 162	+	0.00 42	0 21	1.00 52	1.00 33	+	0.00 19	0 37	1.00 213	1.00 226	++	0.00 13	0 6
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 204	1.00 162	+	0.00 42	0 21	1.00 52	1.00 33	+	0.00 19	0 37	1.00 213	1.00 226	++	0.00 13	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR:	2023-	-24			FISCAL YEAR	2024	4-25	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> </u>	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM COST PER TON OF CARGO 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS REPO		NER YARD				2.15 61251 0	1.09 96883 0		1.06 35632 0	49 58 0	 2.15 62476 0	1.49 99790 0	- + +	0.66 37314 0	31 60 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND						93714	143377	 +	49663	53	95588	147679	 +	52091	54
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) 2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						1200 0.8 0.73		 + + +	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	 1200 0.8 0.73	1200 .8 .73	+	0 0 0 0	0 0 0

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: No position variances. The expenditure budget was \$204,000 with an actual of \$162,000, a variance of \$42,000 or 21%. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in planned expenditures for the District.

FY 25: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter (Q1), amounting to approximately \$19,000, or 37% was mainly due to timing. Because the full-year appropriation and allotments were not entered into FAMIS in time to apply payments to our Energy Savings Lease (ESL), although the ESL was encumbered in Q1, the Harbors Division (HD) needed to prioritize and pay other contracts/bills that were planned during the end of FY 24. Although the budgeted amount for the ESL was \$12,816, unlike other smaller harbors, there were sufficient allotments, so we could pay from this program; but because other smaller harbors didn't have sufficient allotments, HD needed to wait until the second quarter allotments became available, so all programs had sufficient allotments available. The overall expenditures for FY 25 are anticipated to be approximately \$259,000 compared to the planned \$265,000, or 98%.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Port Allen Harbor was \$2.15, but the FY 24 actual is \$1.09, a variance of \$1.06 or 49%. The decrease was due to an increase in tons of cargo by 58% as well as the delayed planned expenditures. FY 25: The planned amount for Port Allen Harbor is \$2.15, with an estimated amount of \$1.49, a variance of \$0.66 or 31%.

A factor that contributed to the higher program cost per ton of cargo for Port Allen Harbor was a requested appropriation increase of approximately \$55,000 to support repairs to current security Closed Circuit TV (CCTV) systems. HD makes repairs to the CCTV camera

systems with exterior cameras in container terminals, fence lines, gates, and passenger terminals. The CCTV camera systems support monitoring security in and around the harbors including monitoring entrance channels and waterside monitoring of vessel activity. The systems are exposed to the marine saltwater environment making repairs necessary and often causing catastrophic failures to components, prior to the end of useable life. HD's budget request is to support the services of licensed plumbers and electrical personnel, maintenance personnel, and other qualified service trades to maintain the Port Allen pier and facilities. HD's budget request is essential for the safety of harbor users and port employees by reducing the risk of accidents and injuries.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Port Allen Harbor was 61,251 but FY 24 actual is 96,883, a variance of 35,632 or 58%. The increase is attributed to an increase of tons of cargo - Interisland. FY 25: The planned amount for Port Allen Harbor is 62,476, estimated to be 99,790, a variance of 37,314 or 60%. The increase is attributed to an increase of tons of cargo - Interisland.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned and actual amounts for Port Allen Harbor were 0 for FY 24, with a variance of 0%. FY 25: The planned and estimated amounts for Port Allen Harbor are 0, with a variance of 0%.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Port Allen Harbor was 93,714 but FY 24 actual is 143,377, a variance of 49,663 or 53%. FY 25: The planned amount for Port Allen Harbor is 95,588, estimated to be 147,679, a variance of 52,091 or 54%.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances to report.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-351 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030210

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24	ı		THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED 0	9-30-24		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cl	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 172	1.00 96	+	0.00 76	0 44	1.00 44	1.00 22	+	0.00	0 50	1.00 441	1.00 453	+	0.00 12	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 172	1.00 96	+	0.00 76	0 44	1.00 44	1.00 22	+	0.00 22	0 50	1.00 441	1.00 453	++	0.00 12	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR:	2023-24	1			FISCAL YEAR	2024	1-25	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> ±</u> CHA	ANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± Cl	HANGE	<u></u>
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM COST PER TON OF CARGO 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS REPO		NER YARD				1.72 35055 0	.84 49534 0		 0.88 14479 	51 41 0	1.72 35756 0		+ + + +	2.14 15264 0	124 43 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND						 80627	113928	 + 3	 33301	41	82239	 117346	+	35107	43
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) 2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						 400 0 2.3	400 0 2.3	+	0 0 0	0 0 0	400 0 2.3	400 0 2.3	+ + + +	0 0 0 0	0 0 0

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Cost (\$000)

FY 24: No position variances. The expenditure budget was \$172,000 with an actual of \$96,000, a variance of \$76,000 or 44%. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in planned expenditures for the District.

FY 25: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter (Q1), amounting to approximately \$22,000, or 50% was mainly due to timing. Because the full-year appropriation and allotments were not entered into FAMIS in time to apply payments to our Energy Savings Lease (ESL), although the ESL was encumbered in Q1, the Harbors Division needed to prioritize and pay other contracts/bills which were planned during the end of FY 24. Although the budgeted amount for the ESL was \$8,937, which had sufficient allotments, we could not pay because other smaller harbor programs didn't have sufficient remaining allotments during Q1; thus Harbors Division needed to wait until the second quarter allotments became available so all programs had sufficient allotments available. The overall expenditures for FY 25 are anticipated to be approximately \$475,000 compared to the planned \$485,000, or 98%.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Kaumalapau Harbor was \$1.72, with actual FY 24 costs at \$0.84, a variance of \$0.88 or 51%. The main reason is as explained in Part 1 Positions and Expenditures for FY 24, there were delays in planned expenditures which caused the actual expenditures to be lower than budgeted; thus reducing the cost per ton of cargo. FY 25: The planned amount for Kaumalapau Harbor is \$1.72, with an estimated amount of \$3.86, a variance of 2.14 or 124%. A factor that contributed to the higher program cost per ton of cargo for Kaumalapau Harbor was a requested appropriation increase of approximately \$310,000 to support repairs to current security Closed

