

TRANSPORTATION

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 03

PROGRAM-ID:

FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-25 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26** % BUDGETED ESTIMATED ± CHANGE **BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % **BUDGETED** ACTUAL + CHANGE % **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 2.751.00 2,301.00 450.00 16 2.728.00 2.338.00 390.00 14 2.728.00 2.606.00 122.00 4 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 1,467,042 1,301,714 -165,328 442,822 259,503 183,319 41 1,203,342 1,361,644 + 158,302 13 11 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 2.751.00 2.301.00 450.00 16 2.728.00 2.338.00 390.00 2.728.00 2.606.00 122.00 4 14 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 1,467,042 1,301,714 165,328 11 442,822 259,503 183,319 41 1,203,342 1,361,644 + 158,302 13 FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 FISCAL YEAR 2025-26 **PLANNED** ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVE TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDWN TO PASSNGR DEPRT(AIR) 19 23.8 4.8 25 19 23.8 | + 4.8 25 .37 0 7 .37 6.63 95 NO. ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSENGER MOVEMENTS(AIR) 0 0.37 THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGER (AIR) 966 1150 54 2116 1092 | -1024 48 2116 NUMBER OF CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN TEU'S 1876433 1760486 | -115947 6 1913962 1703700 | -210262 11 TOTAL BAR. OF LIQ. CARGO PRCESD PER YR (THOUSANDS) 31421 30736 685 2 31421 3000 | -28421 90 NO. OF INCIDENCES/ACCIDENTS REPORTED 0 0 | + 0 0 0 | + 0 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES

03

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

AIR TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES AND SVCS

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0301

FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-25 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26 BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % **BUDGETED** ACTUAL + CHANGE % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 7 1.369.00 1.197.00 _ 172.00 13 1,369.00 1,215.00 154.00 11 1.369.00 1.272.00 97.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 864,837 773,163 91,674 282,768 176,717 106,051 38 657,459 763,508 + 106,049 16 11 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 7 1,369.00 1,197.00 172.00 13 1,369.00 1,215.00 154.00 1.272.00 97.00 11 1.369.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 864,837 773,163 91,674 11 282,768 176,717 106.051 38 657,459 763,508 + 106,049 16 FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 FISCAL YEAR 2025-26 **PLANNED** ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN-PASSENGER DEPARTURE 19 23.8 4.8 25 19 23.8 | + 4.8 25 PERCENTAGE OF TSA WAIT TIMES LESS THAN 30 MINUTES 61 90 29 48 61 90 | + 29 48 | + TOTAL THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGER (CENTS) 48 966 1150 54 2116 1092 I -1024 2116 ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSENGER MOVEMENTS 0.32 .37 | + 0.05 16 0.32 .37 | + 0.05 16

PROGRAM TITLE: AIR TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES AND SVCS

03 01

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/2/25

2495

1 |

234239 | +

PROGRAM TITLE: DANIEL K. INOUYE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TRN-102
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030101

6.

CIP IMPLEMENTATION

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-25	i	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	662.00 255,885	560.00 246,219	- 102.0 - 9,66		661.00 86,082	579.00 56,266	- 82.00 - 29,816	12 35	661.00 197,709	596.00 227,525	- 65.00 + 29,816	10 15
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	662.00 255,885	560.00 246,219	- 102.0 - 9,66	-	661.00 86,082	579.00 56,266	- 82.00 - 29,816	12 35	661.00 197,709	596.00 227,525	- 65.00 + 29,816	10 15
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2024-25			FISCAL YEAR	2025-26	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN- 2. % OF TSA WAIT TIME < 30 MINUTES FO 3. THROUGH PUT COST PER PASSENGEF 4. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSI 5. TOTAL OPERATING COST PER SQ. FT. 6. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SO		35 100 650 1.5 3700	35 85 1116 1.5 7576 50	+ 466 + 0 + 3876	72 0 105	35 85 1197 1.5 8090	1.5 8732	+ 0 + 0 + 73 + 0 + 642 + 14	0 0 6 0 8 23			
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 2. CARGO (THOUSANDS OF TONS) 3. AIR MAIL (THOUSANDS OF TONS) 4. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS) 5. WIDE BODY AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (F	,				21691 625 80 305 198	598	- 25 + 20	2 4 31 7 2	 22117 573 70 340 194	22339 617 52 325 203	+ 222 + 44 - 18 - 15 + 9	1 8 26 4 5
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CARGO HANDLING AREA (1,000 SQ FT) 3. VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING ST					92 2700 5329		 + 0 + 0	 0 0	 92 2700 5329	92 2700 5329	+ 0 + 0 + 0	0 0 0
 VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING STA TERMINAL FACILITIES (1,000 SQ FT) WIDE BODY AIRCRAFT GATES 	HLLO				5329 3250 35		+ 0 + 0 + 0	0 0 0	5329 3250 35	3250 35	+ 0 + 0 + 0	0

312217

165604 | - 146613 |

47

231744

PROGRAM TITLE: DANIEL K. INOUYE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

03 01 01 TRN 102

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 25: The position variance is due to difficulties in recruiting and filling vacant positions.
- FY 26: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions; the expenditure variance is due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 2. The increase in passengers created longer lines through the Transportation Security Administration security checkpoints.
- Items 3 and 5. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts. Changes in operating expenses and passenger counts should have been factored in when updating the planned amounts.
- Item 6. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program (CIP). Completion of projects in FY 26 are anticipated to be more than projected.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 3. Planned amounts were not updated.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 6. Actual CIP amount was less than estimated.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-104
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030102

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25		THREE I	MONTHS EN	IDED 09-30-25	;	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-26			
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%		
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS														
POSITIONS				1				6				3		
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15,797	15,467	- 330	2	4,624	1,971	- 2,653	57	15,187	17,840	+ 2,653	17		
TOTAL COSTS														
POSITIONS								6	1			3		
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15,797	15,467	- 330		4,624	1,971	- 2,653	57	15,187	17,840	+ 2,653	17		
					FIS	CAL YEAR :	2024-25			FISCAL YEAR	2025-26			
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS					J 0	0	+ 0	l I 0	l 0	0	+ 0	0		
2. % OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH	HIN SCHEDULE	D TIME			j 0			0	l 50		-	0 1		
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP					<u>.</u> I		<u>'</u>	<u>'</u> I	<u>. </u>			<u> </u>		
PRIVATE AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THO	USANDS)				310	368	+ 58	 19	336	0 0 + 0 50 50 + 0				
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY	31.00 30.00 - 1.00 3 31.00 29.00 - 2.00 15,797 15,467 - 330 2 4,624 1,971 - 2,653 (\$1000's) 15,797 15,467 - 330 2 4,624 1,971 - 2,653 (\$1000's) 15,797 15,467 - 330 2 4,624 1,971 - 2,653						ļ .							
RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP	ERATIONS							0			-	0		
2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION					12001	2666	- 9335	78	1095	14821	+ 13726	1254		

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL AVIATION 03 01 02
TRN 104

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: No significant variances to report.

FY 26: The expenditure variances are due to delayed or deferred projects and expenses in the first quarter being done in the subsequent quarters.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The increase in private aircraft operations is due to underestimating the number of operations, which is reported by the air traffic control tower.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2. The variance is due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030103

1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OPERATIONS

2. CARGO HANDLING AREA (1,000 SQ FT)

4. TERMINAL FACILITIES (1,000 SQ FT)

CIP IMPLEMENTATION

3. VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING STALLS

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25		THREE I	MONTHS EN	IDED 09-30	-25	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-26	<u> </u>
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	85.00 23,321	80.00 22,604	- 5.00 - 717	6 3	85.00 7,547	83.00 6,703	- 2.0 - 84	-	85.00 18,893	83.00 19,737	- 2.00 + 844	2 4
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	85.00 23,321	80.00 22,604	- 5.00 - 717		85.00 7,547	83.00 6,703	- 2.0 - 84	-	85.00 18,893	83.00 19,737	- 2.00 + 844	2 4
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2024-25		1	FISCAL YEAR	2025-26	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN- 2. % OF TSA WAIT TIME < 30 MINUTES FO		_			 19 90	19 90		 0	 20 90	19 90	 - 1 + 0	 5 0
3. THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGER	R (CENTS)				910	1568	+ 65	8 72	1588	1815	+ 227	j 14
4. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSE					.10	0	- 0.		•	٠ ١	- 0.1	100
5. TOTAL OPERATING COST PER SQ. FT. (6. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC	,	TABLE			5500 60	9042 0		2 64 0 100	9262	10576 90	+ 1314 - 10	14 10
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP					1			1	I			1
 PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 					1430	1442	+ 1	2 1	1456	1457	+ 1	j 0
2. CARGO (THOUSANDS OF TONS)					25	16		9 36	17	16	- 1	6
 AIR MAIL (TONS) AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS) 					5760 45	4326 37	- 143 -	4 25 8 18	4758 44	00	- 472 - 10	10 23
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY												1

65

1020

705

250

0

65 | +

1020 | +

705 | +

250 | +

27262 | +

0 |

0 |

0

0

27262

0

0

0

0

0 |

65

1020

481

250

19500

65 | +

1020 | +

481 | +

250 | +

23138 | +

0 |

0 |

0

0 |

3638

0

0

0

0

19

PROGRAM TITLE: HILO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

03 01 03 TRN 111

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 25: The expenditure variance is due to vacancy savings, lower than anticipated fringe costs, and deferral of repair and maintenance projects.
- FY 26: The expenditure variance is due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Items 3, 4, and 5. Changes in operating expenses and passenger counts were not factored in when updating the planned amounts.

Item 6. The actual variance was due to delays in the projects' timetables. The estimated variance is due to overestimating the planned amount.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Items 2 and 3. The interisland cargo and mail traffic were overestimated in anticipation of the full operation of an interisland cargo carrier after its aircraft was grounded in prior years.

Item 4. A decrease in air carrier, general aviation, and air taxi attributed to the decline in total aircraft operations in Hilo. Such decline may have been due to competition and contract changes and may have lead to fewer flights for less profitable or less busy destinations.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 6. The variance is due to incorrect planned amounts for the capital improvement program.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-114 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030104

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25	5		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDEC	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	110.00 29,610	92.00 28,439		18.00 1,171	16 4	110.00 10,853	84.00 9,060	 - -	26.00 1,793	24 17	110.00 23,430	100.00 25,223	- +	10.00 1,793	9 8
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	110.00 29,610	92.00 28,439	-	18.00 1,171	16 4	110.00 10,853	84.00 9,060	- -	26.00 1,793	24 17	110.00 23,430	100.00 25,223	- +	10.00 1,793	9 8
				•		l FIS	CAL YEAR	2024	1-25			FISCAL YEAR	2025	5-26	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± Cl	HANGE	%
RT II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN-PASSENGER DEPARTURE 2. % OF TSA WAIT TIME < 30 MINUTES FOR PASSENGER 3. THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGER (CENTS) 4. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSENGER MVTS						25 100 670 .16	25 95 676 0	 + - + -	0 5 6 0.16	0 5 1 100	25 100 643 0	 25 95 788 0	+ - + +	 0 5 145 0	0 5 23 0
5. TOTAL OPERATING COST PER SQ. FT. (,					7000	14220	+	7220	103	7175	17142	+	9967	139
6. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC	HEDULED TIME	TABLE				55	100	+	45	82	75	75	+	0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 2. CARGO (THOUSAND OF TONS) 3. AIR MAIL (TONS) 4. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)						4575 34 5548 105	4204 55 4036 101	 - + -	371 21 1512 4	8 62 27 4	 4301 42 4104 102	4352 61 3999 100	++	 51 19 105 2	1 45 3 2
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY											 				
 RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP! CARGO HANDLING AREA (SQ. FT.) VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING STATEMENT TERMINAL FACILITES (1,000 SQ FT) 						60 161000 1475 200	1475 200	+ + +	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	60 161000 715 200	715 200	+ + +	0 0 0 0	0 0 0
CIP IMPLEMENTATION						0	19845	+	19845	0	14215	87812	+	73597	518

PROGRAM TITLE: ELLISON ONIZUKA KONA INTL. AIRPT AT KE'AHOLE

03 01 04 TRN 114

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 25: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions. Although not a significant percentage variance, the expenditure variance is due to vacancy savings, lower than anticipated fringe costs, and delays of repair and maintenance projects.
- FY 26: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions; the expenditure and estimated variances are due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Items 3, 4, and 5. Changes in passenger counts and actual expenses were not factored in when updating the planned amounts.
- Item 6. The variance is due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program (CIP).

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 2. Planned amounts were not updated.
- Item 3. Interisland mail traffic was overestimated in anticipation of the comeback of an interisland cargo carrier.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 5. The variance is due to not updating planned amounts for CIP.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-116 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030105

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25			THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED 09-3	0-25		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHAI	IGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	4.00 1,191	3.00 1,074	- -	1.00 117	25 10	4.00 411	3.00 358	- 1 -	.00 53	25 13	4.00 927	4.00 980	+	0.00 53	0 6
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	4.00 1,191	3.00 1,074	-	1.00 117	25 10	4.00 411	3.00 358	- 1 -	.00 53	25 13	4.00 927	4.00 980	+	0.00 53	0 6
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
DADT II MEAGUIDEG OF FEFFOTIVENEGO						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHAN	GE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± Cl	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSE 2. % OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH		D TIME				 0 0	0		0 0	0	0 0	0 0	+	0 0	0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS)						 10	9		1	10	8	9	+	1	13
2. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)						2	2	+	0	0	2	2	+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	ERATIONS					 55 0	10		 45 0	82 0	6 0	6 478	+	0 478	0

PROGRAM TITLE: WAIMEA-KOHALA AIRPORT

03 01 05 TRN 116

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The small number of positions makes any variance significant on a percentage basis. The expenditure variance is due to delays of repair and maintenance projects and the lower expenses for operations and activity at the airport.

FY 26: The small number of positions makes any variance significant on a percentage basis; the expenditure variances are due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The small number (in thousands) makes any variance significant on a percentage basis.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The variance is due to overestimating planned amounts; there has been a reduced number of flights per day.

Item 2. The variance is due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-118
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030106

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25	5		THREE N	IONTHS EN	IDED	09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-3	0-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHAN	IGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	0.00 51	0.00 31	+	0.00 20	0 39	0.00 11	0.00	+	0.00	0 73	0.00 40	0.00 48	+ 0+	.00	0 20
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	0.00 51	0.00 31	+	0.00 20	0 39	0.00 11	0.00	+	0.00	0 73	0.00 40	0.00 48	+ 0+	.00	0 20
				•		FIS	CAL YEAR	2024-	25			FISCAL YEAR	2025-26		
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Cl	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHAN	3E	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 SQ. FT 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC		TABLE				0	0		 0 0	0 0	0	0 0 0	+	0 0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)						0	0	 +	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	ERATIONS					26 0	26 0	•	0 0	0 0	0	0 0 0	+	0 0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: UPOLU AIRPORT TRN 118

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The actual expenditure variance is due to the decrease of activity and expenditures at the airport.