Circuit TV (CCTV) camera systems. Harbors Division makes repairs to the CCTV camera systems with exterior cameras in container terminals, fence lines, gates, and passenger terminals. The CCTV camera systems support monitoring security in and around the harbors including monitoring entrance channels and waterside monitoring of vessel activity. The systems are exposed to the marine saltwater environment making repairs necessary and often causing catastrophic failures to components, prior to the end of useable life. Harbors Division's budget request is to support licensed electrical services to support high mast lighting in the cargo yards, passenger terminal security systems, licensed plumbing, qualified Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) maintenance personnel, and other qualified service trades. Harbors Division requests an appropriation increase to ensure the safety of harbor users and the flow of cargo by boosting its routine maintenance services. The harbors' pier and cargo yards initially began operating in 1926 to export the transportation of pineapples via rail cars. Imported cargo was discharged using slings and hand trucks. Over the decades Harbors Division made significant improvements in maintaining its pier and container cargo vards. However, the cargo vards were not designed for modern top handlers that can stack 40-foot containers three (3) or five (5) high and lift, up to 90,000 pounds. The pavement requires constant and more frequent maintenance given the wear and deterioration caused by this heavy equipment.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Kaumalapau Harbor was 35,055 but FY 24 actual is 49,534, a variance of 14,479 or 41%. This increase was in direct proportion to the increased amount of tons of cargo interisland processed. FY 25: The planned amount for Kaumalapau Harbor is 35,756, with an estimated amount of 51,020, a variance of 15,264 or 43%. This increase is based on the assumption that it will also be in direct proportion to the increased amount of tons of cargo interisland processed.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned and actual amounts for Kaumalapau Harbor were 0 for FY 24, for a variance of 0%. FY 25: The planned and estimated amounts for Kaumalapau Harbor are 0 for FY 24, with a variance of 0%.

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUMALAPAU HARBOR

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Kaumalapau Harbor was 80,627 but FY 24 actual is 113,928, a variance of 33,301 or 41%. FY 25: The planned amount for Kaumalapau Harbor is 82,239, estimated to be 117,346, a variance of 35,107 or 43%.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances to report.

REPORT V61 PROGRAM TITLE: 12/3/24 HARBORS ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM-ID: TRN-395 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030211

		FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24	ļ		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	06-30-25	
		BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cł	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± (CHANGE	%
	: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERA	ATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	72.00 90,691	72.00 86,914	l .	0.00 3,777	0 4	72.00 23,273	72.00 19,010	+	0.00 4,263	0 18	72.00 71,819	72.00 74,180	+	0.00 2,361	0 3
	TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	72.00 90,691	72.00 86,914		0.00 3,777	0 4	72.00 23,273	72.00 19,010	+	0.00 4,263	0 18	72.00 71,819	72.00 74,180	+ +	0.00 2,361	0 3
'							FIS	CAL YEAR	2023	3-24			FISCAL YEAR	2024	4-25	
							PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART I 1. 2.	I: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS COST OF ADMIN RELATIVE TO TOTAL P DOLLAR AMT OF SALARY OVERPAYMEI		 9.94 10000	9.24 18465		0.7 8465	7 85	9.94 10000	 11.00 10000	+	1.06 0	 11 0				
3.	NO. OF VENDOR PAYMENTS EXCEEDIN						175	87		88	50	175	100	-	75	43
4. 5.	% OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH % OF SPEC MAINT PROJ INITIATED COM						100 100	100 130		0 30	0 30	100 100	100 100	+	0 0	0 0
	II: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	VII / II (LD 101 L					1 100	100	<u> </u>				.00			
	FILLED PERMANENT POSITIONS IN THE	DIVISION					 251	212	-	39	16	251	217	-	34	
PART I	V: PROGRAM ACTIVITY															
1.	ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL (NO. OF		NS)				71	72		1	1	71	72	+	1	1
2.	DIVISIONAL PERSONNEL (NO. OF PERM	1. POSITIONS)					251	252		1	0	251	232	-	19	8
3. 4.	NO. OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED NO. OF SPECIAL MAINTENANCE PROJE	CTS INITIATED					2 44	2 78		0 34	0 77	7 44	5 50	- +	2 6	29 14

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Cost (\$000)

FY 24: No position variances. The expenditure budget was 90,691 with the actual of 86,914, a variance of 3,777 or 4%. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in filling hard to fill vacant, reclassified, and reorganized positions and a delay of planned expenditures for the Administration.

FY 25: Budgeted is 95,092 and estimated is 93,190, a variance \$1,902 or 2%. Any expenditure variance is due to the deferral of operating expenditures, although no position or expenditure variances are expected.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 9.94 with the FY 24 actual at 9.24, a variance of 0.70 or 7%. FY 25: The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 9.94 with the estimated at 11.00, a variance of 1.06 or 11%.

A factor that contributed to the higher cost of administration relative to total program costs was a requested appropriation increase of approximately \$2,000,000 to support the Harbors Division has been working diligently to repair and maintain (R&M) waterfront infrastructure, piers, wharves, and landside facilities. As R&M is performed across the State at each harbor facility, it has been classified as a special maintenance projects (SMP). Harbors Administration has a running list of R&M that needs to be performed in each district and harbor. Shortages in the supply chain, labor markets, and overall increased costs due to inflation have resulted in an increase in design and construction costs, for concrete, asphalt pavement, materials for sheet pile and bulkhead repairs, building materials, electrical, and Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC). Projects have become more expensive and as a result, the current SMP budget is not sufficient to keep up with the project

list and potential deferred maintenance costs.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 10,000, with the actual amount of 18,465, a variance of 8,465 or 85%. With various employees out on disability-type leaves and employees not remembering to enter their leaves in Hawaii Information Portal Self-Service (HIP) properly, Harbors Administration has worked as closely as possible with Districts to minimize overpayments but in these uncertain circumstances, it is difficult to determine what and when disability will kick in and balancing that with the employee not getting paid what he/she should be paid for any leave balances that exist. Harbors Administration continues to identify overpayments as quickly as possible to avoid compounding the problem and reducing the overpayment through collection agreements. FY 25: The planned amount for Harbors Administration is 10,000, with an estimated amount of 10,000, a variance of 0 or 0%.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 175 but FY 24 actual is 87, making the variance 88 or 50%. Harbors Administration has been able to process payments in a timely manner in order to minimize over 30 days of vendor payments. FY 25: The planned amount for Harbors Administration is 175 and the estimated is 100, making the variance 75 or 43%. Harbors Administration will continue to process payments in as timely a manner as possible in order to minimize 30+ days vendor payments.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned percentage of CIP projects completed within the scheduled time was 100%, with an FY 24 actual of 100%, a variance of 0 or 0%. FY 25: The planned amount for Harbors Administration is 100% and the estimated is 100%, making the variance 0 or 0%.

Item 5. FY 24: The planned amount for Harbors Administration should be 100% with the actual of 100%, a variance of 0 or 0%. FY 25: The planned amount for Harbors Administration should be 100% with an estimated 100%, variance of 0 or 0%.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 251 and the actual is 212, making the variance 39 or 16%. the planned amount includes the 20 employees who transferred from Harbors Administration to the Department of Law Enforcement. The remainder variance is due to a delay in filling vacant positions due to the overall shortage of qualified applicants, as well as reorganizing, re-describing, and reclassifying positions. FY 25: The planned amount for Harbors Administration is 251; however, due to a transfer of one temporary to a permanent position within Harbors Administration that was approved by the Legislature during the FB 2023-25 Executive Biennium Budget process and a transfer of 20 enforcement/security officers, our planned count should be 232 with an estimated 217, a variance of 15 or 6%.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. FY 24: The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 71, with an FY 24 actual of 72, a variance of 1 or 1%. As stated in Part III Item 1, due to a transfer of one temporary position to a permanent position, the count increased to 72. FY 25: The planned amount for Harbors Administration is 71. There was a transfer of one temporary position to a permanent position within Harbors Administration that was approved by the Legislature during the FB 2023-25 Executive Biennium Budget process, so the planned should be 72, with an estimated 72 as well, for a variance of 0 or 0%.

Item 2. FY 24: The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 251, with FY 24 actual of 252, making the variance 1 or 1%. As stated in Part III Item 1, due to a transfer of one temporary position to a permanent position, the count increased to 252. FY 25: The planned amount for Harbors Administration is 251; however, due to a transfer of 20 enforcement/security officers, our planned count should be 232 with an estimated 232, a variance of 0 or 0%.

Item 3. FY 24: The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 2 and FY 24 actual is 2, a variance of 0 or 0%. FY 25: The planned

amount for Harbors Administration is 7 and the estimated is 5, a variance of 2 or 29%.

Item 4. FY 24: The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 44 but FY 24 actual is 78, a variance of 34 or 77%. A significant amount of paving, fencing, sea level, and resiliency projects are getting done.

FY 25: The planned amount for Harbors Administration is 44, with the estimated at 50, a variance of 6 or 14%. Since the cost of projects has increased, we have increased our SMP budget by another \$2 million; however, the number of projects has become limited because of the rise in costs.

STATE OF HAWAII

VARIANCE REPORT

PROGRAM TITLE: HANA HARBOR

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-333 **PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO:** 030212

FISCAL YEAR 2023-24 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-24 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25** % BUDGETED ESTIMATED ± CHANGE % **BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % **BUDGETED** ACTUAL + CHANGE **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 + 0 0 0.00 0.00 0 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 14 0 14 100 3 0 3 100 0 11 100 11 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 + + **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 14 0 14 100 3 100 11 0 11 100 FISCAL YEAR 2023-24 FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 **PLANNED** ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PRGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT APPROVED BY LEG. 0 0 | + 0 0 0 0 0 | + 0

REPORT V61

12/3/24

PROGRAM TITLE: HANA HARBOR

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FYs 24-25: The variances are due to no expenditures for this program and, currently, there are no development plans.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No data is available and/or no measures of effectiveness have been development for this program for FY 24 and FY 25.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No data is available as no program target groups have been developed for this program for FY 24 and FY 25.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No data is available as no program activities have been developed for this program for FY 24 and FY 25.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID:

LAND TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES AND SERVICES

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0303

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24	4		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDE	ED 09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING	G 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	1	- CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS															
POSITIONS	1,037.00	737.00	-	300.00	29	1,038.00	739.00	-	299.00	29	1,038.00	988.00	-	50.00	5
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	390,973	317,299	-	73,674	19	79,258	54,879	-	24,379	31	320,549	361,928	+	41,379	13
TOTAL COSTS															
POSITIONS	1,037.00	737.00	-	300.00	29	1,038.00	739.00	-	299.00	29	1,038.00	988.00	-	50.00	5
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	390,973	317,299	-	73,674	19	79,258	54,879	-	24,379	31	320,549	361,928	+	41,379	13
						FIS	CAL YEAR	202	23-24			FISCAL YEAR	202	24-25	
					j	PLANNED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS															
 NO. HIGHWAY LOCATIONS WHERE CON 	NGESTION EXIS	TS-PEAK				29	29	+	0	0	29	29	+	0	0
2. ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE	_					226	208.5	•	17.5	8	218	178.2	-	39.8	18
3. FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VE						4	3.17		0.83	21	4	3.74	-	0.26	7
4. MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MIL	_					509576		+	1284018	252	492522	2196826		1704304	346
VEHICLE MILES PER TRAVEL (MILLIONS	S OF MILES)					5764	5799	+	35	1	5838	5873	+	35	1

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-501 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030301