FY 26: The expenditure variances is due to the deferral of purchases and services in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. Aircraft operations are dependent upon military training/exercises at the airport. Operations other than military is less than thousand.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances to report.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-131 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030107

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25		THREE I	MONTHS EN	IDED 09-30-2	5	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	183.00 48,076	169.00 46,770	- 14.0 - 1,30	1	183.00 17,044	173.00 14,755	- 10.00 - 2,289	5 13	183.00 35,239	178.00 37,528	- 5.00 + 2,289	3 6
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	183.00 48,076	169.00 46,770	- 14.0 - 1,30		183.00 17,044	173.00 14,755	- 10.00 - 2,289	5 13	183.00 35,239	178.00 37,528	- 5.00 + 2,289	3 6
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2024-25			FISCAL YEAR	2025-26	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN- 2. %OF TSA WAIT TIME < 30 MINUTES FOR 3. THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGER 4. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSE 5. TOTAL OPERATING COST PER SQ. FT. 6. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 2. CARGO (THOUSANDS OF TONS)	R PASSENGER R (CENTS) ENGER MVTS (CENTS)				PLANNED	20 97 655 1.5 12539 0	± CHANGE + 0 + 9 + 155 + 0.5 + 6339 - 55	% 0 10 31 50 102 100	PLANNED 25 90 525 1 11534 50 7526 47	1.5 14017 50	± CHANGE - 5 + 7 + 200 + 0.5 + 2483 + 0 - 321 + 7	%
 AIR MAIL (TONS) AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS))				9650	5513 118	- 4137 - 7	43 6	6230 128		- 1090 - 8	 17 6
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CARGO HANDLING AREA (1,000 SQ FT) 3. VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING STA 4. TERMINAL FACILITIES (1,000 SQ FT) 5. CIP IMPLEMENTATION					71 104 1200 373 34700	104 1200 373	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	 0 0 0 0	71 104 1345 373 35109	104 1345 373	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 31113	

PROGRAM TITLE: KAHULUI AIRPORT TRN 131

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: Although not a significant percentage variance, the expenditure variance is due to vacancy savings, lower than anticipated fringe costs, and delays of repair and maintenance projects.

FY 26: The expenditure and estimated variances are due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Items 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. Changes in operating expenses and passenger counts should have been factored in when updating the planned amounts.

Item 6. Due to project schedule delays, fewer projects closed (or will close) on time.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Items 1, 2, and 3. The wildfires on Maui severely affected the air traffic (movements of passengers, mail, and aircraft operations), with airlines suspending flights to the island.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 5. The variance is due to incorrect planned amounts for the capital improvement program.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-133
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030108

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-2	5		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING	06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 607	3.00 431	+	0.00 176	0 29	3.00 241	3.00 137	+	0.00 104	0 43	3.00 521	3.00 625	+	0.00 104	0 20
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 607	3.00 431	+	0.00 176	0 29	3.00 241	3.00 137	+	0.00 104	0 43	3.00 521	3.00 625	+	0.00 104	0 20
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
DART II. MEACHRES OF FEFOTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Cl	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± Cl	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSE 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC		ETABLE				0 0	0	•	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	++	0 0	0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP										I					
 PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS) 						5 2	5 2		0 0	0 0	7 2	5 2	- +	2 0	29 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	ERATIONS					 36 0	36 0	•	0 0	0 0	36 0	36 2147	+	0 2147	0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: HANA AIRPORT 03 01 08
TRN 133

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The expenditure variance is due to delays in purchases and lower than anticipated expenses.

FY 26: The actual and estimated expenditure variances are due to delays in purchases and lower than anticipated expenses in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The smaller numbers will reflect a significant variance on a percentage basis.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2. The variance is due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program.

TRN-135

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030109 FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-25 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26 BUDGETED ACTUAL** % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % + CHANGE % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 2.00 17 12.00 2.00 17 8 12.00 10.00 10.00 12.00 11.00 1.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 2,990 2,500 490 16 1,016 625 391 38 2,117 2,508 + 391 18 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 17 8 12.00 10.00 2.00 12.00 10.00 2.00 17 12.00 11.00 1.00 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 2,990 2,500 391 490 16 1,016 625 391 38 2,117 2,508 18 FISCAL VEAR 2024-25 FISCAL VEAR 2025-26

	FIS	CAL YEAR	<u> 2024-25</u>			FISCAL YEAR	(2025-26	
	PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS								
NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSENGER MVTS	1	0	- 1	100] 0	0	+ 0	0
2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SCHEDULED TIMETABLE	0	0	+ 0	0	0	0	+ 0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	1							<u> </u>
1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS)	20	3	- 17	85	6	3	- 3	50
2. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)	3	2	- 1	33] 3	2	- 1	33
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY	1		l				1	T I
1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OPERATIONS	37	37	+ 0	0	5	5	+ 0	0
2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	0	0	+ 0	0	0	1706	+ 1706	0

93 01 09
PROGRAM TITLE: KAPALUA AIRPORT TRN 135

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The small amount of positions makes any variance significant on a percentage basis. The expenditure variance is due to lower than anticipated fringe costs and delays of purchases and repair and maintenance projects.

FY 26: The small amount of positions makes any variance significant on a percentage basis. The actual and estimated variances are due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter carrying over into the subsequent quarters.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. The actual number of accidents was significantly less per 100,000 movements.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Items 1 and 2. The Maui wildfires affected the passenger counts and aircraft operations. The smaller numbers will reflect a significant variance on a percentage basis.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2. The variance is due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-141 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030110

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-2	.5	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGI	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 3,822	13.00 3,617	- 2.00 - 205	13 5	14.00 1,328	14.00 1,124	+ 0.00 - 204	0 15	14.00 2,665	14.00 2,869	+ 0.00 + 204	0 8
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 3,822	13.00 3,617	- 2.00 - 205	13 5	14.00 1,328	14.00 1,124	+ 0.00 - 204	1	14.00 2,665	14.00 2,869	+ 0.00 + 204	0 8
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2024-25			FISCAL YEAR		
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSI 2. % OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH		D TIME			 0 0	0 0		•	 0 100	0 50	+ 0 - 50	 0 50
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS(THOUSANDS) 2. CARGO (TONS) 3. AIR MAIL (TONS) 4. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)					 197 2235 915 34	161 2780 745 33	- 170	24 19	 178 2510 605 35	156 3070 881 33	- 22 + 560 + 276 - 2	 12 22 46 6
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	ERATIONS				 75 0	75 1288		•	 75 9171	75 5425	+ 0 - 3746	 0 41

PROGRAM TITLE: MOLOKAI AIRPORT TRN 141

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 25: The small number of positions makes any variance significant on a percentage basis. The expenditure variance is due to deferral of maintenance projects and the lower than anticipated expenses on the operations and activity at the airport.
- FY 26: The actual and estimated expenditure variances are due to delayed expenditures and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2. The FY 26 planned percentage was underestimated.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 1. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts.
- Item 2. Cargo increases are due to strategic shifts by carriers toward cargo-focused operations, further supported by sustained growth in ecommerce activity.
- Item 3. Interisland mail traffic has shown a steady decline on a statewide level, although Molokai Airport is anticipated to experience a localized increase.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2. The variances are due to not updating the planned amounts for the capital improvement program. TRN-143

2. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)

1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OPERATIONS

PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY

2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION

PROGRAM-ID:

REPORT V61 12/2/25

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-2	5		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-2	6
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANG	≡ %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 519	2.00 362	+	0.00 157	0 30	2.00 131	2.00 69	+	0.00 62	0 47	2.00 390	2.00 452	+ 0.00 + 62	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 519	2.00 362	+	0.00 157	0 30	2.00 131	2.00 69	+	0.00 62	0 47	2.00 390	2.00 452	+ 0.00 + 62	
				•		FIS	CAL YEAR	2024-	25			FISCAL YEAR	2025-26	•
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> 다	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC	CHEDULED TIME	TABLE				0	0	 + +	0 0 0	0	 0 0	0 0	+ ()	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS)						18	9		9	50	 9	9	+ (0

3 | -

75 | +

0 | +

75

0

1

0 |

0 |

25

0

0

3

13

0

3 | +

13 | +

0 | +

0

0 |

0 |

0

0

0

PROGRAM TITLE: KALAUPAPA AIRPORT TRN 143

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 24: The expenditure variance is due to the deferral of expenses and lower than anticipated fringe benefit costs.
- FY 25: The actual and estimated variances are due to the delayed or deferred expenditures from the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 1. The variance is due to not updating the planned amount for passenger count.
- Item 2. Aircraft operations were substantial in terms of percentage value, but minimal in actual counts.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variances to report.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-151 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030112

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25			THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDEI	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	14.00 4,260	14.00 3,735	+ -	0.00 525	0 12	14.00 1,504	14.00 1,190	+	0.00 314	0 21	14.00 3,072	14.00 3,386	+	0.00 314	0 10
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	14.00 4,260	14.00 3,735	+	0.00 525	0 12	14.00 1,504	14.00 1,190	+	0.00 314	0 21	14.00 3,072	14.00 3,386	+ +	0.00 314	0 10
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2024	4-25			FISCAL YEAR			
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSI 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SC		TABLE				0	0 0	1 '	 0 0	0 0	0	0 0	+	0 0	0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 2. CARGO (TONS) 3. AIR MAIL (TONS) 4. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)						110 2400 451 25	111 3891 349 24	 + -	 1 1491 102 1	1 62 23 4	116 3037 313 26	113 4104 362 27	- + +	3 1067 49 1	3 35 16 4
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OP 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION	ERATIONS					56 0	56 15		0 15	0 0 0	56 0	56 386	+	0 386	0

93 01 12
PROGRAM TITLE: LANAI AIRPORT TRN 151

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 25: The expenditure variance is due to lower than anticipated fringe costs, and deferral of purchases and repair and maintenance projects.
- FY 26: The expenditure variances are due to the deferral and delay of purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 2. Cargo increases are due to strategic shifts by carriers toward cargo-focused operations, further supported by sustained growth in ecommerce activity.
- Item 3. Interisland mail traffic has shown a steady decline on a statewide level.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2. The variance is due to not updating the planned amount for the capital improvement program.

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID: TRN-161 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030113

STATE OF HAWAII

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-25	5	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	115.00 30,304	108.00 30,405	- 7.00 + 101	6 0	115.00 10,505	114.00 8,265	- 1.00 - 2,240	1 21	115.00 22,470	114.00 24,710	- 1.00 + 2,240	1 10	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	115.00 30,304	108.00 30,405	- 7.00 + 101	6 0	115.00 10,505	114.00 8,265	- 1.00 - 2,240	1 21	115.00 22,470	114.00 24,710	- 1.00 + 2,240	1 10	
						CAL YEAR :	2024-25		l				
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVG TIME FROM PLANE TOUCHDOWN- 2. % OF TSA WAIT TIMES < 30 MINUTES F 3. THROUGH-PUT COST PER PASSENGER 4. NO. OF ACCIDENTS PER 100,000 PASSI 5. TOTAL OPERATING COST PER SQ. FT. 6. % OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH	OR PASSENGEI R (CENTS) ENGER MVTS (CENTS)	R			PLANNED 20 85 900 0 2700 50	20 85 816 0	<u>+</u> CHANGE + 0 + 0 - 84 + 0 + 31851 - 50	% 0 0 9 0 1180 100	PLANNED 20 85 752 0 3376	ESTIMATED 20 85 860 0 37472 50	<u>+</u> CHANGE + 0 + 0 + 108 + 0 + 34096 + 0	% 0 0 14 0 1010	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PASSENGERS (THOUSANDS) 2. CARGO (TONS) 3. AIR MAIL (TONS) 4. AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS))				4205 35000 4400 116	3724 42767 4077 124	- 323	 11 22 7 7		3834 44443 4111 125	 - 41 + 15389 + 673 - 2		
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OPERATIONS 2. CARGO HANDLING AREA (SQ FT) 3. VEHICULAR CAPACITY IN PARKING STALLS 4. TERMINAL FACILITIES (1,000 SQ FT) 5. CIP IMPLEMENTATION					 110 757000 575 88 10000	757000 575 88	 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 113298	 0 0 0 1133	 110 757000 575 88 7099	110 757000 575 88 84239	 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 77140		

PROGRAM TITLE: LIHUE AIRPORT TRN 161

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 25: The expenditure variance is due to deferral of expenditures and delays in repair and maintenance projects.
- FY 26: The expenditure variance is due to delayed expenses and purchases in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Items 3 and 5. Changes in operating expenses and passenger counts should have been factored in when updating the planned amounts.

Item 6. The variance is due to delays in the projects.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. Changes in passenger counts were not factored in when updating the planned amounts.

Items 2 and 3. Cargo and air mail increases are due to strategic shifts by carriers toward cargo-focused operations, further supported by sustained growth in e-commerce activity.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 5. The variance is due to incorrect planned amounts for the capital improvement program.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-163 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030114

	FISC	THREE N	MONTHS EN	09-30-25		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26									
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± (CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CH	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	0.00 2	0.00	+	0.00	0 100	0.00	0.00	+	0.00	0	0.00 2	0.00	+ -	0.00	0 100
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	0.00 2	0.00	+	0.00	0 100	0.00	0.00	+	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	+	0.00	0 100
						FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 FISCAL YEAR 2025-26							6		
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS 2. % CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED W/IN SCHEDULED TIMETABLE					0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	+	0 0	0	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PRIVATE AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS (THOUSANDS)					2	0.1	 -	1.9	95	0.2	0.2	+	0	0	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. RUNWAY CAPACITY IN PEAK HOUR OPERATIONS 2. CIP IMPLEMENTATION						I ATAD ON I	NO DATA 711		 0 711	0	NO DATA	NO DATA 0	+	0 0 0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: PORT ALLEN AIRPORT

03 01 14 TRN 163

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25 and FY 26: The expenditure variances are due to any expenses being absorbed by Lihue Airport.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

The variance is due to not updating the planned amount for private aircraft operations.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. No data collection is available.

Item 2. The variance is due to not updating the planned amount for the capital improvement program.