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24			THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-24		NINE	MONTHS EN	DINC	9 06-30-25	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	190.00 91,594	136.00 88,773	- -	54.00 2,821	28 3	190.00 24,249	141.00 10,682	- -	49.00 13,567	26 56	190.00 72,749	185.00 83,316	- +	5.00 10,567	3 15
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	190.00 91,594	136.00 88,773	-	54.00 2,821	28 3	190.00 24,249	141.00 10,682	- -	49.00 13,567	26 56	190.00 72,749	185.00 83,316	- +	5.00 10,567	3 15
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. HIGHWAY LOCATIONS WHERE CO 2. ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE 3. FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION V 4. MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MIL 5. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT 6. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT 7. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION 8. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION		PLANNED 18 68.1 .75 720204 1.02 .98 4.46 4.07	18.00 65.50 0.95 790476 1.27 1.26 2.88 2.92	+ - + + + +	CHANGE	0 4 27 10 25 29 35 28	18 65.8 .73 720204 .99 .95 4.41 4.02	62.00 0.78 925888 1.27 1.25 2.80	± 0 + - + + +	0 3.8 0.05 205684 0.28 0.3 1.61	% 0 6 7 29 28 32 37 25				
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (MILLIONS 2. AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (VEHICLES F 3. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLES 4. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLE OPERA 5. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR C PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY		3392 36090 805319 625510 36.1 42.9	3369 35850 794704 632282 25.00 27.50	- - + - -	23 240 10615 6772 11.1 15.4	1 1 1 31 36	3428 36470 813793 629847 35.7 42.3	3403 36210 802594 636427 25.80 28.50	- - - + -	25 260 11199 6580 9.9 13.8	1 1 1 1 28 33				
 ROADWAY MAINTENANCE (LANE MILE: LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE (ACRES) STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE (NUMBER 	,					1150.00 4966.00 442.00	1011.73 4966.00 442.00	+	138.27 0 0	12 0 0	1150.00 4966.00 442.00	1011.73 4966.00 442.00	- + +	138.27 0 0	12 0 0

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: The position variance was due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions frozen in Act 5, SLH 2019, and Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less-than-anticipated payroll expenses. In addition, motor vehicle purchases were reduced to align with Hawaii's clean energy goals including emission reduction goals to align with the principles and goals of the Paris Agreement; a 100% renewable portfolio standard by 2045; a carbon neutral and zero emissions clean economy by 2045; and a planning goal to increase energy security and self-sufficiency through the ultimate elimination of Hawaii's dependence on imported fuels for electrical generation and ground transportation.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 3. The variance for Honolulu County for 2023 is a 27% increase. Although the fatal accidents only increased by two fatal accidents, the vehicle miles of travel did not increase as much as predicted.

Item 4. The actual rate was higher than estimated due to an increase in costs per lane mile. Increase in actual costs for Fringe, Traffic Control, Tunnel Routine Maintenance, and Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging stations. Actual lane mileage was lower than estimated due to updated values. Based on Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) 2022 Oahu Total State Maintained Lane Mileage = 1,011.73 Lane Miles HPMS 2022 Dataset Lane Miles | State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.

Item 5. Many of the bridges within the inventory have exceeded their expected design life. As such, greater than anticipated levels of deterioration of these bridge structures are being recorded during routine bridge inspections, resulting in a variance between the estimated 1.02%

and the actual 1.27% of Oahu District structurally deficient bridges on the National Highway Safety (NHS).

Item 6. Many of the bridges within the inventory have exceeded their expected design life. As such, greater than anticipated levels of deterioration of these bridge structures are being recorded during routine bridge inspections, resulting in a variance between the estimated 0.98% and the actual 1.26% of Oahu District structurally deficient bridges on and off the NHS.

Item 7. The estimated NHS percent poor encouraged the Highways Division to prioritize projects that are situated on the NHS to reduce said numbers. Many improvements to the Pali Hwy, Likelike Hwy, Kamehameha Hwy, and the Interstate H-2 were completed in the recent dataset and the data reflects those improvements.

Item 8. The estimated NHS percent poor encouraged the Highways Division to prioritize projects that are situated on the NHS to reduce said numbers. Many improvements to the Pali Highway (Hwy), Likelike Hwy, Kamehameha Hwy, and Interstate H-2 were completed in the recent dataset, and the data reflects those improvements. A significant amount of Oahu State's roads is on the NHS which is why this metric also shows significant improvement.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 5. The estimated NHS percent poor encouraged the Highways Division to prioritize projects that are situated on the NHS to reduce said numbers. Many improvements to the Pali Hwy, Likelike Hwy, Kamehameha Hwy, and the Interstate H-2 were completed in the recent dataset and the data reflects those improvements.

Item 6. The estimated NHS percent poor encouraged the Highways Division to prioritize projects that are situated on the NHS to reduce said numbers. Many improvements to the Pali Hwy, Likelike Hwy, Kamehameha Hwy, and Interstate H-2 were completed in the recent dataset, and the data reflects those improvements. A significant amount

PROGRAM TITLE: OAHU HIGHWAYS

of Oahu State's roads is on the NHS which is why this metric also shows significant improvement.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. Actual lane miles were lower than estimated due to updated values. Based on HPMS 2022 Oahu Total State Maintained Lane Mileage = 1,011.73 Lane Miles HPMS 2022 Dataset Lane Miles | State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation

TRN-511

PROGRAM-ID:

REPORT V61 12/3/24

%

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030302 FISCAL YEAR 2023-24 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-24 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25** BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE % BUDGETED ESTIMATED ± CHANGE % **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS**

	POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)																
OPER	ATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	118.50 21,187	79.50 17,170	- -	39.00 4,017	33 19	118.50 5,015	80.00 22,039	 - +	38.50 17,024	32 339	118.50 19,420	106.50 22,396	- +	12.00 2,976	10 15	
	TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	118.50 21,187	79.50 17,170	-	39.00 4,017	33 19	118.50 5,015	80.00 22,039	- +	38.50 17,024	32 339	118.50 19,420	106.50 22,396	- +	12.00 2,976	10 15	
							FIS	CAL YEAR	2023	3-24			FISCAL YEAR	₹ 202	4-25		ĺ
							PLANNED	ACTUAL	± (CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± C	CHANGE	%	ĺ
PART	II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS																Ì
1.	NO. HIGHWAY LOCATIONS WHERE CO	NGESTION EXIS	TS-PEAK				4	4	+	0	0	4	4	+	0	0	
2.	ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE	MILES					41.7	36.40	-	5.3	13	37.8	36.10	-	1.7	4	
3.	FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VE	EHICLE MILES					1.25	0.77	-	0.48	38	1.21	1.21	+	0	0	
4.	MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MIL	ES					225377	206041	-	19336	9	225377	302414	+	77037	34	
5.	% TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT	BRIDGES NHS					18.97	9.01	-	9.96	53	18.97	9.01	-	9.96	53	
c	0/ TOT DECK ADEA CTDUC DEFICIENT	DDIDCEC ALL					10.00	7.00	1	10.70		10.60	7.00	1	10.70	I 50	1

١.	NO. HIGHWAT LOCATIONS WHERE CONGESTION EXISTS-PEAK	4	4	+	υį	υį	4	4	+	υļ	U
2.	ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE MILES	41.7	36.40	-	5.3	13	37.8	36.10	-	1.7	4
3.	FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE MILES	1.25	0.77	-	0.48	38	1.21	1.21	+	0	0
4.	MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MILES	225377	206041	j -	19336	9	225377	302414	+	77037	34
5.	% TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT BRIDGES NHS	18.97	9.01	-	9.96	53	18.97	9.01	-	9.96	53
6.	% TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT BRIDGES ALL	18.62	7.90	-	10.72	58	18.62	7.90	-	10.72	58
7.	% OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION ON NHS	4.3	5.75	+	1.45	34	4.25	5.80	+	1.55	36
8.	% OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION ON ALL STATE HWYS	2.64	4.73	+	2.09	79	2.66	4.94	+	2.28	86
PART	III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP			1		ĺ			1	ĺ	
1.	VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (MILLIONS OF MILES)	1084	1143	+	59	5	1103	1163	+	60	5
2.	AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (VEHICLES PER DAY)	8500	8960	+	460	5	8650	9120	+	470	5
3.	NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLES	223542	226715	+	3173	1	227498	230675	+	3177	1
4.	NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLE OPERATORS	142841	146685	+	3844	3	144663	148518	+	3855	3
5.	LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION ON NHS	11.2	16.30	+	5.1	46	11	17.20	+	6.2	56
6.	LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDIITION ON ALL	23.1	38.40	+	15.3	66	23.2	40.10	+	16.9	73
PART	IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY			1		ĺ			1	ĺ	
1.	ROADWAY MAINTENANCE (LANE MILES)	817	768.71	j -	48.29	6	817	768.71	j -	48.29	6
2.	LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE (ACRES)	1416	1416.00	+	0 j	0 j	1416	1416.00	+	0	0
3.	STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE (NUMBER)	126	126.00	+	0	0	126	126.00	+	0	0
3.	STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE (NUMBER)	126	126.00	+	0	0 [126	126.00	+	0	-

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: The position variance was due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions frozen in Act 5, SLH 2019 and Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less than anticipated payroll expenditures.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. The number of accidents in Hawaii County decreased lower than expected. This was very unexpected since there has never been this low number of accidents reported for Hawaii County. The decrease in incidents may be attributed to the highway safety campaign and enforcement of motor vehicle traffic laws.

Item 3. The variance for Hawaii County for 2023 is a 38% decrease. Hawaii County had one of the lowest years of fatal accidents, with less than half of the previous year (from 33 fatalities to 15 fatalities), which was unexpected.

Item 5. Significant bridge repairs were recently completed, which greatly reduced the deck area of deficient bridges in the district. This resulted in a variance between the estimated 18.97% and the actual 9.01% of Hawaii District structurally deficient bridges on the National Highway Safety (NHS).

Item 6. Significant bridge repairs were recently completed, which greatly reduced the deck area of deficient bridges in the district. This resulted in a variance between the estimated 18.62% and the actual 7.90% of Hawaii District structurally deficient bridges on and off the NHS.

Item 7. The increase in the percentage of poor roads this past year is primarily a result of deferring projects to subsequent years due to funding

and capacity constraints. Also, the increase of poor roads is increasing at a rate faster than anticipated.

Item 8. The increase in the percentage of poor roads this past year is primarily a result of deferring projects to subsequent years due to funding and capacity constraints. Also, the increase of poor roads is increasing at a rate faster than anticipated.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 5: The increase in the percentage of poor roads this past year is primarily a result of deferring projects to subsequent years due to funding and capacity constraints. Also, the increase of poor roads is increasing at a rate faster than anticipated.

Item 6: The increase in the percentage of poor roads this past year is primarily a result of deferring projects to subsequent years due to funding and capacity constraints. Also, the increase of poor roads is increasing at a rate faster than anticipated.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

There is no significant variance to report.

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030303

REPORT V61 STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE: MAUI HIGHWAYS 12/3/24 PROGRAM-ID: TRN-531