STATE OF HAWAII
PROGRAM TITLE: AIRPORTS ADMINISTRATION

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-195
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030115

	FISC	024-25		THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED 09-30-25	;	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS												
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	133.00 448,402	113.00 371,509	- 20.00 - 76,893		135.00 141,471	107.00 76,191	- 28.00 - 65,280	21 46	135.00 334,797	123.00 400,077	- 12.00 + 65,280	9 19
,	440,402	371,309	- 70,093	17	141,471	70,191	- 05,280	40	334,797	400,077	+ 05,280	19
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	133.00 448,402	113.00 371,509	- 20.00 - 76,893		135.00 141,471	107.00 76,191	- 28.00 - 65,280	21 46	135.00 334,797	123.00 400,077	- 12.00 + 65,280	9 19
						CAL YEAR	2024-25		FISCAL YEAR 2025-26			
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. ADMIN COSTS REL. TO TOTAL PROG CO	OSTS (%)				45	48	+ 3	 7	 45	 45	+ 0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY					133						I	
1. ADMIN PERSONNEL (NO. OF PERSONS)						113	- 20	15	133	123	- 10	8
DIVISIONAL PERSONNEL (NO. OF PERS	ONO)				1393	1218	- 175	13	1396	1272	- 124	9

PROGRAM TITLE: AIRPORTS ADMINISTRATION

03 01 15 TRN 195

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions. The expenditure variance is due to savings from vacancies, collective bargaining, and deferral and savings of other expenses.

FY 26: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions. The expenditure variance is due to savings from vacancies and other expenses, and the deferral of expenditures in the first quarter.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No program target groups have been developed for this program.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Items 1 and 2. The variances are due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions.

VARIANCE REPORT STATE OF HAWAII

REPORT V61 WATER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES AND SERVICES PROGRAM TITLE: 12/2/25 PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0302

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-2	5	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	232.00 151,335	232.00 145,855	+ 0.00 - 5,480	1	236.00 60,836	236.00 41,607	+ 0.00 - 19,229	0 32	236.00 142,892	236.00 137,107	+ 0.00 - 5,785	0 4	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	232.00 151,335	232.00 145,855	+ 0.00 - 5,480	1	236.00 60,836	236.00 41,607	+ 0.00 - 19,229	0 32	236.00 142,892	236.00 137,107	+ 0.00 - 5,785	0 4	
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2024-25		FISCAL YEAR 2025-26				
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS/INCIDENTS 2. NUMBERS OF CONTAINERS PROCESSE	ED EXPRESSED	IN TEU'S			0 1876433	0 1760486	 + 0 - 115947	 0 6	 0 1913962	0 1703700	+ 0 - 210262		

PROGRAM TITLE: WATER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES AND SERVICES

03 02

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-301 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030201

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-2	5		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DIN	G 06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	101.00 34,226	101.00 30,812	+	0.00 3,414	0 10	104.00 9,608	104.00 5,557	+	0.00 4,051	0 42	104.00 23,687	104.00 27,738	+	0.00 4,051	0 17
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	101.00 34,226	101.00 30,812	+	0.00 3,414	0 10	104.00 9,608	104.00 5,557	+	0.00 4,051	0 42	104.00 23,687	104.00 27,738	+	0.00 4,051	0 17
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
DART II MEAGURES OF FEFFOTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> ± (</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> </u>	CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM REVENUE PER ACRE 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN TI 4. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS 5. REVENUE FROM COMMERCIAL PROPE		2.24 41362 NO DATA 1980 NO DATA	679.37 41538 5505 2076 3.91	 + + +	677.13 176 5505 96 3.91	30229 0 0 5 0	NO DATA 1980	692.96 42991 5615 2118 3.96	 + +	690.72 802 5615 138 3.96	30836 2 0 7 0				
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - INTER 2. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DOMES 3. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND 4. NO. OF PASSENGERS 5. NO. OF CONTAINERS 6. TOTAL REVENUE GENERATED 7. COMMERCIAL PROPERTY REVENUE UN		5663835 1123625 3124003 54086 NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA		+ + + +	4497874 4297662 205903 246926 399669 163049 18341	0	 5777111 1146097 3186483 55168 NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA	1189280 5529713 3396504 307032 407662 166310 18708	 + + + +	4587831 4383616 210021 251864 407662 166310 18708	79 382 7 457 0 0 0				
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY						ļ .									
PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) SHED AREA (ACRES)						30490		+	0	0		32290 30	+ +	1800 0	6 0
SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES)						30	30 210	+ +	0 0	0	30 210	30 294.4		84.4	40
4. COMMERCIAL PROPERTY (SQUARE FO	OT)					NO DATA	-		- 1	-	NO DATA			4693792	0

03 02 01 TRN 301

PROGRAM TITLE: HONOLULU HARBOR

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: No position variances to report. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in filling hard-to-fill vacant positions and the project to remove the Falls of Clyde vessel from the Honolulu Harbor. The resolicitation vendor and cost of the project was not certain until late in FY 25, at which time, planning for any excess amounts for the project could not be reallocated to other possible special maintenance projects for Honolulu Harbor.

FY 26: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter, amounting to approximately \$4,000,000 or 42%, was mainly due to timing. Although full-year appropriations and allotments were provided at the beginning of the fiscal year, such was not learned until very near to the beginning of the fiscal year, not providing sufficient time to plan for solicitations of contracts and projects. Smaller supplies could be ordered but those items with longer lead times could not.

The overall expenditures for FY 26 are anticipated to be approximately the same as the planned amount of \$33,294,730.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. A new measure of effectiveness (MOE) implemented in FY 25 to measure Program Revenue per acre (\$000). Although there was planned data in this field, it was not pertinent to the new MOE so the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total revenue per acre is generated by each harbor.

Item 2. The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 41,362 and FY 25 actual was 41,538, a variance of 176 or 0%. The FY 26 planned amount

for Honolulu Harbor is 42,189, with an estimated amount of 42,991, for a variance of 802 or 2%.

Item 3. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure the number of containers expressed in twenty-foot equipment units (TEUs) per acre. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total containers (expressed in TEUs) per acre are processed by each harbor.

Item 4, The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 1,980 but FY 25 actual is 2,076, a variance of 96 or 5%. This increase was due to larger cruise ships with higher passenger capacity. The FY 26 planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 1,980, with an estimated amount of 2,118, for a variance of 138 or 7%. This anticipated increase is due to larger cruise ships with higher passenger capacity.

Item 5. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure Revenue from Commercial Properties per square foot (sq. ft.) (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much commercial property revenue per sq. ft. is generated by each harbor.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. For international tons of cargo, the FY 25 planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 5,663,835. This item is mislabeled as the amounts for Domestic and International cargo are switched; as for TRN 301, Honolulu Harbor, Domestic tons of cargo are significantly larger than International tons of cargo. Therefore, the variance for International should be 1,123,625 planned versus 1,165,961 actual, an increase in variance of 42,336 or 4%. For FY 26, same situation, International should be 1,146,097 planned versus 1,189,280 estimated, an increase in variance of 43,183 or 4%

03 02 01 TRN 301

Item 2. For Domestic tons of cargo, the planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 1,123,625 for FY 25. This item is mislabeled as the amounts for Domestic and International cargo are switched; as for TRN 301, Honolulu Harbor, Domestic tons of cargo are significantly larger than International tons of cargo. Therefore, the variance for Domestic should be 5,663,835 planned versus 5,421,287 actual, a decrease in variance of 242,548 or 4%. For FY 26, same situation, Domestic should be 5,777,111 planned versus 5,529,713 actual, a decrease of 247,398 or 4%.

PROGRAM TITLE: HONOLULU HARBOR

Item 3. The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 3,124,003, with FY 25 actual at 3,329,906, a variance of 205,903 or 7%. Interisland cargo tonnage increased moderately. The FY 26 planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 3,186,483, with the estimated being 3,396,504, a variance of 210,021 or 7%. Interisland cargo tonnage increased modestly.

- Item 4. The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 54,086 but FY 25 actual was 301,012, a variance of 246,926 or 457%. Larger cruise ships and more arrivals provided for an increase in total passengers. The FY 26 planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 55,168, with the estimated being 307,032, a variance of 251,864 or 457%. Larger cruise ships and more arrivals provided for an increase in total passengers.
- Item 5. A new program target group (PTG) implemented in FY 25 to measure Number of Containers. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how many loaded containers are processed by each harbor.
- Item 6. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Revenue Generated (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total program revenue is generated by each harbor.

Item 7. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Commercial Property Revenue under any Land Disposition (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property Revenue is being generated by each harbor.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Item 1. There was no change for FY 25. The Kapalama Container Terminal (KCT) will be completed by the end of FY 26. This will add approximately 1,800 linear feet of pier length, increasing the estimated to 32,290, a variance of 1,800 or 6% for FY 26.
- Item 3. There was no change for FY 25. The FY 26 planned amount is 210 but the KCT will add approximately 84.4 acres of yard to Honolulu Harbor, increasing it to 290.4 acres, a variance of 84.4 or 40%.
- Item 4. A new program activity implemented in FY 25 to measure Commercial Property (sq. ft.). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new activity will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property area in sq. ft. is being utilized by each harbor.

PROGRAM TITLE:

KALAELOA BARBERS POINT HARBOR

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-303
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030202

YARD AREA (ACRES)

COMMERCIAL PROPERTY (SQUARE FOOT)

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25			THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	9 06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS															1
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	6.00 2,367	6.00 1,922	+	0.00 445	0 19	6.00 650	6.00 407	-	0.00 243	0 37	6.00 1,608	6.00 1,851	+	0.00 243	0 15
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS	6.00	6.00	+	0.00	0	6.00	6.00		0.00	0	6.00	6.00	_	0.00	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,367	1,922	-	445	19	650	407	-	243	37	1,608	1,851	+	243	15
						FIS	CAL YEAR	202	4-25			FISCAL YEAR	202	25-26	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> ± (</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> </u>	CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM REVENUE GENERATED 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC	·RE					0.35 74727	278.66 66271	 + -	278.31 8456	79517 11	0.35 76221	284.24 67597	 + -	283.89 8624	 81111 11
3. NO. OF CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN T 4. REVENUE FROM COMMERCIAL PROPE	EUS PER ACRE		Г			NO DATA NO DATA	535.82 1.85	+	535.82 1.85	0	NO DATA	546.54	 + +	546.54 1.88	0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP						<u>'</u> 		i i							
TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - INTERI	NATIONAL					2055918	1599860	¦ -	456058	22	2097036	1631857	-	465179	22
2. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DOME	STIC					386238	420725	+	34487	9	393963	429140	+	35177	9
TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND						1475777	1454026	ļ -	21751	1	1505293	1483107	-	22186	1
4. TOTAL REVENUE GENERATED						NO DATA	14610		14610	0	NO DATA	1	+	14902	0
 NO. OF CONTAINERS COMMERCIAL PROPERTY REVENUE U 	NDER ANY LANI	D DISPOSI				NO DATA NO DATA	7521 3774		7521 3774	0 0	NO DATA NO DATA		+ +	7671 3849	0 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY								ı							
PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET)						2990	2990	+	0	0	2990	2990	+	0	0
2. SHED AREA (ACRES)						0.83	.83	+	0	0	0.83	.83	+	0	0

51.6 | +

NO DATA 2039770 | + 2039770

51.60

0

51.60

0 | NO DATA

51.6 | +

2039770 | + 2039770

0 |

0

0

0

PROGRAM TITLE: KALAELOA BARBERS POINT HARBOR

03 02 02 TRN 303

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: No position variances to report. The expenditure budget was \$2,367 with the actual of \$1,922, a variance of \$445 or 19%. The expenditure variance was partially due to a delay in filling hard-to-fill vacant positions.

FY 26: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter, amounting to approximately \$243,000 or 37%, was mainly due to timing. Although full-year appropriations and allotments were provided at the beginning of the fiscal year, such was not learned until very near to the beginning of the fiscal year, not providing sufficient time to plan for solicitations of contracts and projects. Smaller supplies could be ordered but those items with longer lead times could not.

The overall expenditures for FY 26 are anticipated to be approximately the same as the planned amount of \$2,258,000.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. A new measure of effectiveness (MOE) implemented in FY 25 to measure Program Revenue Generated per acre (\$000). Although there was planned data in this field, it was not pertinent to the new MOE, so the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total revenue per acre is generated by each harbor.

Item 2. The planned amount for Kalaeloa Barbers Point Harbor was 74,727 and FY 25 actual was 66,271, a variance of 8,456 or 11%. This decrease is due to the loss of AES Corporation as a tenant. The FY 26 planned amount for Kalaeloa Barbers Point Harbor is 76,221, with an estimated amount of 67,597, a variance of 8,624 or 11%. An adjustment

will be made to the FY 27 planned number so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 3. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers expressed in twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs) per acre. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total containers (expressed in TEUs) per acre are processed by each harbor.

Item 4. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure Revenue from Commercial Properties per square foot (sq. ft.) (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much commercial property revenue per sq. ft. is generated by each harbor.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. For international tons of cargo, the planned amount for Kalaeloa Barbers Point Harbor was 2,055,918 and FY 25 actual was 1,599,860, a variance of 456,058 or 22%. This decrease is due to the loss of AES Corporation as a tenant. For FY 26, the planned amount is 2,097,036 and estimated is 1,631,857, for a variance of 465,179 or 22%. An adjustment will be made to the FY 27 planned number so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 2. For Domestic tons of cargo, the planned amount for Kalaeloa Barbers Point Harbor was 386,238 and FY 25 actual was 420,725, a variance of 34,487 or 9%. For FY 26, the planned amount is 393,963 and estimated is 429,140, for a variance of 35,177 or 9%.

Item 3. For Interisland tons of cargo, the planned amount for Kalaeloa Barbers Point Harbor was 1,475,777 and FY 25 actual was 1,454,026, a variance of 21,751 or 1%. For FY 26, planned amount is 1,505,293 and

PROGRAM TITLE: KALAELOA BARBERS POINT HARBOR

03 02 02 TRN 303

estimated is 1,483,107, for a variance of 22,186 or 1%.

Item 4. A new program target group (PTG) implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Revenue Generated (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total program revenue is generated by each harbor.

Item 5. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how many loaded containers are processed by each harbor.

Item 6. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Commercial Property Revenue under any Land Disposition (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property Revenue is being generated by each harbor.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 4. A new program activity implemented in FY 25 to measure Commercial Property (square foot). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new activity will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property area in sq. ft. is being utilized by each harbor.