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-2	4		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-24		NINE				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	90.00 25,895	65.00 22,772	1 1	25.00 3,123	28 12	90.00 6,530	63.50 1,621	- -	26.50 4,909	29 75	90.00 19,832	84.50 24,741	- +	5.50 4,909	6 25
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	90.00 25,895	65.00 22,772		25.00 3,123	28 12	90.00 6,530	63.50 1,621	- -	26.50 4,909	29 75	90.00 19,832	84.50 24,741	- +	5.50 4,909	6 25
			CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR							
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> ± (</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> </u>	HANGE	<u>%</u>					
NO. HIGHWAY LOCATIONS WHERE CO	NGESTION EXIS	STS-PFAK				l I 5	5.00	 +	0	0	5	5.00	 +	0	0
ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE		71012741				56.8	64.50		7.7	14	55.8		-	15.7	28
3. FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION V	EHICLE MILES					1.07	1.10		0.03	3	1.05	1.06	+	0.01	1
4. MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MIL						412682	381776	ļ -	30906	7	412682	441768	+	29086	7
% TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT						0	0		0	0	0	0	+	0	0
6. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT						.72	0.66		0.06	8	.72	0.00	-	0.06	8
7. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION 8. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION	-	L IVA/V/C				.13 I .18	0.46		0.33	254	.15 .2		+	0.35	233
8. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION	ON ALL STATE	HWYS				.18	0.45	+	0.27	150	.2	0.40	+	0.2	100
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP												I			I
VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (MILLIONS	,					896	861.00		35	4	909	874.00	-	35	4
2. AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (VEHICLES F	PER DAY)					16000	15550.0		450	3		15770.0	-	470	3
 NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLES NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLE OPERA 	TORS					190670 127382	187732 124211		2938 3171	2 2	193507 129054	190426 125795	- -	3081 3259	2 3
NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLE OPERA LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR O		JHS				127362	0.87		0.67 l	335	.3	1	- +	0.8	267
6. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR C		, . <u>-</u> 1	2.57		1.57	157	1.1	- 1	+	1.7	155				
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY		<u> </u>		I	<u>'</u>			<u>'</u>							
	ROADWAY MAINTENANCE (LANE MILES)							 +	37.77 l	7	529	566.77 l	 +	37.77	7
2. LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE (ACRES)	-,					529 366	366.00		0	0	366	366.00	+	0	0
3. STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE (NUMBÉR)					111	111.00		0	0	111	111.00	+	0	0

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: The position variance was due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions frozen in Act 5, SLH 2019, and Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less-than-anticipated payroll expenditures, replacement of equipment deferred, and less-than-anticipated routine repair and maintenance on Lanai.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. The number of major traffic crashes for Maui County decreased from the previous year but there was an unexpected drop in the vehicle miles traveled that offset the major traffic crash decrease and increased accidents per 100 million vehicle miles (+14%). This could be due to the wildfires in 2023, which affected the number of visitors to Maui Island.

Item 7. The slight variance of poor roads was related to the delay of projects due to the increased complexity of some projects and indirectly related to the Lahaina wildfires.

Item 8. The slight variance of poor roads was related to the delay of projects due to the increased complexity of some projects and indirectly related to the Lahaina wildfires.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 5. The slight variance of poor roads was related to the delay of projects due to the increased complexity of some projects and indirectly related to the Lahaina wildfires.

Item 6. The slight variance of poor roads was related to the delay of projects due to the increased complexity of some projects and indirectly related to the Lahaina wildfires.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

There is no significant variance to report.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-561 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030306

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-24	l.	NINE				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	GE %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 12,885	43.00 10,964	- 12. - 1,9		55.00 2,960	42.00 1,541	- 13.00 - 1,419	24 48	55.00 10,082	55.00 11,501).00 419	0 14
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 12,885	43.00 10,964		I	55.00 2,960	42.00 1,541	- 13.00 - 1,419	24 48	55.00 10,082	55.00 11,501).00 419	0 14
					FIS	CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR ESTIMATED		05.1	 %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. HIGHWAY LOCATIONS WHERE COI 2. ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE 3. FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VI 4. MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MIL 5. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT II 6. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT II 7. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION 8. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION	2 59.3 .7 846599 10.11 12.23 .62	2.00 42.10	- 17.2 - 0.35 - 431298 + 12.43 + 6.84 + 0.38	0 29 50 51 123 56 61 34	2 58.6 .69 846599 8.16 .64	2.00 40.00 0.69 526756 22.54 19.07 0.70 0.90	+ - - 319 + 22 + 10	0 0 8.6 0 843 2.54 0.91 0.06 0.7	32 32 38 38 134 9				
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (MILLIONS 2. AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (VEHICLES P 3. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLES 4. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLE OPERAT 5. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR C 6. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR C PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY	392 10370 88189 58524 .4 3.8	11280 91518 56665 0.60 4.92	+ 3329 - 1859 + 0.2 + 1.12	 9 9 4 3 50 29	89504 59144 .4 3.9	57239 0.40 4.92	+ 3 - 1 + +	35 910 347 905 0	9 9 4 3 0 26				
 ROADWAY MAINTENANCE (LANE MILES LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE (ACRES) STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE (NUMBER) 					121 2000 4	216.96 2000 4	•	79 0 0	2000	216.96 2000 4	+	5.96 0 0	79 0 0

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: The position variance was due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions in Act 5, SLH 2019, and Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less-than-anticipated payroll expenses.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. The number of major traffic crashes in Kauai County decreased by more than anticipated. The number was close to the number of major traffic crashes during the Pandemic. Since the number of accidents in Kauai County is relatively low compared to the other counties, small changes result in larger variances. The number of crashes decreased from 2022 to 2023 by only 15 accidents.

Item 3. The variance for Kauai County for FY 24 is a 50% decrease. The number of fatal accidents on Kauai was one of the lowest years, with one-third of the previous year (from nine fatalities to three fatalities), which was unexpected.

Item 4. The actual rate was lower than estimated due to a decrease in costs per lane mile. Decrease in actual costs due to less than anticipated payroll expenditures. Actual lane mileage was higher than estimated. Actual lane mileage has been underestimated in the past and the most recent HPMS inventory report is Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) 2022 and Kauai mileage is reported as 216.96 lane miles HPMS 2022 Dataset Lane Miles | State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.

Item 5. Many of the bridges within the inventory have exceeded their expected design life. As such, greater than anticipated levels of deterioration of these bridge structures are being recorded during routine

bridge inspections, resulting in a variance between the estimated 10.11% and the actual 22.54% of Kauai District structurally deficient bridges on the National Highway Safety (NHS).

Item 6. Many of the bridges within the inventory have exceeded their expected design life. As such, greater than anticipated levels of deterioration of these bridge structures are being recorded during routine bridge inspections, resulting in a variance between the estimated 12.23% and the actual 19.07% of Kauai District structurally deficient bridges on and off the NHS.