PROGRAM TITLE: HILO HARBOR PROGRAM-ID: TRN-311 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030204

		FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25	5		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING	6 06-30-26	
		BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
	EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERA	TING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 4,531	15.00 4,602		0.00 71	0 2	15.00 1,309	15.00 745	+	0.00 564	0 43	15.00 3,424	15.00 3,988	+	0.00 564	0 16
	TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 4,531	15.00 4,602		0.00 71	0 2	15.00 1,309	15.00 745	+	0.00 564	0 43	15.00 3,424	15.00 3,988	++	0.00 564	0 16
							<u>FIS</u> PLANNED	CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
1. 2. 3. 4.	: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS PROGRAM REVENUE PER ACRE TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC NO. OF CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN TI NO. OF CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS REVENUE FROM COMMERCIAL PROPEI		2.08 43537 NO DATA 2272 NO DATA	383.59 48555 2084 2135 8.57	 + + +	381.51 5018 2084 137 8.57	% 18342 12 0 6	2.08 44408 NO DATA 2272 NO DATA	391.26 49526 2125 2178 8.63	 + + +	389.18 5118 2125 94 8.63	% 18711 12 0 4 0				
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	I: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - INTERN TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DOMES TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND NO. OF PASSENGERS TOTAL REVENUE GENERATED NO. OF CONTAINERS COMMERCIAL PROPERTY REVENUE UN		21800 61466 1110529 48450 NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA	49554 86005 1195829 226343 10518 23379 289	 + + + +	27754 24539 85300 177893 10518 23379	0	22236 62696 1132739 49419 NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA	50545 87725 1219746 230870 10728 23847 295	 + + + +	28309 25029 87007 181451 10728 23847 295	127 40 8 367 0 0				
1. 2. 3.	/: PROGRAM ACTIVITY PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) SHED AREA (ACRES) YARD AREA (ACRES) COMMERCIAL PROPERTY (SQUARE FO	ОТ)					 3351 2.02 25.4 NO DATA	3351 2.02 25.4 33695	+ +	0 0 0 33695	0 0 0	3351 2.02 25.4 NO DATA	3351 2.02 25.4 33695	 + +	0 0 0 33695	0 0 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: HILO HARBOR

03 02 04 TRN 311

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 25: No position variances to report. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in filling hard-to-fill vacant positions.

FY 26: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter, amounting to approximately \$564,000 or 43%, was mainly due to timing. Although full-year appropriations and allotments were provided at the beginning of the fiscal year, such was not learned until very near to the beginning of the fiscal year, not providing sufficient time to plan for solicitations of contracts and projects. Smaller supplies could be ordered but those items with longer lead times could not.

The overall expenditures for FY 26 are anticipated to be approximately the same as the estimated planned amount of \$4,733,000.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. A new measure of effectiveness (MOE) implemented in FY 25 to measure Program Revenue per acre (\$000). Although there was planned data in this field, it was not pertinent to the new MOE, so the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total revenue per acre is generated by each harbor.

- Item 2. The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 43,537 and FY 25 actual was 48,555, a variance of 5,018 or 12%. The FY 26 planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 44,408, with an estimated amount of 49,526, for a variance of 5,118 or 12%.
- Item 3. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers expressed in twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs) per acre.

Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total containers (expressed in TEUs) per acre are processed by each harbor.

Item 4. The planned amount for Honolulu Harbor was 2,272 but FY 25 actual is 2,135, a variance of 137 or 6%. The FY 26 planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 2,272, with an estimated amount of 2,178, for a variance of 94 or 4%.

Item 5. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure Revenue from Commercial Properties per square foot (sq. ft.) (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much commercial property revenue per sq. ft. is generated by each harbor.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 21,800 but FY 25 actual was 49,554, a variance of 27,754 or 127%. The variance is due to an increased amount of international wharfage to Hilo Harbor. The FY 26 planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 22,236, with an estimated amount of 50,545, for a variance of 28,309 or 127%. The variance is anticipated to continue on an upward trend so similar increased variance. An adjustment in FY 27 will be made so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 2. The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 61,466 and FY 25 actual was 86,005, a variance of 24,539 or 40%. The variance is due to continued increased amount of domestic wharfage to Hilo Harbor. The FY 26 planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 62,696, with an estimated amount of 87,725, for a variance of 25,029 or 40%. The variance is anticipated to continue on an upward trend so similar increased variance. An adjustment in FY 27 will be made so the variance should not be as

PROGRAM TITLE: HILO HARBOR TRN 311

significant.

Item 3. The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 1,110,529 and FY 25 actual was 1,195,829, a variance of 85,300 or 8%. FY 26: The FY 26 planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 1,132,739, with an estimated amount of 1,219,746, for a variance of 87,007 or 8%. The variance is due to increased interisland cargo received at Hilo Harbor, which is expected to continue into FY 27. An adjustment in FY 27 will be made so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 4. The planned amount for Hilo Harbor was 48,450 but FY 25 actual was 226,343, a variance of 177,893 or 367%. This increase in overall passengers is largely due to the larger size of ships being built with higher passenger capacity. In addition, smaller cruise ships with more arrivals provided for an increase in passengers as well. The FY 26 planned amount for Hilo Harbor is 49,419, with an estimated amount of 230,870, for a variance of 181,451 or 367%. This increase in overall passengers is largely due to the larger size of ships being built with higher passenger capacity. In addition, smaller cruise ships with more arrivals provide for an increase in passengers as well. Although smaller cruise ships, more arrivals are anticipated to provide for an increase in overall passengers, which is expected to continue into FY 26. An adjustment in FY 27 will be made so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 5. A new program target group (PTG) implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Revenue Generated (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total program revenue is generated by each harbor.

Item 6. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how many loaded containers are

processed by each harbor.

Item 7. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Commercial Property Revenue under any Land Disposition (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property Revenue is being generated by each harbor.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 4. A new program activity implemented in FY 25 to measure Commercial Property (sq. ft.). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new activity will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property area in sq. ft. is being utilized by each harbor.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-313 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030205

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25	5		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	9 06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cł	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 2,363	2.00 2,317	+	0.00 46	0 2	2.00 646	2.00 277	+	0.00 369	0 57	2.00 1,940	2.00 2,309	+	0.00 369	0 19
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	0 2	2.00 646	2.00 277	+	0.00 369	0 57	2.00 1,940	2.00 2,309	+	0.00 369	0 19				
				FIS	CAL YEAR	2024	4-25			FISCAL YEAR	202	25-26			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM REVENUE PER ACRE 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN T 4. REVENUE FROM COMMERCIAL PROPE	EUS PER ACRE		г			PLANNED 0.76 57764 NO DATA NO DATA	ACTUAL 491.03 53316 6801 4.45	 + - +	CHANGE 490.27 4448 6801 4.45	% 64509 8 0 0	0.76 58920 NO DATA NO DATA	6937	+ - + +	500.09 4538 6937 4.48	% 65801 8 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND 2. TOTAL REVENUE GENERATED 3. NO. OF CONTAINERS 4. COMMERCIAL PROPERTY REVENUE UI		 1111385 NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA	1025792 9447 8626 1156	+	85593 9447 8626 1156	8 0 0 0	1133613 NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA	8799	- + +	87305 9636 8799 1179	8 0 0				
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) 2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES) 4. COMMERCIAL PROPERTY (SQUARE FO	ют)				 1627 0.22 19.02 NO DATA		•	0 0 0 259901	0 0 0 0	1627 0.22 19.02 NO DATA	19.02	+ + + +	0 0 0 259901	0 0 0 0	

PROGRAM TITLE: KAWAIHAE HARBOR

03 02 05 TRN 313

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: No significant variances to report.

FY 26: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter, amounting to approximately \$369,000 or 57%, was mainly due to timing. Although full-year appropriations and allotments were provided at the beginning of the fiscal year, such was not learned until very near to the beginning of the fiscal year, not providing sufficient time to plan for solicitations of contracts and projects. Smaller supplies could be ordered but those items with longer lead times could not.

The overall expenditures for FY 26 are anticipated to be approximately the same as the planned amount of approximately \$2,586,000.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. A new measure of effectiveness (MOE) implemented in FY 25 to measure Program Revenue Generated per acre (\$000). Although there was planned data in this field, it was not pertinent to the new MOE, so the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total revenue per acre is generated by each harbor.

Item 2. The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor was 57,764 and FY 25 actual was 53,316, a variance of 4,448 or 8%. The FY 26 planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor is 58,920, with an estimated amount of 54,382, for a variance of 4,538 or 8%.

Item 3. A mew MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers expressed in twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs) per acre. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not

valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total containers (expressed in TEUs) per acre are processed by each harbor.

Item 4. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure Revenue from Commercial Properties per square foot (sq. ft.) (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much commercial property revenue per sq. ft. is generated by each harbor.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor was 1,111,385 but FY 25 actual was 1,025,792, a variance of 85,593 or 8%. The FY 26 planned amount for Kawaihae Harbor was 1,133,613, with an estimated amount of 1,046,308, for a variance of 87,305 or 8%.

Item 2. A new program target group (PTG) implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Revenue Generated (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total program revenue is generated by each harbor.

Item 3. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how many loaded containers are processed by each harbor.

Item 4. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Commercial Property Revenue under any Land Disposition (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going

93 02 05
PROGRAM TITLE: KAWAIHAE HARBOR
TRN 313

forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property Revenue is being generated by each harbor.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 4. A new program activity implemented in FY 25 to measure Commercial Property (sq. ft.). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new activity will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property area in sq. ft. is being utilized by each harbor.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-331 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030206

		FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25	;		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	6 06-30-26	
		BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
RESEARCH & POSI	NDITURES & POSITIONS DEVELOPMENT COSTS TIONS ENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
	OSTS TIONS ENDITURES (\$1000's)	18.00 6,838	18.00 6,608	+	0.00 230	0 3	19.00 1,866	19.00 885	+	0.00 981	0 53	19.00 4,867	19.00 5,848	+	0.00 981	0 20
	TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	18.00 6,838	18.00 6,608	+	0.00 230	0 3	19.00 1,866	19.00 885	+	0.00 981	0 53	19.00 4,867	19.00 5,848	+	0.00 981	0 20
								CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
DARTH MEAC	UDEO OF FEFEOTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> ± (</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	<u> </u>
 PROGR TONS O NO. OF NO. OF 	URES OF EFFECTIVENESS RAM REVENUE PER ACRE DF CARGO PROCESSED PER ACI CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN TE CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS UE FROM COMMERCIAL PROPE		1.89 54030 NO DATA 2346 NO DATA	474.35 60795 4062 2114 5.53	 + -	472.46 6765 4062 232 5.53	24998 13 0 10 0	1.89 55111 NO DATA 2346 NO DATA	4143	+ + + -	481.95 6900 4143 190 5.63	25500 13 0 8 0				
	GRAM TARGET GROUP									I						
	OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - INTERN OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DOMES						37564 59653	51974 134777		14410 75124	38 126	38315 60846	53013 137473	+	14698 76627	38 126
	OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DOMES OF CARGO - INTERISLAND	STIC					l 1758186		+ +	142768	8	1793350	1938973	+ +	145623	8
	PASSENGERS						29901		+	183620	614	30499	217791	+	187292	614
-	REVENUE GENERATED						NO DATA	16289		16289	0	NO DATA	16615	+	16615	0
	CONTAINERS	IDED AND (I AND	DIODOO!				NO DATA	47245		47245	0	NO DATA	48190	+	48190	0
7. COMME	ERCIAL PROPERTY REVENUE UN	IDER ANY LANI	DISPOSI				NO DATA	463	+	463	0	NO DATA	471	+	471	0
	GRAM ACTIVITY							2212	ļ		_					
	ENGTH (LINEAR FEET) AREA (ACRES)						3319	3319		0	0	3319 1	3319	+	0 0	0
	AREA (ACRES) AREA (ACRES)						1 33.34	1 33.34		0 0	0	l 33.34	1 33.34	+	0 1	0 0
	ERCIAL PROPERTY (SQUARE FO	OT)					NO DATA	83653		83653	0	NO DATA	83653	+	83653	0

PROGRAM TITLE: KAHULUI HARBOR

03 02 06 TRN 331

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)
- FY 25: No position variances to report. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in filling hard-to-fill vacant positions.

FY 26: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter, amounting to approximately \$981,000 or 53%, was mainly due to timing. Although full-year appropriations and allotments were provided at the beginning of the fiscal year, such was not learned until very near to the beginning of the fiscal year, not providing sufficient time to plan for solicitations of contracts and projects. Smaller supplies could be ordered but those items with longer lead times could not.

The overall expenditures for FY 26 are anticipated to be approximately the same as the estimated planned amount of \$6,733,000.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. A new measure of effectiveness (MOE) implemented in FY 25 to measure Program Revenue per acre (\$000). Although there was planned data in this field, it was not pertinent to the new MOE, so the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total revenue per acre is generated by each harbor.

Item 2. The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 54,030 and FY 25 actual was 60,795, a variance of 6,765 or 13%. The FY 26 planned amount for Kahului Harbor is 55,111, with an estimated amount of 62,011, for a variance of 6,900 or 13%. An adjustment should be made in FY 27 so the variance will not be as significant.

Item 3. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure number of

containers expressed in twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs) per acre. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total containers (expressed in TEUs) per acre are processed by each harbor.

Item 4. The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 2,346 but FY 25 actual is 2,114, a variance of 232 or 10%. The FY 26 planned amount for Kahului Harbor is 2,346, with an estimated amount of 2,156, for a variance of 190 or 8%.

Item 5. A mew MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure Revenue from Commercial Properties per square foot (sq. ft.) (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much commercial property revenue per sq. ft. is generated by each harbor.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. For International tons of cargo, the planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 37,564 and FY 25 actual was 51,974, a variance of 14,410 or 38%. For FY 26, planned amount is 38,315 and estimated is 53,013, for a variance of 14,698 or 38%. An adjustment will be made to the FY 27 planned number so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 2. For Domestic tons of cargo, the planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 59,653 and FY 25 actual was 134,777, a variance of 75,124 or 126%. For FY 26, planned amount is 60,846 and estimated is 137,473, for a variance of 76,627 or 126%. An adjustment will be made to the FY 27 planned number so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 3. For Interisland tons of cargo, the planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 1,758,186 and FY 25 actual was 1,900,954, a variance of 142,768 or 8%. For FY 26, planned amount is 1,793,350 and estimated

PROGRAM TITLE: KAHULUI HARBOR

03 02 06 TRN 331

is 1,938,973, for a variance of 145,623 or 8%.

Item 4. The planned amount for Kahului Harbor was 29,901 but FY 25 actual was 213,521, a variance of 183,620 or 614%. Larger cruise ships and more arrivals provided for an increase in total passengers. For FY 26, the planned amount for Honolulu Harbor is 30,499, with the estimated being 217,791, for a variance of 187,292 or 614%. Larger cruise ships and more arrivals provided for an increase in total passengers. An adjustment will be made to the FY 27 planned number so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 5. A new program target group (PTG) implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Revenue Generated (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total program revenue is generated by each harbor.

Item 6. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how many loaded containers are processed by each harbor.

Item 7. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Commercial Property Revenue under any Land Disposition (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property Revenue is being generated by each harbor.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 4. A new program activity implemented in FY 25 to measure Commercial Property (sq. ft.). Although there was no data provided in

this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 2027, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new activity will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property area in sq. ft. is being utilized by each harbor.

TRN-341

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030207

REPORT V61 12/2/25

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25			THREE N	ONTHS EN	IDED 09	-30-25	;	NINE	MONTHS END	DING (06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 641	1.00 415	+	0.00 226	0 35	1.00 251	1.00 185	+	0.00 66	0 26	1.00 584	1.00 650	+	0.00 66	0 11
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 641	1.00 415	+	0.00 226	0 35	1.00 251	1.00 185	+	0.00 66	0 26	1.00 584	1.00 650	+	0.00 66	0 11
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2024-25			<u> </u>	FISCAL YEAR	2025	-26	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL I	+ CHAI	NGE	l %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CH	IANGE I	%

			O/ (E I E/ (I C					1 100/12 12/11	<u>, </u>	<u> </u>	
		PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART	II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS			Ī							
1.	PROGRAM REVENUE PER ACRE	2.71	179.66	+	176.95	6530	2.71	183.25	+	180.54	6662
2.	TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER ACRE	27167	25311	-	1856	7	27710	25817	-	1893	7
3.	NO. OF CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN TEUS PER ACRE	NO DATA	645	+	645	0	NO DATA	658	+	658	0
4.	NO. OF CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS	32	0	-	32	100	32	0	-	32	100
5.	REVENUE FROM COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES PER SQUARE FOOT	NO DATA	1.33	+	1.33	0	NO DATA	1.36	+	1.36	0
PART	III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP										
1.	TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND	82586	76946	j -	5640	7	84238	78485	j -	5753	7
2.	NO. OF PASSENGERS	137	0	j -	137	100	137	0	j -	137	100
3.	TOTAL REVENUE GENERATED	NO DATA	546	+	546	0	NO DATA	557	+	557	0
4.	NO. OF CONTAINERS	NO DATA	956	+	956	0	NO DATA	975	+	975	0
5.	COMMERCIAL PROPERTY REVENUE UNDER ANY LAND DISP	NO DATA	40	+	40	0	NO DATA	41	+	41	0
PART	IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY				I				1		
1.	PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET)	691	691	+	0	0	691	691	+	0	0
2.	SHED AREA (ACRES)	0.17	.17	+	0	0	0.17	.17	+	0	0
3.	YARD AREA (ACRES)	2.87	2.87	+	0	0	2.87	2.87	+	0	0
4.	COMMERCIAL PROPERTY (SQUARE FOOT)	NO DATA	30075	+	30075	0	NO DATA	30075	+	30075	0

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUNAKAKAI HARBOR

03 02 07 TRN 341

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: No position variances to report. The estimated expenditure budget was \$641,000, with the estimated actual of \$415,000, for a variance of \$226,000 or 35%. The expenditure variance was partially due to the difficulty of having a staff of one person. In addition, vendors at smaller harbors are difficult to find and do not bid on solicitations of State projects.

FY 26: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter, amounting to approximately \$66,000 or 26%, was mainly due to timing. Although full-year appropriations and allotments were provided at the beginning of the fiscal year, such was not learned until very near to the beginning of the fiscal year, not providing sufficient time to plan for solicitations of contracts and projects. Smaller supplies could be ordered but those items with longer lead times could not.

The overall expenditures for FY 26 are anticipated to be approximately the same as the planned amount of \$835,000.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. A mew measure of effectiveness (MOE) implemented in FY 25 to measure Program Revenue per acre (\$000). Although there was planned data in this field, it was not pertinent to the new MOE, so the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total revenue per acre is generated by each harbor.

Item 2. The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 27,167 and FY 25 actual was 25,311, a variance of 1856 or 7%. The FY 26 planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor is 27,710, with an estimated amount of

25817, for a variance of 1,893 or 7%.

Item 3. A mew MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers expressed in twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs) per acre. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total containers (expressed in TEUs) per acre are processed by each harbor.

Item 4. The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 32 but FY 25 actual is 0, a variance of 32 or 100%. The FY 26 planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor is 32, with an estimated amount of 0, for a variance of 32 or 100%. An adjustment or removal of this MOE should be made in FY 27 so the variance will not be as significant.

Item 5. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure Revenue from Commercial Properties per square foot (sq. ft.) (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much commercial property revenue per sq. ft. is generated by each harbor.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 82,586 and FY 25 actual was 76,946, a variance of 5,640 or 7%. The FY 26 planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 84,238, with an estimated amount of 78,485, for a variance of 5,753 or 7%.

Item 2. For FY 25, no data was available as the passengers would be for the ferry system or significantly smaller ships. The planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor was 137 but FY 25 actual is 0, for a variance of 137 or 100%. The FY 26 planned amount for Kaunakakai Harbor is 137, with an estimated amount of 0, for a variance of 137 or 100%. An adjustment or removal of this program target group (PTG) should be made in FY 27

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUNAKAKAI HARBOR

03 02 07 TRN 341

so the variance will not be as significant.

- Item 3. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Revenue Generated (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total program revenue is generated by each harbor.
- Item 4. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how many loaded containers are processed by each harbor.
- Item 5. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Commercial Property Revenue under any Land Disposition (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property Revenue is being generated by each harbor.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 4. A new program activity implemented in FY 25 to measure Commercial Property (sq. ft.). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new activity will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property area in sq. ft. is being utilized by each harbor.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-361 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030208

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25	5		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DINC	3 06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± Cl	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 4,513	15.00 4,122	+	0.00 391	0 9	15.00 1,317	15.00 705	+	0.00 612	0 46	15.00 3,263	15.00 3,875	+	0.00 612	0 19
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	15.00 4,513	15.00 4,122	+	0.00 391	0 9	15.00 1,317	15.00 705	+	0.00 612	0 46	15.00 3,263	15.00 3,875	+	0.00 612	0 19
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
DART II MEAGUREO OF FEFFOTIVENEGO						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> ± (</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM REVENUE PER ACRE 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN T 4. NO. OF CRUISE SHIP PASSENGERS 5. REVENUE FROM COMMERCIAL PROPE		36.1 2361 NO DATA 2381 NO DATA	300.65 27658 2342 2081 5.59	+ + -	264.55 25297 2342 300 5.59	733 1071 0 13 0	2381	306.66 28211 2388 2123 5.64	 + -	270.56 25802 2388 258 5.64	749 1071 0 11 0				
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - INTERI 2. TONS OF CARGO - OVERSEAS - DOMES 3. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND 4. NO. OF PASSENGERS 5. TOTAL REVENUE GENERATED 6. NO. OF CONTAINERS 7. COMMERCIAL PROPERTY REVENUE UI		 15872 9854 52816 41499 NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA	73065 23892 822940 230983 9999 25330 1024	+ + +	57193 14038 770124 189484 9999 25330 1024	0	10051 53873	74526 24370 839399 235603 10199 25837 1044	+ + + +	58336 14319 785526 193274 10199 25837 1044	360 142 1458 457 0 0				
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY						1									
1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET)						2216 1 1.76	2216		0	0		2216		0	0
 SHED AREA (ACRES) YARD AREA (ACRES) 						1.76 1 31.5	1.76 31.5		0 0	0		1.76 31.5		0 0	0 0
4. COMMERCIAL PROPERTY (SQUARE FC	OT)					NO DATA	183277		183277	_	NO DATA	183277		183277	0

PROGRAM TITLE: NAWILIWILI HARBOR

03 02 08 TRN 361

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: No position variances to report. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in filling hard-to-fill vacant positions. Operating expenditures accounted for only 8% of the encumbrance variance.

FY 26: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter, amounting to approximately \$612,000 or 46%, was mainly due to timing. Although full-year appropriations and allotments were provided at the beginning of the fiscal year, such was not learned until very near to the beginning of the fiscal year, not providing sufficient time to plan for solicitations of contracts and projects. Smaller supplies could be ordered but those items with longer lead times could not.

The overall expenditures for FY 26 are anticipated to be approximately the same as the estimated planned amount of \$4,580,000.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. A new measure of effectiveness (MOE) implemented in FY 25 to measure Program Revenue per acre (\$000). Although there was planned data in this field, it was not pertinent to the new MOE, so the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total revenue per acre is generated by each harbor.

Item 2. The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 2,361 and FY 25 actual was 27,658, a variance of 25,297 or 1071%. The FY 26 planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 2,409, with an estimated amount of 28,211, for a variance of 25,802 or 1071%. An adjustment in FY 27 will be made so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 3. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers expressed in twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs) per acre. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total containers (expressed in TEUs) per acre are processed by each harbor.

Item 4. The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 2,381 but FY 25 actual is 2,081, a variance of 300 or 13%. The FY 26 planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 2,381, with an estimated amount of 2,123, for a variance of 258 or 11%.

Item 5. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure Revenue from Commercial Properties per square foot (sq. ft.) (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much commercial property revenue per sq. ft. is generated by each harbor.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 15,872 and FY 25 actual was 73,065, a variance of 57,193 or 360%. The variance is due to an increased amount of international wharfage to Nawiliwili Harbor. The FY 26 planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 16,190, with an estimated amount of 74,526, for a variance of 58,336 or 360%. The variance is anticipated to continue on an upward trend, so similar increased variance. An adjustment in FY 27 will be made so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 2. The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 9,854 and FY 25 actual was 23,892, a variance of 14,038 or 142%. The variance is due to continued increased amount of domestic wharfage to Nawiliwili Harbor. The FY 26 planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 10,051, with an estimated amount of 24,370, a variance of 14,319 or 142%. The variance

PROGRAM TITLE: NAWILIWILI HARBOR

03 02 08 TRN 361

is anticipated to continue on an upward trend, so similar increased variance. An adjustment in FY 27 will be made so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 3. The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 52,816 and FY 25 actual was 822,940, a variance of 770,124 or 1,458%. The FY 26 planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 53,873, with an estimated amount of 839,399, for a variance of 785,526 or 1,458%. The variance is due to increased interisland cargo received at Nawiliwili Harbor, which is expected to continue into FY 27. An adjustment in FY 27 will be made so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 4. The planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor was 41,499 but FY 25 actual was 230,983, a variance of 189,484 or 457%. This increase in overall passengers is largely due to the larger size of ships being built with higher passenger capacity. The FY 26 planned amount for Nawiliwili Harbor is 42,329, with an estimated amount of 235,603, for a variance of 193,274 or 457%. This increase in overall passengers is largely due to the larger size of ships being built with higher passenger capacity. An adjustment in FY 27 will be made so the variance should not be as significant.

Item 5. A new program target group (PTG) implemented in FY 25 in measure Total Revenue Generated (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total program revenue is generated by each harbor.

Item 6. A new Program Target Group implemented in FY 25 - No. of Containers. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from fiscal year 2027, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how many loaded containers are processed by each harbor.

Item 7. New PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Commercial Property Revenue under any Land Disposition (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property Revenue is being generated by each harbor.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 4. A new program activity implemented in FY 25 to measure Commercial Property (sq. ft.). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new activity will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property area in sq. ft. is being utilized by each harbor.

TRN-363

PROGRAM-ID:

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030209														
	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25			THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDEI	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-2	6
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 265	1.00 207	+	0.00 58	0 22	1.00 66	1.00 15	+	0.00 51	0 77	1.00 190	1.00 241	+ 0.00 + 51	0 27
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 265	1.00 207	+	0.00 58	0 22	1.00 66	1.00 15	+	0.00 51	0 77	1.00 190	1.00 241	+ 0.00 + 51	0 27
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2024	4-25			FISCAL YEAR	2025-26	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM REVENUE PER ACRE 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN TEUS		2.15 62476 NO DATA	0		1295.49 23952 0	60255 38 0	2.15 63726 NO DATA	1323.59 88157 0	+ 1321.44 + 24431 + 0	38				
4. REVENUE FROM COMMERCIAL PROPE	KIIES PER SQU	JARE FOOT				NO DATA	3.49	+	3.49	0	NO DATA	3.56	+ 3.56	0

PROGRAM TITLE: PORT ALLEN HARBOR

03 02 09 TRN 363

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: No position variances to report. The estimated expenditure budget was \$265,000, with the estimated actual of \$207,000, a variance of \$58,000 or 22%. The expenditure variance was partially due to the difficulty of having a staff of one person. In addition, vendors at smaller harbors are difficult to find and have not bid on solicitations of State projects.

FY 26: The expenditure reduction of during the first quarter, amounting to approximately \$51,000 or 77%, was mainly due to timing. Although full-year appropriations and allotments were provided at the beginning of the fiscal year, such was not learned until very near to the beginning of the fiscal year, not providing sufficient time to plan for solicitations of contracts and projects. Smaller supplies could be ordered but those items with longer lead times could not.

The overall expenditures for FY 26 are anticipated to be approximately the same as the planned amount of \$256,000.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. A new measure of effectiveness (MOE) implemented in FY 25 to measure Program Revenue per acre (\$000). Although there was planned data in this field, it was not pertinent to the new MOE, so the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total revenue per acre is generated by each harbor.

Item 2. The planned amount for Port Allen Harbor was 62,476 and FY 25 actual was 86,428, a variance of 23,952 or 38%. The FY 25 actual amount of 86,428 was lower than the actual FY 24 amount of 96,883 by

10,455 or 10%. The FY 26 planned amount for Port Allen Harbor is 63,726, with an estimated amount of 88,157, for a variance of 24,431 or 38%.

Item 3. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers expressed in twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs) per acre. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total containers (expressed in TEUs) per acre are processed by each harbor.

Item 4. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure Revenue from Commercial Properties per square foot (sq. ft.) (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much commercial property revenue per sq ft is generated by each harbor.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The planned amount for Port Allen Harbor was 95,588 and FY 25 actual was 132,235, a variance of 36,647 or 38%. The FY 25 actual amount of 132,235 was lower than the actual FY 24 amount of 143,377 by 11,142 or approximately 8%. The FY 26 planned amount for Port Allen Harbor is 97,500, with an estimated amount of 134,880, for a variance of 37,380 or 38%.