Items 7 and 8. The variance in the percentage of poor roads is a result of the delayed projects due to fiscal constraints, and roadway reconstruction and resurfacing project priority. It is anticipated that the projects will be performed in the subsequent year.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Items 5 and 6. The variance in the percentage of poor roads is a result of the delayed projects due to fiscal constraints, and roadway reconstruction and resurfacing project priority. It is anticipated that the projects will be performed in the subsequent year.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. Roadway Maintenance (Lane Miles) has been underestimated in the past and the most recent HPMS inventory report is HPMS 2022 and Kauai mileage is reported as 216.96 lane miles HPMS 2022 Dataset Lane Miles | State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation.

PROGRAM TITLE: HIGHWAYS ADMINISTRATION TRN-595

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030307

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	4		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-24		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± (CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS															
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	544.50 219.699	384.50 165.970	-	160.00 53.729	29 24	545.50 35.903	383.50 16.694	-	162.00 19,209	30 54	545.50 183.274	518.00 202.483	- ₊	27.50 19,209	5 10
TOTAL COSTS	_::,:::	,					,	\vdash	10,200					10,200	
POSITIONS	544.50	384.50	_	160.00	29	545.50	383.50	_	162.00	30	545.50	518.00	_	27.50	5
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	219,699	165,970	-	53,729	24	35,903	16,694	-	19,209	54	183,274	202,483	+	19,209	10
						FISCAL YEAR 2023-24						FISCAL YEAR	202	4-25	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. COSTS OF ADMIN RELATIVE TO TOTAL	DDOCDAM CO	CTC (0/)				 36.22	23.11		 13.11	26	 38.21	41.52		3.31 l	9
VENDOR PAYMENT EXCEEDING 30 DAY		515 (%)				36.22 .02	0.02		13.11	36 0	36.21 .02	0.02	+	3.31 0	9
3. DEBT SERVICE COST TO TOTAL O&M E	_					l .18	0.02	•	0.03	17	.20	0.19	_	0.01	5 I
4. AVG. # OF WORK DAYS TO PROCESS P	ERMIT APPLICA	ATIONS				8	20.00		12	150	8	10.00	+	2	25
5. % OF COMPLAINTS RESPONDED TO W	THIN 5 WORK [DAYS				55	55.00	+	0	0	55	55.00	+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY															
 ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL (NO. OF 	PERSONS)					544.00	384.50	-	159.5	29	544.00	545.50	+	1.5	0
2. DIVISIONAL PERSONNEL (NO. OF PERS	ONS)					1036.00 49.09	737.00	•	299	29	1036.00	1038.00	+	2	0
3. RESURFACING (LANE MILES)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							+	0	0	43.13	49.09	+	5.96	14
4. SPECIAL MAINTENANCE - RESURFACIN	,					25442 24558	25442	•	0	0	21318	25442		4124	19
5. SPECIAL MAINTENANCE - OTHERS (\$10	SPECIAL MAINTENANCE - OTHERS (\$1000)						24558	+	0	0	18682	24558	+	5876	31

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: The position variance was due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions in Act 5, SLH 2019 and Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less than anticipated payroll expenditures. In addition, federal program expenditures and encumbrances, central services payment, General Obligation Reimbursable and Highways Revenue bond payments, and personal services on a fee basis expenses were less than anticipated.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 1. The estimated rate was higher than the actual due to less than anticipated administration payroll expenses and federal program expenditures. Total program costs had increased due to an increase in Special Maintenance Program (SMP) expenditures and encumbrances and funding of the Zip Mobile.
- Item 3. The actual rate was lower than planned due to deferring the Highway Revenue Bond issue to FY 26 and funds reallocated to TRN 501 DC Oahu Highways to fund the Zip Mobile.
- Item 4. Staff turnover has delayed the permit process. The Construction and Maintenance Branch is setting up an online database to administer the permit process that is projected to increase efficiency and transparency and reduce the number of days to review as low as five days by 2031.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No program target groups have been developed for this program for FY 24 and FY 25.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Items 1 and 2. Position variance is due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. Positions unfunded in Act 5, SLH 2019, and Act 88, SLH 2021 were not filled.

REPORT V61

12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-597 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030308

HIGHWAYS SAFETY

	FISCAL YEAR 2023-24					THREE I	MONTHS EN	NINE						
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	39.00 19,713	29.00 11,650	- -	10.00 8,063	26 41	39.00 4,601	29.00 2,302	- 10.0 - 2,29	1	39.00 15,192	39.00 17,491	+	0.00 2,299	0 15
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	39.00 19,713	29.00 11,650		10.00 8,063	26 41	39.00 4,601	29.00 2,302	- 10.0 - 2,29		39.00 15,192	39.00 17,491	+	0.00 2,299	0 15
						FIS PLANNED	CAL YEAR	2023-24 ± CHANG	E %	 PLANNED	FISCAL YEAR ESTIMATED			 %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. MOTOR VEH FATALITIES PER 10,00 2. NO. MOTOR VEH INJURIES PER 10,000 3. NO. MOTOR VEH ACCIDENTS PER 10,000 4. NO. MOTOR VEH PROP DAMAGE ACCE 5. NO. ACCIDENTS PER 10,000 MOTOR CA 6. % DOT CERTIFIED INSPECTION STATI 7. NO. DOT CERTIFIED INSPECTION STATI 8. NO. VEHICLES WEIGHED ON SEMI-POR 9. NO. VEHICLES WEIGHED ON SEMI-POR 10. NO. ACCIDENTS PER 10,000 SCHOOL E PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NO. OF MOTOR CARRIERS 2. NO. OF MOTOR CARRIER VEHICLES 3. NO. OF MOTOR CARRIER DRIVERS 4. NO. OF MOTOR VEHICLES 5. NO. OF DOT CERTIFIED VEHICLE INSPI 6. NO. OF MOTOR CARRIERS WEIGHED SI 7. NO. OF MOTOR CARRIER WEIGHED FI 8. NO. OF SCHOOL BUS OPERATORS	MOTOR VEHICL 00 MOTOR VEH 1TS/10,000 MOTO ARRIER VEHICL DNS INSPECTED IONS SUSPEND ITABLE SCALE IT SCALE AND (US VEHICLES ECTION STATIO EMI-PORTABLE	LES ICLES OR VEH ES ODED CITED NS S SCALES				1	1.00 70.00 112.00 42.00 32.00 25.00 0 4700.00 82.00 2.00 7000.00 31500.0 29700.0 1240000 170 4700 15000 103	+	0 0 0 5 8 7 7 7 7 2 5 5 2 7 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	1.00 68.00 110.00 40.00 30.00 25.00 0 5000.00 50.00 1.00 7000.00 32500.0 30000.0 1240000 170 5000 20000	+	0 3 5 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 5 5 0 0 0 17 43 0 0 6 6 0 3 17 20 8
9. NO. OF SCHOOL BUS VEHICLES10. NO. OF SCHOOL BUS DRIVERS						1050 1700	1100 1350		0 5 0 21	•	1100 1400		50 300	5 18
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF MOTOR CARRIER VEHICLES IN 2. NO. OF MOTOR CARRIER INVESTIGATI 3. NO. OF DOT CERTIFIED INSPECTION S 4. NO. OF SEMI-PORTABLE SCALE SETUP 5. NO. OF FIXED COMMERCIAL SCALE SE 6. NO. OF SCHOOL BUSES INSPECTED 7. NO. OF SCHOOL BUS INVESTIGATIONS	ONS CONDUCT TATIONS INSPE 'S CONDUCTED TUPS CONDUC	CTED				4200 60 50 275 300		- 5 + 2 + - 1	31 6 93 0 40 33 6 33 1 0 3 1 92	60 50 50 275 300	53 275 300		600 36 20 3 0 0 7	14 60 40 6 0 0 58