Item 2. A new program target group (PTG) implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Revenue Generated (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total program revenue is generated by each harbor.

Item 3. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure number of

PROGRAM TITLE: PORT ALLEN HARBOR

03 02 09 TRN 363

containers. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how many loaded containers are processed by each harbor.

Item 4. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Commercial Property Revenue under any Land Disposition (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property Revenue is being generated by each harbor.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 4. A new program activity implemented in FY 25 to measure Commercial Property (sq. ft.). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new activity will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property area in sq. ft. is being utilized by each harbor.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-351 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030210

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25			THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	6 06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 485	1.00 362	+	0.00 123	0 25	1.00 129	1.00 43	+	0.00 86	0 67	1.00 385	1.00 471	+	0.00 86	0 22
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	POSITIONS 1.00 1.00 + 0.00										1.00 385	1.00 471	+	0.00 86	0 22
				FIS	CAL YEAR	2024	4-25			FISCAL YEAR	202	5-26			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PROGRAM REVENUE PER ACRE 2. TONS OF CARGO PROCESSED PER AC 3. NO. OF CONTAINERS EXPRESSED IN T 4. REVENUE FROM COMMERCIAL PROPE	EUS PER ACRE				PLANNED 1.72 35756 NO DATA NO DATA	248.79 39750 1490 2.44	 + + +	CHANGE 247.07 3994 1490 2.44	14365 11 0 0	1.72 36471 NO DATA	253.77 40545 1519 2.49	 + +	252.05 4074 1519 2.49	% 14654 11 0	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. TONS OF CARGO - INTERISLAND 2. TOTAL REVENUE GENERATED 3. NO. OF CONTAINERS 4. COMMERCIAL PROPERTY REVENUE UP		 82239 NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA	91424 572 1970 18	+	9185 572 1970 18	11 0 0 0	NO DATA	93252 583 2009 19	 + + +	9368 583 2009 19	 11 0 0				
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. PIER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET) 2. SHED AREA (ACRES) 3. YARD AREA (ACRES) 4. COMMERCIAL PROPERTY (SQUARE FO	ют)					 400 0 2.3 NO DATA			0 0 0 0 7575	0 0 0 0	 400 0 2.3 NO DATA	400 0 2.3 7575	 + + +	0 0 0 7575	

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUMALAPAU HARBOR TRN 351

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: No position variances to report. The estimated expenditure budget was \$485,000, with the estimated actual of \$362,000, a variance of \$123,000 or 25%. The expenditure variance was partially due to the difficulty of having a staff of one person. In addition, vendors at smaller harbors are difficult to find and do not bid on solicitations of State projects.

FY 26: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter, amounting to approximately \$86,000 or 67%, was mainly due to timing. Although full-year appropriations and allotments were provided at the beginning of the fiscal year, such was not learned until very near to the beginning of the fiscal year, not providing sufficient time to plan for solicitations of contracts and projects. Smaller supplies could be ordered but those items with longer lead times could not.

The overall expenditures for FY 26 are anticipated to be approximately the same as the planned amount of \$514,000.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. A new measure of effectiveness (MOE) implemented in FY 25 to measure Program Revenue per acre (\$000). Although there was planned data in this field, it was not pertinent to the new MOE, so the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total revenue per acre is generated by each harbor.

Item 2. The planned amount for Kaumalapau Harbor was 35,756 and FY 25 actual was 39,750, a variance of 3,994 or 11%. The FY 26 planned amount for Kaumalapau Harbor is 36,471, with an estimated

amount of 40,545, for a variance of 4,074 or 11%.

Item 3. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers expressed in twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs) per acre. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much total containers (expressed in TEUs) per acre are processed by each harbor.

Item 4. A new MOE implemented in FY 25 to measure Revenue from Commercial Properties per square foot (sq. ft.) (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new measure will provide a good understanding of how much commercial property revenue per sq. ft. is generated by each harbor.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- Item 1. The planned amount for Kaumalapau Harbor was 82,239 and FY 25 actual was 91,424, a variance of 9,185 or 11%. The FY 26 planned amount for Kaumalapau Harbor was 83,884, with an estimated amount of 93,252, for a variance of 9,368 or 11%.
- Item 2. A new program target group (PTG) implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Revenue Generated (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total program revenue is generated by each harbor.
- Item 3. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure number of containers. Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how many loaded containers are

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUMALAPAU HARBOR TRN 351

processed by each harbor.

Item 4. A new PTG implemented in FY 25 to measure Total Commercial Property Revenue under any Land Disposition (\$000). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new target group will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property Revenue is being generated by each harbor.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 4. A new program activity implemented in FY 25 to measure Commercial Property (sq. ft.). Although there was no data provided in this field, the comparison is not valid. Going forward from FY 27, appropriate planned data can be entered for comparative purposes. This new activity will provide a good understanding of how much total Commercial Property area in sq. ft. is being utilized by each harbor.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM TITLE: HARBORS ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM-ID: TRN-395

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030211

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25			THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING	06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	72.00 95,092	72.00 94,488		0.00 604	0 1	72.00 44,994	72.00 32,788	+	0.00 12,206	0 27	72.00 102,930	72.00 90,136	+	0.00 12,794	0 12
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	0 1	72.00 44,994	72.00 32,788	+	0.00 12,206	0 27	72.00 102,930	72.00 90,136	+	0.00 12,794	0 12				
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2024	4-25			FISCAL YEAR	202	5-26	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. COST OF ADMIN RELATIVE TO TOTAL F 2. DOLLAR AMT OF SALARY OVERPAYME 3. NO. OF VENDOR PAYMENTS EXCEEDIN 4. % OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH	NTS FOR THE D IG 30 DAYS	NOISIVIC				9.94 10000 175 100	10.73 5900 15 0		0.79 4100 160 100	8 41 91 100	 9.94 10000 175 100	8.56 10000 25 100	 - + -	1.38 0 150 0	14 0 86 0
5. % OF SPEC MAINT PROJ INITIATED COI	MPARED TO PL	AN				100	100	+	0	0	100	100	+	0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. FILLED PERMANENT POSITIONS IN THE	DIVISION					251	205	 -	46	18	251	215	-	36	14
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL (NO. OF 2. DIVISIONAL PERSONNEL (NO. OF PERM		NS)				 71 251		 + -	1 19	 1 8	 71 251	72 236	 + -	1 15	1 6
 NO. OF CIP PROJECTS COMPLETED NO. OF SPECIAL MAINTENANCE PROJE 	CTS INITIATED					7 7	0 36	 - -	7 8	100 18	1 1 44	2 33	+ -	1 11	100 25

PROGRAM TITLE: HARBORS ADMINISTRATION

03 02 11 TRN 395

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Cost (\$000)

FY 25: No position variances to report. The expenditure budget was 95,092 with the actual of 94,488, a variance of 604 or 1%. The expenditure variance is mainly due to a delay in filling hard-to-fill vacant, reclassified, and reorganized positions and a delay of planned expenditures for the Administration.

FY 26: The expenditure reduction during the first quarter, amounting to approximately \$12,206,000 or 27%, was mainly due to timing. Although full-year appropriations and allotments were provided at the beginning of the fiscal year, such was not learned until very near to the beginning of the fiscal year, not providing sufficient time to plan for solicitations of contracts and projects. Smaller supplies could be ordered but those items with longer lead times could not.

The overall expenditures for FY 26 are anticipated to be approximately the same as the estimated planned amount of \$122,924,000. Federal funds request/award of approximately \$25,000,000 will probably not materialize in FY 26.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1. The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 9.94, with the FY 25 actual at 10.73, a variance of 0.79 or 8%. The FY 26 planned amount for Harbors Administration is 9.94, with the estimated at 8.56, for a variance of 1.38 or approximately 14%.

A factor that contributed to the higher cost of administration relative to total program costs was a requested appropriation increase of approximately \$2,000,000 to support the Harbors Division's repair and maintenance (R&M) of waterfront infrastructure, piers, wharves, and land side facilities. As R&M is performed across the State at each harbor

facility, it has been classified as a special maintenance project (SMP). Harbors Administration has a running list of R&M that needs to be performed in each district and harbor. Shortages in the supply chain, labor markets, and overall increased costs due to inflation have resulted in an increase in design and construction costs for concrete, asphalt pavement, materials for sheet pile and bulkhead repairs, building materials, electrical, and Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning. Projects have become more expensive and, as a result, the current SMP budget is not sufficient to keep up with the project list and has required districts to cover some of their own district SMP projects in order to avoid potential deferred maintenance costs.

Item 2. For FY 25, the planned amount for Harbors Administration was 10,000, with the actual amount of 5,900, a variance of 4,100 or 41%. With various employees out on disability-type leaves and employees not remembering to enter their leaves in the Hawaii Information Portal Self-Service or HIP properly, Harbors Administration has worked as closely as possible with the Districts to minimize over payments but in these uncertain circumstances, it is difficult to determine what and when disability will kick in, and balancing that with the employee not getting paid what he/she should be paid for any leave balances that exist. Harbors Administration continues to identify over payments as quickly as possible to avoid compounding the problem and reducing the over payment through collection agreements. The FY 26 planned amount for Harbors Administration is 10,000, with an estimated amount of 10,000, for a variance of 0 or 0%.

Item 3. The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 175 but FY 25 actual was 15, making the variance 160 or 91%. Harbors Administration has been able to process payments in a timely manner in order to minimize over 30 days of vendor payments. The FY 26 planned amount for Harbors Administration is 175 and the estimated is 25, making the variance 150 or 86%. Harbors Administration will continue to process payments in as timely a manner as possible in order to minimize 30+ days vendor payments.

PROGRAM TITLE: HARBORS ADMINISTRATION

03 02 11 TRN 395

Item 4. The planned percentage of capital improvement program (CIP) projects completed within the scheduled time was 100, with a FY 25 actual of 0, a variance of 100 or 100%. Both the FY 26 planned and estimated amounts for Harbors Administration is 100%, making the variance 0 or 0%.

Item 5. The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 100%, with the actual of 100% for FY 25, a variance of 0 or 0%. For FY 26, the planned amount for Harbors Administration should be 100%, with an estimated 100%, for variance of 0 or 0%.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1. The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 251 permanent positions but the actual is 205 for FY 25, a variance 46 or 18%. The planned amount included 20 employees who transferred from Harbors Administration to the Department of Law Enforcement (DLE), creating an actual difference of 26 or 11%. The remainder variance is due to a delay in filling vacant positions due to the overall shortage of qualified applicants, as well as reorganizing, re-describing, and reclassifying positions. The FY 26 planned amount for Harbors Administration is 251 and the estimated is 215, for a variance of 36 or 14%. Similar to FY 25, the planned amount included 20 employees who transferred from Harbors Administration to DLE and four approved positions transferred into Harbors, creating an actual difference of 20 or 9%. An adjustment to the planned amount will be made in FY 27 to minimize the variance reported.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1. The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 71 positions, with an FY 25 actual of 72, a variance of 1 or 1%. Due to the transfer of one temporary position to a permanent position, the count increased to 72 positions. The FY 26 planned amount for Harbors Administration is 71 positions. There was a transfer of one temporary position to a permanent position within Harbors Administration that was approved by the Legislature during the FB 2023-25 Executive Budget process, so the

planned should be 72, with an estimated 72 as well, for a variance of 0 or 0%.

Item 2. The planned amount for Harbors was 251, with an FY 25 actual of 232, making the variance 19 or 8%. The FY 26 planned amount for Harbors is 251, with an actual position count of 236, for a variance of 15 or 6%. An adjustment to the planned amount will be made in FY 27 to minimize the variance reported.

Item 3. The planned amount of CIP projects completed for Harbors Administration was 7 but FY 25 actual is 0, a variance of 7 or 100%. The FY 26 planned amount for Harbors Administration is 1 and the estimated is 2, for a variance of 1 or 100%.

Item 4. The planned amount for Harbors Administration was 44 but FY 25 actual is 36, a variance of 8 or 18%. The FY 26 planned amount for Harbors Administration is 44, with the estimated at 33, a variance of 11 or 25%. Since the cost of projects has increased, we have increased our SMP budget to \$30 million; however, the number of projects has become limited because of the rise in costs. In addition, some paving, fencing, plumbing, closed-circuit television or CCTV, and electrical work have been re-categorized from SMP to the various districts as routine maintenance.

VARIANCE REPORT STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE: HANA HARBOR

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-333 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030212

THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-25 FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 **NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26** % BUDGETED ESTIMATED ± CHANGE % **BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % **BUDGETED** ACTUAL + CHANGE **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 + 0 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 14 0 14 100 0 0 0 0 14 0 14 100 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 + + **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 14 100 0 14 0 100 FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 FISCAL YEAR 2025-26 **PLANNED** ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PRGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT APPROVED BY LEG 0 NO DATA | -0 0 NO DATA | -0

0 |

REPORT V61

0

12/2/25

PROGRAM TITLE: HANA HARBOR TRN 333

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FYs 25-26: The variances are due to no expenditures for this program and, currently, there are no development plans.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No data is available and/or no measures of effectiveness have been development for this program for FY 25 and FY 26.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No data is available as no program target groups have been developed for this program for FY 25 and FY 26.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No data is available as no program activities have been developed for this program for FY 25 and FY 26.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0303

FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-25 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26 BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % **BUDGETED** ACTUAL + CHANGE % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 1,038.00 787.00 251.00 24 1.011.00 801.00 210.00 21 1.011.00 986.00 25.00 2 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 399,807 341,022 58,785 15 90,202 36,692 53,510 59 362,435 415,945 + 53,510 15 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 2 1,038.00 787.00 251.00 24 1.011.00 801.00 210.00 21 1.011.00 986.00 25.00 58,785 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 399,807 341,022 15 90,202 36,692 53,510 59 362.435 415,945 53,510 15 FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 FISCAL YEAR 2025-26 **PLANNED** ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. HIGHWAY LOCATIONS WHERE CONGESTION EXISTS-PEAK 29 29 0 0 29 29 | + 0 0 ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE MILES 174 44 20 210 191 19 9 218 8 FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE MILES 0.1 2 4.3 | + 0.3 4.1 | + MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MILES 492522 461895 30627 6 509576 618708 | + 109132 21 5872 | + 5943 | + 5. VEHICLE MILES PER TRAVEL (MILLIONS OF MILES) 5838 34 1 5911 32 1

PROGRAM TITLE: LAND TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES AND SERVICES

03 03

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Specific variances are discussed in detail in the lowest level program narratives.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-501 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030301

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25			THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-25		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	06-30-26	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHAN	IGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> (HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	190.00 96,998	153.00 93,228		7.00 770	19 4	184.00 24,495	162.00 15,398	- -	22.00 9,097	12 37	184.00 73,484	182.00 82,581	- +	2.00 9,097	1 12
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	190.00 96,998	153.00 93,228	-	7.00 770	19 4	184.00 24,495	162.00 15,398	- -	22.00 9,097	12 37	184.00 73,484	182.00 82,581	- +	2.00 9,097	1 12
						<u>FIS</u> PLANNED	CAL YEAR: ACTUAL			%		FISCAL YEAR ESTIMATED			 %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. HIGHWAY LOCATIONS WHERE COI 2. ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE 3. FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VI 4. MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MIL 5. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT 6. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT 7. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION 8. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION		18 62.00 0.78 925888 1.27 1.25 2.80 3.02	18 65.3 0.82 734808 1.18 1.23 2.88 2.88	+ + + - - -	0 3.3 0.04 191080 0.09 0.02 0.08 0.14	0 5 5 21 7 2 3 5	18 61.60 0.76 938376 1.19 1.19 3.30	18 61.8 1.29 935586 1.17 1.22 2.58	+ + + - +	0 0.2 0.53 2790 0.02 0.03 0.72 1.2	0 0 70 2 3 22 32				
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (MILLIONS 2. AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (VEHICLES F 3. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLES 4. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLE OPERAT 5. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR C 6. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR C PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. POADWAY MAINTENANCE (LANE MILES		NO DATA NO DATA 802594 636427 25.80 28.50	3429 36490 795963 641328 25.03 27.26	+ - + - -	3429 36490 6631 4901 0.77 1.24	0 0 1 1 3 4	NO DATA NO DATA 810484 640573 26.20 33.90	3462 36840 803569 645452 22.42 24.65	+ +	3462 36840 6915 4879 3.78 9.25	0 0 1 1 14 27				
 ROADWAY MAINTENANCE (LANE MILES LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE (ACRES) STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE (NUMBER) 	,					4966 442	4966 442	+	0 0 0	0 0 0	4966 442	4966 442	+ + +	0 0 0	0 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: OAHU HIGHWAYS 78 TRN 501

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The position variance is due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions frozen in Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less than anticipated payroll expenditures and the deferral of motor vehicle and equipment purchases.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 4: Maintenance Cost Per 10 Lane Miles

The estimated rate was higher than actual due to less than anticipated payroll expenditures and the reallocation of routine maintenance funds for special maintenance projects.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 1: Vehicle Miles of Travel (Millions of Miles)

No data was provided in error. The planned is 3,429 for FY 25 and actual is the same, for a variance of 0 or 0%.

Item 2: Average Daily Traffic (Vehicles Per Day)

No data was provided in error. The planned is 36,490 for FY 25 and actual is the same, for a variance of 0 or 0%.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

There are no significant variance to report.

STATE OF HAWAII

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-511 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030302

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-2	5	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%		
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS 118.50 89.50 29.00 24 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 24,435 17,946 6,489 27					117.50 6,205	88.50 3,742	- 29.00 - 2,463	25 40	117.50 19,304	109.50 21,767	- 8.00 + 2,463	7 13		
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	117.50 6,205	88.50 3,742	- 29.00 - 2,463	25 40	117.50 19,304	109.50 21,767	- 8.00 + 2,463	7 13						
	<u>FIS</u> PLANNED	CAL YEAR	2024-25 ± CHANGE		L PLANNED	 %								
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. HIGHWAY LOCATIONS WHERE CON 2. ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE 3. FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE 4. MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MIL 5. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT II 6. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT II 7. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION 8. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION		4 36.10 1.21 302414 9.01 7.90 5.80 4.94	4 42.60 1.48 194830 9.53 8.32 5.81 4.96	+ 0 + 6.5 + 0.27 - 107584 + 0.52 + 0.42 + 0.01	0 18 22 36 6 5	4 35.60 1.17 312701 5.35 4.69 5.40 4.70	4 31.90 1.20 316373 9.53 8.32	+ 0 - 3.7 + 0.03 + 3672 + 4.18 + 3.63 - 1.57 - 0.8	0 10 3 1 78 77 29 17					
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (MILLIONS 2. AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (VEHICLES P 3. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLES 4. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLE OPERAT 5. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR C 6. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR C PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. ROADWAY MAINTENANCE (LANE MILES	 1163 9120 230675 148518 17.20 40.10	1152 9030 225900 150159 16.46 37.67	- 90 - 4775 + 1641 - 0.74 - 2.43	1 4 6 0	38.20 768.71		- 12 - 90 - 4892 + 1657 - 5.26 - 8.55	1						
 LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE (ACRES) STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE (NUMBER) 		1416 126	1416 126	•	•	1416 126		+ 0 + 0	0 0					

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII HIGHWAYS

73 03 02
TRN 511

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The position variance is due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions frozen in Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less than anticipated payroll expenditures, delay in contracted services, and the deferral of motor vehicle and equipment purchases.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2: Accidents Per 100 Million Vehicle Miles

The variance for Hawaii County accidents per 100 million vehicle miles for FY 25 increased 18%, which correlates to the number of major traffic crashes increasing 18% from the current year. The increase was unexpected as the numbers were decreasing from the previous year.

Item 3: Fatal Accidents Per Million Vehicle Miles

The variance for Hawaii County's fatal accidents per 100 million vehicle miles for FY 25 is an increase of 22.1%. The increased number of fatalities for Hawaii County was higher than expected, which was unexpected as the number of fatalities increased from 15 to 29 (93%) in the previous year.

Item 4: Maintenance Cost Per 10 Lane Miles

The estimated rate was higher than the FY 25 actual due to less than anticipated payroll expenditures and routine repair and maintenance. Routine maintenance funds were reallocated for special maintenance projects.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

There are no significant variances to report.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

There are no significant variances to report.

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-531 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030303

		FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-2	5		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-25	_	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26				
		BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	- CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± (CHANGE	%
	I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS ARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPER	ATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	90.00 26,362	73.00 24,316	-	17.00 2,046	19 8	90.00 6,660	72.00 4,645	- -	18.00 2,015	20 30	90.00 22,318	83.50 24,333	- +	6.50 2,015	7 9
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS 90.00 73.00 - 17.00 19 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 26,362 24,316 - 2,046 8								72.00 4,645	-	18.00 2,015	20 30	90.00 22,318	83.50 24,333	- +	6.50 2,015	7 9
								FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 PLANNED ACTUAL ± CHANGE %				5				
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. HIGHWAY LOCATIONS WHERE CONGESTION EXISTS-PEAK 2. ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE MILES 3. FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE MILES 4. MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MILES 5. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT BRIDGES NHS 6. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT BRIDGES ALL 7. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION ON NHS 8. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION ON ALL STATE HWYS							5 40.10 1.06 441768 0,66 0.50 0.40	5 35.50 0.90 312773 0 1.22 0.46 0.45	+ - - - + +	0.16 0.16 128995 0.56 0.04 0.05	0 11 15 29 0 85 8 13	5 39.50 1.04 472602 0 0.66 0.50 0.60	1.21 470972 0 1.22	± 0 + + + - + -	0 7.9 0.17 1630 0 0.56 0.04 0.15	% 0 20 16 0 0 85 8 25
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (MILLIONS OF MILES) 2. AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (VEHICLES PER DAY) 3. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLES 4. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLE OPERATORS 5. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION ON NHS 6. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION ON ALL								849 15750 184149 124936 0.87 2.57	- - - -	25 20 6277 859 0.23 0.23	3 0 3 1 21 8	886 16000 193119 127379 1.50 3.30	861 15970 186688 126486 0.87 2.57	 - - - -	25 30 6431 893 0.63 0.73	3 0 3 1 42 22
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. ROADWAY MAINTENANCE (LANE MILES) 2. LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE (ACRES) 3. STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE (NUMBER)							 566.77 366 111	566.77 366 111	İ +	0 0 0	0 0 0	566.77 366 111	566.77 366 111	 + +	0 0 0	0 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: MAUI HIGHWAYS

03 03 03 TRN 531

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The position variance is due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions frozen in Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less than anticipated payroll expenditures and the deferral of motor vehicle and equipment purchases.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2: Accidents Per 100 Million Vehicle Miles

The variance for Maui County accidents per 100 million vehicle miles for FY 25 is an 11.5% decrease, which is due to the number of major traffic crashes decreasing 23%.

Item 3: Fatal Accidents Per Million Vehicle Miles

The variance for Maui County's fatal accidents per 100 million vehicle miles for FY 25 decreased 15.1%. The number of fatalities for Maui County decreased more than expected. The number of fatalities decreased by one in the previous year but decreased by three in FY 25.

Item 4: Maintenance Cost Per 10 Lane Miles

The estimated rate was higher than FY 25 actual due to less than anticipated payroll expenditures and the reallocation of routine maintenance funds for special maintenance projects.

Item 6: % Total Deck Area Structurally Deficient Bridges on All Highways

Many of the bridges within the inventory have exceeded their expected design life. As such, greater than anticipated levels of deterioration of

these bridge structures are being recorded during routine bridge inspections, resulting in a variance between the estimated 0.66% and the actual 1.22% of Maui District's structurally deficient bridges on and off the National Highway System (NHS). The magnitude of the variance is attributed to a single structure changing from Fair condition to Poor condition within the last routine inspection cycle.

Item 8: % of State-Managed Pavement in Poor Condition on All State Highways

The variance in Maui District is due to a small absolute difference of 0.05 percentage points between the estimated (0.40%) and actual (0.45%) poor condition on the State highway system. This equates to approximately one or two data points and appears as a 12.5% variance only because the district's poor condition levels are so low. At this scale, even minimal fluctuations can result in large percentage differences. The overall impact is insignificant and does not reflect any meaningful change in network condition or program performance.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 5: Lane-Miles of State-Managed Pavement in Poor Condition on NHS

The variance is due to the actual poor lane mileage on NHS routes being slightly lower than the estimated 0.87 lane miles compared to the forecasted 1.10, a difference of just 0.23 lane miles. This represents only two 0.1-mile data points but results in a 20.9% variance due to the very low total of poor lane miles in the district. Variations of this magnitude are expected at such small scales and do not indicate any significant issue with asset condition or program execution.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

There are no significant variances to report.

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUAI HIGHWAYS

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-561
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030306

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-2	5		THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED	09-30-25		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)																
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 13,042	42.00 11,667	-	13.00 1,375	24 11	60.00 2,951	47.00 1,827	 - -	13.00 1,124	22 38	60.00 12,241	60.00 13,365	+	0.00 1,124	0 9	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS 55.00 42.00 - 13.00 24 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 13,042 11,667 - 1,375 11							47.00 1,827	-	13.00 1,124	22 38	60.00 12,241	60.00 13,365	+	0.00 1,124	0	
	FISCAL YEAR 2024-25															
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. HIGHWAY LOCATIONS WHERE CO. 2. ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION VEHICLE 3. FATAL ACCIDENTS PER 100 MILLION V. 4. MAINTENANCE COST PER 10 LANE-MIL 5. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT 6. % TOT DECK AREA STRUC DEFICIENT 7. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION 8. % OF PAVEMENT IN POOR CONDITION		PLANNED 2 40.00 0.69 526756 22.54 19.07 0.70	ACTUAL 2 30.10 0.90 525038 9.51 11.40 1.00 2.17	 + - + - -	10 0 9.9 0.21 1718 13.03 7.67 0.3 1.27	0 25 30 0 58 40 43	2 39.50 0.67 585271 22.54 19.07 0.80 1.00	0.69 598177 9.51 11.40 0.50	± 0 + + + - -	0 10 0.02 12906 13.03 7.67 0.3 0.38	% 0 25 3 2 58 40 38					
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL (MILLIONS 2. AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (VEHICLES F 3. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLES 4. NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLE OPERA 5. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR C 6. LANE-MILES OF PAVEMENT IN POOR C PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY		433 11440 92851 57239 0.40 2.00	442 11700 90434 57685 0.60 4.92	 - + +	9 260 2417 446 0.2 2.92	2 2 3 1 50 146	439 11610 94184 57813 0.50 2.30	91712 58253	 + + - + -	10 260 2472 440 0.2 0.82	2 2 3 1 1 40 36					
ROADWAY MAINTENANCE (LANE MILES LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE (ACRES) STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE (NUMBER)		 216.96 2000 4	216.96 2000 4	+	0 0 0	0	216.96 2000 4	216.96 2000 4	 + + +	0 0 0	 0 0					

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUAI HIGHWAYS

03 03 06 TRN 561

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The position variance is due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions frozen in Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less than anticipated payroll expenditures and the deferral of motor vehicle and equipment purchases.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2: Accidents Per 100 Million Vehicle Miles

The variance for Kauai County accidents per 100 million vehicle miles for FY 25 decreased by 24.8% due to the number of major traffic crashes decreasing 8%.

Item 3: Fatal Accidents Per Million Vehicle Miles

The variance for Kauai County's fatal accidents per 100 million vehicle miles for FY 25 increased by 30.4%. The number of fatalities for Kauai County decreased from nine to three in the previous year and increased from three to eight for FY 25. It was not estimated that the number would climb back up.

Item 5: % Total Deck Area Structurally Deficient Bridges on National Highway System (NHS) Only

During recent bridge inspections, the structures were re-evaluated. The deck condition rating of one structure was found to be inconsistent with the standard of practice applied to the rest of the bridge inventory, so the condition was appropriately changed from Poor to Fair. Previously noted erosion around the foundations of a second structure have been recently addressed by scour countermeasures that are performing as designed,

allowing the condition rating to improve from Poor to Fair. Because Kauai's bridge inventory is relatively small, changes in the condition of these two structures produced a large drop in the poor percentage from the expected 22.54% to the actual 9.51% for all NHS structures.

Item 6: % Total Deck Area Structurally Deficient Bridges on All Highways

During recent bridge inspections, the structures were re-evaluated. The deck condition rating of one structure was found to be inconsistent with the standard of practice applied to the rest of the bridge inventory, so the condition was appropriately changed from Poor to Fair. Previously noted erosion around the foundations of a second structure have been recently addressed by scour countermeasures that are performing as designed, allowing the condition rating to improve from Poor to Fair. Because Kauai's bridge inventory is relatively small, upgrading just two structures produced a large drop in the poor percentage from the expected 19.07% to the actual 11.40% for all highway structures.

Item 7: % of State-Managed Pavement in Poor Condition on NHS

The variance in Kauai District is due to a 0.30 percentage point difference between the estimated (0.70%) and actual (1.00%) percent poor on NHS routes. This represents a 42.9% variance, primarily resulting from planned pavement improvement projects that remained in design and could not proceed to construction due to funding limitations. These projects are still programmed and will be delivered as funding becomes available.