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 24: The position variance was due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions in Act 5, SLH 2019, and Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less-than-anticipated payroll expenses, personal services on a fee basis, and Civil ID program funding. Furthermore, the variance was due to less than anticipated federal Safety Community and Motor Carrier Safety program expenditures and encumbrances.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 8. The decrease is due to the shortage of personnel and the inability to safely run the weight enforcement operation with minimum manpower.

Item 9. The increase is due to sporadic enforcement with the shortage of personnel and is catching the motor carriers off guard.

Item 10. The increase is due to the increase of new school bus drivers and their behavior and inattention while driving (driver distractions).

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 6. The decrease is due to the shortage of personnel and the inability to safely run the weight enforcement operation with minimum manpower.

Item 7. The decrease is due to the shortage of personnel and the Sand Island Scales being down (unenforceable due to the inaccuracy of the readings for enforcement). The program has been running the portable scales at Sand Island Facility.

Item 10. The decrease is due to the lack of interest, since the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a shortage of workers nationwide, not only in

school bus operators but in all departments and offices. There is no explanation of why people do not want to work.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The decrease is due to the shortage of personnel.

Item 2. The decrease is due to the shortage of personnel and qualified officers to conduct the investigations.

Item 3. The increase is due to junior officers moving up to the senior ranks and being able to conduct the certification of the inspection stations independently. The need to re-certify the current stations and inspectors to meet the requirements of HAR 19-142.

Item 7. The decrease is due to the shortage of personnel and the lack of qualified inspectors to conduct the school bus investigations. The new hires have yet to be trained in the area of school bus investigations.

REPORT V61 12/3/24

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-995
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0304

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-2	24		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-24	4	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	111.00 46,473	87.00 32,413	-	24.00 14,060	22 30	111.00 7,477	85.00 5,864	- 26.00 - 1,613	23 22	111.00 41,744	111.00 45,346	+	0.00 3,602	0 9
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	111.00 46,473	87.00 32,413	-	24.00 14,060	22 30	111.00 7,477	85.00 5,864	- 26.00 - 1,613	23 22	111.00 41,744	111.00 45,346	+	0.00 3,602	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR:	2023-24			FISCAL YEAR	202	4-25	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. COSTS OF ADMIN RELATIVE TO TOTAL	PROGRAM CO	STS (%)				 4	4	+ 0	0	 4	4	 +	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. DIRECTOR'S OFFICE 2. PERSONNEL OFFICE 3. OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS 4. BUSINESS MANAGEMENT OFFICE 5. CONTRACTS OFFICE 6. COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND SERVICES						 20 11 8 17 4	8 7	 - 8 - 3 - 1 + 1 - 1	13 6 25	20 11 8 17 4	12 8 7 18 3 14	 - - + -	8 3 1 1 1 4	40 27 13 6 25 22
7. PPB MANAGEMENT AND ANALYTICAL8. STATEWIDE TRANSPORATION PLANNIN	NG					 11 17	5	- 6 - 5	55	 11 17	5 12	 - -	6 5	55 29

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000):

FY 24: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions; the expenditure variance is due to delays in expending federal funds and delays in filling vacant positions.

FY 25, three months that ended September 30, 2024: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions; the expenditure variance is due to delays in expending funds and delays in filling vacant positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

There is no significant variance to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No program target groups have been developed for this program for FY 24 and FY 25.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Items 1 to 3 and 5 to 8. The variances are due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions.

VARIANCE REPORT STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0305

REPORT V61 PROGRAM TITLE: ALOHA TOWER DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION PROGRAM-ID: TRN-695

12/3/24

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	023-24		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-24		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-25					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%		
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 1,842	0.00 698	- 1.00 - 1,144	100 62	1.00 424	0.00 56	- 1.00 - 368	100 87	1.00 1,418	0.00 1,381	- 1.00 - 37	100 3		
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS 1.00 0.00 - 1.00 100							100 87	1.00 1,418	0.00 1,381	- 1.00 - 37	100 3		
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2023-24		FISCAL YEAR 2024-25					
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO MEASURES HAVE BEEN DEVELOPE	0	0	 + 0	0	0	0	+ 0	0						

PROGRAM TITLE: ALOHA TOWER DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 23: The expenditure variance is due to lower than anticipated expenses.

FY 24: The expenditure variance is due to lower than anticipated expenses in the first quarter of FY 25.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No measures of effectiveness have been developed for this program for FY 24 and FY 25.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No program target groups have been developed for this program for FY 24 and FY 25.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No program activities have been developed for this program for FY 24 and FY 25.