Item 8: % of State-Managed Pavement in Poor Condition on All State Highways

The variance in Kauai District is due to a 1.27 percentage point difference between the estimated (0.90%) and actual (2.17%) percent poor on the State highway system. This equates to a 141.1% variance and reflects the deferral of several planned improvement projects, originally expected to be completed during the fiscal year. These projects remain active and are planned for delivery once sufficient funding is secured.

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUAI HIGHWAYS TRN 561

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 5: Lane-Miles Of State-Managed Pavement In Poor Condition on NHS

The variance in Kauai District is due to a 0.20 lane mile difference between the estimated (0.40) and actual (0.60) poor lane miles on NHS routes, resulting in a 50.0% variance. This difference is attributable to the delay of NHS-related improvement projects that were forecasted to be completed but remain unfunded. These projects will proceed once resources are available.

Item 6: Lane-Miles of State-Managed Pavement in Poor Condition on All State Highways.

The variance in Kauai District is due to a 2.92 lane mile difference between the estimated (2.00) and actual (4.92) poor lane miles on the State highway system. This reflects a 146.0% variance caused by the deferral of several planned projects due to funding constraints. These projects are still programmed and will be constructed as funding permits.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

There are no significant variances to report.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-595 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030307

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-	25		THREE N	MONTHS EN	;	NINE						
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± (CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS 545.50 401.50 144.00 26 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 219,177 179,896 39,281 18						520.50 45,289	403.50 9,570		7.00 719	22 79	520.50 219,661	512.00 255,380	- +	8.50 35,719	2 16
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	545.50 219,177	401.50 179,896	-	144.00 39,281	26 18	520.50 45,289	403.50 9,570		7.00 719	22 79	520.50 219,661	512.00 255,380	- +	8.50 35,719	2 16
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHA	IGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	<u>%</u>
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. COSTS OF ADMIN RELATIVE TO TOTAL	PROGRAM COS	STS (%)				 41.52	31.97	-	 9.55	 23	 42.47	51.44	+	8.97	 21
VENDOR PAYMENT EXCEEDING 30 DAY	_					0.02	0.03		0.01	50	0.02	0.02	+	0	0
3. DEBT SERVICE COST TO TOTAL 0&M E	_					0.19	0.14		0.05	26	0.18	0.17	-	0.01	6
4. AVG. # OF WORK DAYS TO PROCESS P	_					10.00	10		0	0	9.00	10	+	1	11
5. % OF COMPLAINTS RESPONDED TO W	THIN 5 WORK L	JAYS				55.00	55	+	0	0	60.50	55	-	5.5	9
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY												I			
 ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL (NO. OF 	,					545.50	401.50	-	144	26	521.00	512	-	9	2
2. DIVISIONAL PERSONNEL (NO. OF PERS	1038.00		-	251	24	1009.50	986	-	23.5	2					
3. RESURFACING (LANE MILES)		49.09			0.75	2	49.09	1	+	64.07	131				
4. SPECIAL MAINTENANCE - RESURFACIN		25442		-	442	2	25442	18265	-	7177	28				
5. SPECIAL MAINTENANCE - OTHERS (\$10	100)					24558	24000	-	558	2	24558	31735	+	7177	29

PROGRAM TITLE: HIGHWAYS ADMINISTRATION

03 03 07 TRN 595

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The position variance is due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions in Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less than anticipated payroll expenditures. In addition, federal program expenditures and encumbrances, general obligation reimbursable (G.O.R.) and Highways Revenue bond payments, and personal services on a fee basis expenses were less than anticipated.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 1: Costs of Admin Relative to Total Program Costs (%)

The estimated rate was higher than actual due to less than anticipated payroll expenses. In addition, federal program expenditures and encumbrances, G.O.R. and Highways Revenue bond payments, and personal services on a fee basis expenses were less than anticipated. Additional funds were reallocated from Administration to the Special Maintenance Program to align resources with operational priorities.

Item 2: % of Late Interest Payments To Total Payments (\$)

The increase in interest payments was primarily due to delays in receiving payment requests from the Districts and Branches. Staff shortages, training new personnel, and routing issues also contributed to the delays.

Item 3: Debt Service Cost to Total Operating and Maintenance Expenditure

The actual rate was lower than planned due to deferring bond issue.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No program target groups have been developed for this program for FY 25 and FY 26.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: Administrative Personnel (No. of Persons)

The position variance is attributable to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, positions frozen under Act 5, SLH 2019, were restricted as part of statewide cost-saving measures and, therefore, remained unfilled. This allowed the department to operate within fiscal constraints while prioritizing essential services.

Item 2: Divisional Personnel (No. of Persons)

Refer to Item No. 1 above.

PROGRAM TITLE:

REPORT V61 12/2/25

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-597 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 030308

HIGHWAYS SAFETY

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-2	25		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-2	5	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± 0	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGI	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	39.00 19,793	28.00 13,969	- -	11.00 5,824	28 29	39.00 4,602	28.00 1,510	- 11.00 - 3,092	28 67	39.00 15,427	39.00 18,519	+	0.00 3,092	0 20
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	39.00 19,793	28.00 13,969		11.00 5,824	28 29	39.00 4,602	28.00 1,510	- 11.00 - 3,092	28 67	39.00 15,427	39.00 18,519	+ +	0.00 3,092	0 20
											FISCAL YEAR			
DADT II MEAGURES OF FEFFOTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. MOTOR VEH FATALITIES PER 10,000 2. NO. MOTOR VEH INJURIES PER 10,000	MOTOR VEHICL	ES				 1 68	1 62	- 6	9	 1 62	1 65	 + +	0 3	0 5
 NO. MOTOR VEH ACCIDENTS PER 10,0 NO. MOTOR VEH PROP DAMAGE ACCE 						110 40	105 40	- 5 + 0	-	105 40	105 40	+ +	0 0	0 0
5. NO. ACCIDENTS PER 10,000 MOTOR CA	,					l 30		+ 0 + 2	•	I 30		T +	0	0
•	•								•	I 25		' +	10	40
7. NO. DOT CERTIFIED INSPECTION STAT						25 0	43 2			1 0		· +	0	0 1
	VEHICLES WEIGHED ON SEMI-PORTABLE SCALE								20	6000	_	+	0	0
9. NO. VEHICLES WEIGHED ON SEMI-POR	T SCALE AND O	CITED				50	56	+ 6	12	35	40	+	5	14
10. NO. ACCIDENTS PER 10,000 SCHOOL B	US VEHICLES					1	1	+ 0	0	j 1	1	+	0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP						I			1	I		l		
 NO. OF MOTOR CARRIERS 						7000	7600	+ 600	j 9	, 7000	7700	+	700	10
2. NO. OF MOTOR CARRIER VEHICLES						32500	33374	+ 874	3	34500	34500	+	0	0
NO. OF MOTOR CARRIER DRIVERS						30000	30000	+ 0	0	32000	31000	-	1000	3
NO. OF MOTOR VEHICLES						1240000	1292434	•	•	1240000		+	55000	4
5. NO. OF DOT CERTIFIED VEHICLE INSPI						170		- 10	-	165	165	+	0	0
6. NO. OF MOTOR CARRIERS WEIGHED S						5000	4000	•	•	6000		+	0	0
 NO. OF MOTOR CARRIER WEIGHED FIX NO. OF SCHOOL BUS OPERATORS 	COMMERCIAL	SCALES				20000 l 103	8939 100			25000 l 95		+ +	0 5	0 5
9. NO. OF SCHOOL BUS VEHICLES						l 1100	1100		•	I 1050	1100	+ +	50 I	5 5
10. NO. OF SCHOOL BUS DRIVERS						1400	1000	•	•	I 1700		' ' -	300	18
DART IV: DROCRAM ACTIVITY						<u>'</u> I		<u>'</u> I	<u>'</u>	<u>.</u> I		<u>. </u>		
NO. OF MOTOR CARRIER VEHICLES IN	PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1 NO OF MOTOR CARRIER VEHICLES INSPECTED							l l - 600	17	l 4200	3600	 -	600 l	14 l
								- 000 - 2	•	I 4200		- -	30	50
3. NO. OF DOT CERTIFIED INSPECTION S						24 70		- 2		50		 +	10	20
4. NO. OF SEMI-PORTABLE SCALE SETUP		-				53	70	•	•	50	50	+	0	0
5. NO. OF FIXED COMMERCIAL SCALE SE	TUPS CONDUC	TED				275	285	+ 10	j 4	275	285	+	10	4 j
NO. OF SCHOOL BUSES INSPECTED						300	245	- 55	18	275	225	j -	50	18
NO. OF SCHOOL BUS INVESTIGATIONS	CONDUCTED					5	5	+ 0	0	12	12	+	0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: HIGHWAYS SAFETY

03 03 08 TRN 597

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

1. Research and Development: No cost.

2. Operating Costs (\$000)

FY 25: The position variance was due to transfers, promotions, and retirements. In addition, unfunded positions in Act 88, SLH 2021, were not filled. The expenditure variance was due to the less than anticipated payroll expenses and less than anticipated federal Safety Community and Motor Carrier Safety program expenditures and encumbrances.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 6: % of Department of Transportation (DOT) Certified Inspection Stations Inspected

The increase is due to the number of certified officers who completed the training and are able to conduct the inspection of the stations independently.

Item 7: No. of DOT Certified Inspection Station Suspended

The increase due to the Motor Vehicle Safety Office (MVSO) personnel monitoring the stations activity and finding violations from the stations when conducting roadside vehicle inspection and checking the inspection station certificates.

Item 8: No. of Semi-Portable Scale Vehicles Weighed

The decrease is due to the shortage of personnel. At times, when citations are issued for an overweight, we are unable to safely run the weight enforcement operation with minimum manpower, so we have to shut down till the officer is done issuing the citation.

Item 9: No. of Semi-Portable Scales Vehicles Cited Weighed

The increase is due to sporadic enforcement with the shortage of personnel and we are catching the motor carriers off guard.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 6: No. of Motor Carrier Weighed Semi-Portable Scales

Refer to Part II, Measures of Effectiveness, Item No. 8, above.

Item 7: No. of Motor Carrier Weighed Fix Commercial Scales

The decrease is due to the Sand Island Scales being down (under repair for the replacement of the static scale).

Item 10: No of School Bus Drivers

The decrease is due to the lack of interest. Ever since COVID-19, there has been a shortage of workers nationwide, not only school bus operators, but in all departments and offices. There is no explanation as to why people do not want to work as a bus driver.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: No. of Motor Carrier Vehicles Inspected

The decrease is due to the shortage of personnel and the added responsibility for the Public Utilities Commission's (PUC) enforcement with the passing of a legislative bill, which transferred PUC enforcement to MVSO and the requirement to monitor PUC activity twice a week with two officers.

Item 4: No. of Semi-Portable Scale Setups Conducted

The increase is due to the closure of the Sand Island Scales until the arrival and installation of the replacement static scale (January to March 2025) and the set up of the semi-portable scales at both the Sand Island and Campbell sites.

03 03 08
PROGRAM TITLE: HIGHWAYS SAFETY
TRN 597

Item 6: No. of School Buses Inspected

The decrease is due to shortage of personnel.

REPORT V61 12/2/25

2

1 |

1 |

2 |

4 |

2 |

10 | +

18 | +

5 | +

16 | -

7 | -

15 | -

25

6

25

11

36

12

PROGRAM-ID: TRN-995 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0304

OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS

BUSINESS MANAGEMENT OFFICE

COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND SERVICES

PPB MANAGEMENT AND ANALYTICAL

8. STATEWIDE TRANSPORATION PLANNING

PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT SERVICES OFFICE

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25			THREE I	MONTHS EN	IDED 09-30-2	5	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-26	
	BUDGETED			IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL		-	BUDGETED			1
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	111.00 49,221	85.00 41,449	I	26.00 7,772	23 16	111.00 8,455	86.00 4,487	- 25.00 - 3,968	23 47	111.00 39,274	111.00 43,242	+ 0.00 + 3,968	0 10
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	111.00 49,221	85.00 41,449		26.00 7,772	23 16	111.00 8,455	86.00 4,487	- 25.00 - 3,968	23 47	111.00 39,274	111.00 43,242	+ 0.00 + 3,968	0 10
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2024-25			FISCAL YEAR	2025-26	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. COSTS OF ADMIN RELATIVE TO TOTAL	4	4	+ 0	0	 4	4	+ 0	0					
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. DIRECTOR'S OFFICE						20	14	 - 6	30	 20	20	+ 0	 0
2. PERSONNEL OFFICE						11	10	- 1	j 9	j 11	13	+ 2	18

8

17

4

18

11

17

5 | -

18 | +

5 | +

7 | -

13 | -

14

3

1

1 |

4

4

4

38

6

25

22

36

24

8

4

18

11

17

17

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

03 04 TRN 995

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

- 1. Research and Development: No cost.
- 2. Operating Costs (\$000):

FY 25: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions; the expenditure variance is due to delays in expending federal funds and delays in filling vacant positions.

FY 26, three months that ended September 30, 2025: The position variance is due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions; the expenditure variance is due to delays in expending funds and delays in filling vacant positions.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

There is no significant variance to report.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No program target groups have been developed for this program for FY 25 and FY 26.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Items 1 to 3 and 5 to 8: The variances are due to delays in recruiting and filling vacant positions.

VARIANCE REPORT STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE: ALOHA TOWER DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION TRN-695 PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0305

REPORT V61 12/2/25

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	024-25			THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-25		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-26					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE		%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)																
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 1,842	0.00 225	- -	1.00 1,617	100 88	1.00 561	0.00	- -	1.00 561	100 100	1.00 1,282	1.00 1,842	+	0.00 560	0 44	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	1.00 1,842	0.00 225	-	1.00 1,617	100 88	1.00 561	0.00	-	1.00 561	100 100	1.00 1,282	1.00 1,842	++	0.00 560	0 44	
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2024-2	25			FISCAL YEAR	2025-	-26		
		PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C⊦	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CH	ANGE	%					
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO MEASURES HAVE BEEN DEVELOPE		0	0	 +	 0	0	0	0	+	0	0					

PROGRAM TITLE: ALOHA TOWER DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

03 05 TRN 695

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 25: The expenditure variance is due to lower than anticipated expenses.

FY 26: The expenditure variance is due to lower than anticipated expenses in the first quarter of FY 26.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No measures of effectiveness have been developed for this program for FY 25 and FY 26.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No program target groups have been developed for this program for FY 25 and FY 26.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No program activities have been developed for this program for FY 25 and FY 26.